INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY MA205 Complex Analysis Autumn 2012

Anant R. Shastri

Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay

July 27, 2012

RECALL

Definition

Let $\{f_n\}$ be sequence of complex valued functions on a set A. We say that it is *uniformly convergent* on A to a function f(x) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 , *independent of* $x \in A$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$, we have, $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$, for all $x \in A$.

RECALL

Uniform convergence iff uniformly Cauchy.

RECALL

- Uniform convergence iff uniformly Cauchy.
- ► Uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence.

RECALL

- Uniform convergence iff uniformly Cauchy.
- ► Uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence.
- Pointwise convergence does not imply uniform convergence when the domain is infinite.

RECALL

- Uniform convergence iff uniformly Cauchy.
- ► Uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence.
- Pointwise convergence does not imply uniform convergence when the domain is infinite.
- Uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

Example

The most useful series is the geometric series

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots$$

Example

The most useful series is the geometric series

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots$$

The sequence of partial sums is given by

$$s_{n-1} := 1 + z + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z}.$$

Example

The most useful series is the geometric series

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots$$

The sequence of partial sums is given by

$$s_{n-1} := 1 + z + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z}.$$

As $z^n \rightarrow 0$ if |z| < 1, it follows that the geometric series point-wise converges to 1/(1-z) for all |z| < 1.

Example

The most useful series is the geometric series

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots$$

The sequence of partial sums is given by

$$s_{n-1} := 1 + z + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z}.$$

As $z^n \longrightarrow 0$ if |z| < 1, it follows that the geometric series point-wise converges to 1/(1-z) for all |z| < 1. In fact, if we take 0 < r < 1, then in the disc $B_r(0)$, the series is uniformly convergent. For, given $\epsilon > 0$, choose n_0 such that $r^{n_0} < \epsilon(1-r)$.

Example

The most useful series is the geometric series

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots$$

The sequence of partial sums is given by

$$s_{n-1} := 1 + z + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z}.$$

As $z^n \longrightarrow 0$ if |z| < 1, it follows that the geometric series point-wise converges to 1/(1-z) for all |z| < 1. In fact, if we take 0 < r < 1, then in the disc $B_r(0)$, the series is uniformly convergent. For, given $\epsilon > 0$, choose n_0 such that $r^{n_0} < \epsilon(1-r)$. Then for all |z| < r and $n \ge n_0$, we have,

$$\frac{1-z^n}{1-z} - \frac{1}{1-z} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{z^n}{1-z} \bigg| \le \frac{|z^{n_0}|}{1-|z|} \le \frac{r^{n_0}}{1-r} < \epsilon.$$

There is a pattern in what we saw in the above example. This is extremely useful in determining uniform convergence:

There is a pattern in what we saw in the above example. This is extremely useful in determining uniform convergence:

Theorem

Weierstrass^a *M*-test: Let $\sum_{n} m_{n}$ be a convergent series of non negative terms. Suppose there exists K > 0 and an integer N such that $|f_{n}(x)| \leq Km_{n}$ for all $n \geq N$ and for all $x \in A$. Then $\sum_{n} f_{n}$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent in A.

^aKarl Weierstrass (1815-1897) a German mathematician is well known for his perfect rigor. He clarified any remaining ambiguities in the notion of a function, of derivatives, of minimum etc..

Proof: Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $n_0 > N$ such that $m_n + m_{n+1} + \cdots + m_{n+p} < \epsilon/K$, for all $n \ge n_0$.

Proof: Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $n_0 > N$ such that $m_n + m_{n+1} + \cdots + m_{n+p} < \epsilon/K$, for all $n \ge n_0$. This is possible by Cauchy's criterion, since $\sum_n m_n$ is convergent.

Proof: Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $n_0 > N$ such that $m_n + m_{n+1} + \cdots + m_{n+p} < \epsilon/K$, for all $n \ge n_0$. This is possible by Cauchy's criterion, since $\sum_n m_n$ is convergent. Then it follows that

$$|f_n(x)| + \cdots + |f_{n+p}(x)| \leq K(m_n + \cdots + m_{n+p}) < \epsilon,$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and for all $x \in A$.

Proof: Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $n_0 > N$ such that $m_n + m_{n+1} + \cdots + m_{n+p} < \epsilon/K$, for all $n \ge n_0$. This is possible by Cauchy's criterion, since $\sum_n m_n$ is convergent. Then it follows that

$$|f_n(x)| + \cdots + |f_{n+p}(x)| \leq K(m_n + \cdots + m_{n+p}) < \epsilon,$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and for all $x \in A$.

