

Projective modules over overrings of polynomial rings

Alpesh M. Dhorajia and Manoj K. Keshari

Department of Mathematics, IIT Mumbai, Mumbai - 400076, India; alpesh,keshari@math.iitb.ac.in

Abstract: Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension d and let P be a projective $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$ -module of rank $r \geq \max \{2, \dim A + 1\}$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$. Then

(i) The natural map $\Phi_r : \mathrm{GL}_r(R)/\mathrm{EL}_r^1(R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ is surjective (3.8).

(ii) Assume f_i is a monic polynomial. Then Φ_{r+1} is an isomorphism (3.8).

(iii) $\mathrm{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Um}(R \oplus P)$. In particular, P is cancellative (3.12).

(iv) If A is an affine