Again, by Cauchy's criterion, this means that $\sum f_n$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent.

Proof: Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $n_0 > N$ such that $m_n + m_{n+1} + \cdots + m_{n+p} < \epsilon/K$, for all $n \ge n_0$. This is possible by Cauchy's criterion, since $\sum_n m_n$ is convergent. Then it follows that

$$|f_n(x)| + \cdots + |f_{n+p}(x)| \leq K(m_n + \cdots + m_{n+p}) < \epsilon,$$

for all $n \ge n_0$ and for all $x \in A$. Again, by Cauchy's criterion, this means that $\sum f_n$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent.

Remark

The series $\sum_{n} m_{n}$ in the above theorem is called a 'majorant' for the series $\sum_{n} f_{n}$.

► The notions of continuity and differentiability of functions are discussed pointwise. Nevertheless, they require that the function be defined in a 'neighborhood' of the point under discussion. Therefore, in the case of real 1-variable functions, the domain of definition of functions are intervals. In the case of complex 1-variable, we have 'more' choices.

The notions of continuity and differentiability of functions are discussed pointwise. Nevertheless, they require that the function be defined in a 'neighborhood' of the point under discussion. Therefore, in the case of real 1-variable functions, the domain of definition of functions are intervals. In the case of complex 1-variable, we have 'more' choices.

▶ Notation:
$$B_r(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < r\}$$

Open ball of radius *r* center *z*.

The notions of continuity and differentiability of functions are discussed pointwise. Nevertheless, they require that the function be defined in a 'neighborhood' of the point under discussion. Therefore, in the case of real 1-variable functions, the domain of definition of functions are intervals. In the case of complex 1-variable, we have 'more' choices.

▶ Notation:
$$B_r(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < r\}$$

Open ball of radius *r* center *z*.

Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be an open set if it is the union of open balls.

The notions of continuity and differentiability of functions are discussed pointwise. Nevertheless, they require that the function be defined in a 'neighborhood' of the point under discussion. Therefore, in the case of real 1-variable functions, the domain of definition of functions are intervals. In the case of complex 1-variable, we have 'more' choices.

▶ Notation:
$$B_r(z) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < r\}$$

Open ball of radius *r* center *z*.

Definition

 $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be an open set if it is the union of open balls.

▶ Thus if A is open and $z \in A$ then it follows that there is r > 0 such that $B_r(z) \subset A$.

Definition And Basic Properties

Definition

Let $z_0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, such that there exists r > 0 with $B_r(z_0) \subset \Omega$. Let $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a map. Then f is said to be **complex differentiable** (written \mathbb{C} -**differentiable** at z_0) if the limit on the right hand side of the following formula exists, and in that case we call this limit, the (**Cauchy**) derivative of f at z_0 :

$$f'(z_0) := \frac{df}{dz}(z_0) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}.$$

(1)

Definition And Basic Properties

Definition

For an open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , if f is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ then we say f is complex differentiable on Ω .

Definition And Basic Properties

Definition

For an open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} , if f is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ then we say f is complex differentiable on Ω .

Basic Properties

▶ Sum of two \mathbb{C} -differentiable function is \mathbb{C} -differentiable,... etc.. Indeed, we have,

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)'(z_0) = \alpha f'(z_0) + \beta g'(z_0), \quad \alpha, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(2)

▶ Moreover, just like in the real case, it is also a **derivation**, i.e.,

Leibniz: $(fg)'(z_0) = f'(z_0)g(z_0) + f(z_0)g'(z_0).$

(3)

Basic Properties

▶ We even have the same formula for the derivative of a quotient:

$$\left(rac{f}{g}
ight)'(z_0)=rac{f'(z_0)g(z_0)-f(z_0)g'(z_0)}{(g(z_0))^2}; ext{ if } g(z_0)
eq 0.$$

Of course, we have to consider only points where f and g are complex differentiable and $g(z) \neq 0$.

Basic Properties

▶ We even have the same formula for the derivative of a quotient:

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(z_0) = \frac{f'(z_0)g(z_0) - f(z_0)g'(z_0)}{(g(z_0))^2}; \text{ if } g(z_0) \neq 0.$$

Of course, we have to consider only points where f and g are complex differentiable and $g(z) \neq 0$.

▶ (Chain Rule :) Let $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : B \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(A) \subset B$ and $z_0 \in A$. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ and $g'(f(z_0))$ exist. Then $(g \circ f)'(z_0)$ exists and $(g \circ f)'(z_0) = g'(f(z_0))f'(z_0)$. (4)

Basic Properties

▶ We even have the same formula for the derivative of a quotient:

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(z_0) = \frac{f'(z_0)g(z_0) - f(z_0)g'(z_0)}{(g(z_0))^2}; \text{ if } g(z_0) \neq 0.$$

Of course, we have to consider only points where f and g are complex differentiable and $g(z) \neq 0$.

▶ (Chain Rule :) Let $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : B \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(A) \subset B$ and $z_0 \in A$. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ and $g'(f(z_0))$ exist. Then $(g \circ f)'(z_0)$ exists and $(g \circ f)'(z_0) = g'(f(z_0))f'(z_0)$.

Theorem

If f is differentiable at a point then it is continuous at that point.

(4)

For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).

- For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).
- For n < 0, the function f(z) = zⁿ is not defined at z = 0. The formula is valid except at z = 0.

- For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).
- For n < 0, the function f(z) = zⁿ is not defined at z = 0. The formula is valid except at z = 0.
- ► Using the sum and product rules, we get Every polynomial function p(z) = a₀zⁿ + a₁zⁿ⁻¹ + ··· + a_n is complex differentiable throughout the complex plane.

- For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).
- For n < 0, the function f(z) = zⁿ is not defined at z = 0. The formula is valid except at z = 0.
- ► Using the sum and product rules, we get Every polynomial function p(z) = a₀zⁿ + a₁zⁿ⁻¹ + ··· + a_n is complex differentiable throughout the complex plane.
- ► A function which is complex differentiable throughout the plane is called **entire function**.

- For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).
- For n < 0, the function f(z) = zⁿ is not defined at z = 0. The formula is valid except at z = 0.
- ► Using the sum and product rules, we get Every polynomial function p(z) = a₀zⁿ + a₁zⁿ⁻¹ + ··· + a_n is complex differentiable throughout the complex plane.
- ► A function which is complex differentiable throughout the plane is called **entire function.**
- Using the quotient rule we then get:

Every rational function, i.e., a function of the form $\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$, where p and q are polynomials, is complex differentiable where-ever, $q(z) \neq 0$.

- For f(z) = zⁿ, n ≥ 0, f'(z) = nzⁿ⁻¹, as in the case of real variable. (Use binomial expansion).
- For n < 0, the function f(z) = zⁿ is not defined at z = 0. The formula is valid except at z = 0.
- ► Using the sum and product rules, we get Every polynomial function p(z) = a₀zⁿ + a₁zⁿ⁻¹ + ··· + a_n is complex differentiable throughout the complex plane.
- ► A function which is complex differentiable throughout the plane is called **entire function.**
- Using the quotient rule we then get:

Every rational function, i.e., a function of the form $\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$, where p and q are polynomials, is complex differentiable where-ever, $q(z) \neq 0$.

Are there more examples?

Power series

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$$
, or \mathbb{C} .

Definition

By a formal power series in one variable t over \mathbb{K} , we mean a sum of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nt^n, \ a_n\in\mathbb{K}.$$
$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \text{ or } \mathbb{C}.$$

Definition

By a formal power series in one variable t over \mathbb{K} , we mean a sum of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nt^n, a_n\in\mathbb{K}.$$

(1) Observe that when at most a finite number of a_n are non zero the above sum gives a polynomial.

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \text{ or } \mathbb{C}.$$

Definition

By a formal power series in one variable t over \mathbb{K} , we mean a sum of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nt^n, \ a_n\in\mathbb{K}.$$

(1) Observe that when at most a finite number of a_n are non zero the above sum gives a polynomial.

(2) Thus, all polynomials in t are power series in t.

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \text{ or } \mathbb{C}.$$

Definition

By a formal power series in one variable t over \mathbb{K} , we mean a sum of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nt^n, \ a_n\in\mathbb{K}.$$

(1) Observe that when at most a finite number of a_n are non zero the above sum gives a polynomial.

- (2) Thus, all polynomials in t are power series in t.
- (3) The geometric series is a genuine example of a power series.

We can add two power series, by 'term-by-term' addition and we can also multiply them by scalars, just like polynomials, viz.,

$$\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}+\sum_{n}b_{n}t^{n}:=\sum_{n}(a_{n}+b_{n})t^{n}; \quad \alpha(\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}):=\sum_{n}\alpha a_{n}t^{n}.$$

We can add two power series, by 'term-by-term' addition and we can also multiply them by scalars, just like polynomials, viz.,

$$\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}+\sum_{n}b_{n}t^{n}:=\sum_{n}(a_{n}+b_{n})t^{n}; \quad \alpha(\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}):=\sum_{n}\alpha a_{n}t^{n}.$$

▶ Let K[[t]] denote the set of all formal power series in t over K. The set of polynomials is contained in K[[t]] and is closed under each of these operations.

We can add two power series, by 'term-by-term' addition and we can also multiply them by scalars, just like polynomials, viz.,

$$\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}+\sum_{n}b_{n}t^{n}:=\sum_{n}(a_{n}+b_{n})t^{n}; \quad \alpha(\sum_{n}a_{n}t^{n}):=\sum_{n}\alpha a_{n}t^{n}.$$

▶ Let K[[t]] denote the set of all formal power series in t over K. The set of polynomials is contained in K[[t]] and is closed under each of these operations.

Definition

A formal power series $P(t) = \sum_{n} a_n t^n$ is said to be *convergent* if there exists a **non zero number** z (real or complex) such that the series of complex numbers $\sum_{n} a_n z^n$ is convergent.

Convergent Power series

Theorem

Let P(t) be a power series which is absolutely convergent for $t = z_0 \neq 0$. Then for all $|z| \leq |z_0|$, P(z) is absolutely and uniformly convergent.

Proof: Appeal to (Weierstrass' Majorant Criterion) M-test with $m_n = |a_n z_0^n|$ and K = 1.

Definition

Let P(t) be a power series. Let

$$r(P) = sup\{|z| : P(z) \text{ is convergent }\}$$

Then r(P) is called radius of convergence of P. If r(P) > 0 we say P is a convergent power series.

▶ Note that *r* can be infinite.

- ▶ Note that *r* can be infinite.
- r(P) = 0 is same as saying P is convergent only at z = 0.

- ▶ Note that *r* can be infinite.
- r(P) = 0 is same as saying P is convergent only at z = 0.
- If |z| < r(P) the P(z) is absolutely convergent.

- Note that r can be infinite.
- r(P) = 0 is same as saying P is convergent only at z = 0.
- If |z| < r(P) the P(z) is absolutely convergent.
- If |z| > r(P) then P(z) is not absolutely convergent. Thus the collection of all points at which a given power series converges consists of an open disc centered at the origin and perhaps some points on the boundary of the disc.

Example The series $\sum_{n} t^{n}$, $\sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n}$, $\sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n^{2}}$ all have radius of convergence 1.

Example

▶ The series
$$\sum_{n} t^{n}$$
, $\sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n}$, $\sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n^{2}}$ all have radius of convergence 1.

- ▶ The first one is not convergent at any point of the boundary of the disc of convergence |z| = 1. (n^{th} term does not tend to zero).
- ▶ The second is convergent at all the points of the boundary except at z = 1 (Use Dirichlet's test.)

Example

- ▶ The series $\sum_{n} t^{n}, \sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n}, \sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n^{2}}$ all have radius of convergence 1.
- ▶ The first one is not convergent at any point of the boundary of the disc of convergence |z| = 1. (n^{th} term does not tend to zero).
- ▶ The second is convergent at all the points of the boundary except at z = 1 (Use Dirichlet's test.)
- \blacktriangleright and the last one is convergent at all the point of the boundary. (Compare with $\sum_n \frac{1}{n^2}.)$

Example

- ▶ The series $\sum_{n} t^{n}, \sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n}, \sum_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n^{2}}$ all have radius of convergence 1.
- ▶ The first one is not convergent at any point of the boundary of the disc of convergence |z| = 1. (n^{th} term does not tend to zero).
- ▶ The second is convergent at all the points of the boundary except at z = 1 (Use Dirichlet's test.)
- \blacktriangleright and the last one is convergent at all the point of the boundary. (Compare with $\sum_n \frac{1}{n^2}.)$
- ▶ These examples clearly illustrate that the boundary behavior of a power series needs to be studied more carefully.

Term-by-Term Differentiation

Definition

Given a power series $P(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n t^n$, the derived series P'(t) is defined by taking term-by-term differentiation: $P'(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} na_n t^{n-1}$. The series $\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{a_n}{n+1} t^{n+1}$ is called the integrated series.

Theorem

Any given power series, its derived series and its integrated series all have the same radius of convergence.

Analytic Functions

We shall call the sum function given by a convergent power series An analytic function.

As seen above it follows that an analytic function is complex differentiable any number of times in the disc of convergence of the power series. Therefore, the derivative of an analytic function is also an analytic function.

It is fairly obvious that the sum of two formal power series is convergent with radius of convergence at least the minimum of the two radii of convergence.

Analytic Functions

We shall call the sum function given by a convergent power series An analytic function.

As seen above it follows that an analytic function is complex differentiable any number of times in the disc of convergence of the power series. Therefore, the derivative of an analytic function is also an analytic function.

- It is fairly obvious that the sum of two formal power series is convergent with radius of convergence at least the minimum of the two radii of convergence.
- Therefore sum of two analytic functions is analytic. Similarly, the product of two analytic functions is also analytic.

Analytic Functions

We shall call the sum function given by a convergent power series An analytic function.

As seen above it follows that an analytic function is complex differentiable any number of times in the disc of convergence of the power series. Therefore, the derivative of an analytic function is also an analytic function.

- It is fairly obvious that the sum of two formal power series is convergent with radius of convergence at least the minimum of the two radii of convergence.
- Therefore sum of two analytic functions is analytic. Similarly, the product of two analytic functions is also analytic.
- ▶ The identity function written f(z) = z is clearly analytic in the entire plane (take $P(t) = z_0 + t$ to see that f is analytic at z_0). Starting from this and using the above two observations we can deduce that any polynomial function is analytic throughout the plane.

Due to Euler

- Due to Euler
- Plays a central role in analysis, more so in the case of complex analysis and is going to be our first example using the power series method.

- Due to Euler
- Plays a central role in analysis, more so in the case of complex analysis and is going to be our first example using the power series method.
- ► We define

exp
$$z := e^z := \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

(5)

▶ If we denote by $u_n = \frac{z^n}{n!}$ the n^{th} term of the series, then

$$\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right| = \frac{|z|}{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}$$

as soon as n + 1 > 2|z|.

• If we denote by $u_n = \frac{z^n}{n!}$ the n^{th} term of the series, then

$$\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right| = \frac{|z|}{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}$$

as soon as n+1 > 2|z|.

▶ By comparison with the geometric series (**Ratio Test**), this means that the series is absolutely convergent for all *z*.

• If we denote by $u_n = \frac{z^n}{n!}$ the n^{th} term of the series, then

$$\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right| = \frac{|z|}{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}$$

as soon as n+1 > 2|z|.

- ▶ By comparison with the geometric series (**Ratio Test**), this means that the series is absolutely convergent for all *z*.
- ► Therefore the function exp makes sense in the entire of C and is differentiable throughout C.

• If we denote by $u_n = \frac{z^n}{n!}$ the n^{th} term of the series, then

$$\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right| = \frac{|z|}{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}$$

as soon as n+1 > 2|z|.

- By comparison with the geometric series (Ratio Test), this means that the series is absolutely convergent for all z.
- ► Therefore the function exp makes sense in the entire of C and is differentiable throughout C.
- Its derivative is given by

$$exp'(z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{n}{n!} z^{n-1} = exp(z)$$

for all z.

(6)

Also, exp(0) = 1. Thus we see that exp is a solution of the initial value problem:

$$f'(z) = f(z); f(0) = 1.$$

(7)

Also, exp(0) = 1. Thus we see that exp is a solution of the initial value problem:

$$f'(z) = f(z); f(0) = 1.$$
 (7)

We can verify that

$$\exp(a+b) = \exp(a)\exp(b), a, b \in \mathbb{C}$$
 (8)

directly by using the product formula for power series. (Use binomial expansion of $(a + b)^n$, and write down the details by yourself.)

Also, exp(0) = 1. Thus we see that exp is a solution of the initial value problem:

$$f'(z) = f(z); \quad f(0) = 1.$$
(7)

We can verify that

$$\exp(a+b) = \exp(a)\exp(b), a, b \in \mathbb{C}$$
 (8)

directly by using the product formula for power series. (Use binomial expansion of $(a + b)^n$, and write down the details by yourself.)

This can also be proved by using the fact that any analytic solution of (7) has to be exp. This method is quite typical and educative and let us take this opportunity to learn this.

First, let us show that $\exp(z) \exp(-z) = 1$, for all z.

- First, let us show that $\exp(z) \exp(-z) = 1$, for all z.
- For this consider the function g(z) = exp(z) exp(−z) and see that its derivative is identically zero and g(0) = 1. Therefore g(z) = 1 for all z, as required.

- First, let us show that $\exp(z)\exp(-z) = 1$, for all z.
- For this consider the function g(z) = exp(z) exp(−z) and see that its derivative is identically zero and g(0) = 1. Therefore g(z) = 1 for all z, as required.
- As an easy consequence, it follows that $\exp(z) \neq 0$ for any z.

- First, let us show that $\exp(z) \exp(-z) = 1$, for all z.
- For this consider the function g(z) = exp(z) exp(−z) and see that its derivative is identically zero and g(0) = 1. Therefore g(z) = 1 for all z, as required.
- As an easy consequence, it follows that $\exp(z) \neq 0$ for any z.
- Now fix $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the function $h(z) = \exp(z + w) \exp(-w)$. Clearly, h is analytic, h(0) = 1 and h'(z) = h(z).

- First, let us show that $\exp(z) \exp(-z) = 1$, for all z.
- For this consider the function g(z) = exp(z) exp(−z) and see that its derivative is identically zero and g(0) = 1. Therefore g(z) = 1 for all z, as required.
- As an easy consequence, it follows that $\exp(z) \neq 0$ for any z.
- ▶ Now fix $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the function $h(z) = \exp(z + w) \exp(-w)$. Clearly, h is analytic, h(0) = 1 and h'(z) = h(z).
- ► Therefore by the uniqueness of solution of (7), h(z) = exp(z) for all z, i.e., exp(z + w) exp(-w) = exp(z). This is nothing but the same as saying exp(z + w) = exp(z) exp(w).

Exp is a homomorphism

Thus, we have shown that exp defines a homomorphism from the additive group \mathbb{C} to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

As a simple consequence of this rule we have,

$$\exp(nz) = \exp(z)^n$$

for all integers $n \ge 0$.
Exp is a homomorphism

Thus, we have shown that exp defines a homomorphism from the additive group \mathbb{C} to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

As a simple consequence of this rule we have,

$$\exp(nz) = \exp(z)^n$$

for all integers $n \ge 0$.

Following Euler, let us denote exp(1) by e. Then we have, $exp(n) = e^n$.

Exp is a homomorphism

Thus, we have shown that exp defines a homomorphism from the additive group \mathbb{C} to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

As a simple consequence of this rule we have,

$$\exp(nz) = \exp(z)^n$$

for all integers $n \ge 0$.

- ▶ Following Euler, let us denote exp(1) by *e*. Then we have, $exp(n) = e^n$.
- This is the justification to have the notation e^z for exp(z).

It may be worth recalling some elementary facts about e that you know already.

For instance, clearly 2 < e.

It may be worth recalling some elementary facts about *e* that you know already.

- For instance, clearly 2 < e.
- ▶ By comparing with the geometric series $\sum_{n} 2^{-n}$, it can be shown easily that e < 3.

It may be worth recalling some elementary facts about *e* that you know already.

- For instance, clearly 2 < e.
- ▶ By comparing with the geometric series $\sum_{n} 2^{-n}$, it can be shown easily that e < 3.
- ► Also we have,

$$\boxed{e = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n}.$$

(9)

Also we have:

$$\boxed{\overline{(e^z)} = e^{\overline{z}}}.$$
(10)

directly from the power series definition and continuity of the conjugation.

Also we have:

$$\boxed{\overline{(e^z)} = e^{\overline{z}}}.$$
(10)

directly from the power series definition and continuity of the conjugation.

▶ This, in particular, implies that for a real number *y*,

$$|e^{\imath y}|^2 = e^{\imath y}\overline{e^{\imath y}} = e^{\imath y}e^{-\imath y} = 1.$$

Also we have:

$$\boxed{\overline{(e^z)} = e^{\overline{z}}}.$$
(10)

directly from the power series definition and continuity of the conjugation.

▶ This, in particular, implies that for a real number *y*,

$$|e^{iy}|^2 = e^{iy}\overline{e^{iy}} = e^{iy}e^{-iy} = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\boxed{|e^{iy}|=1, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.}$$
(11)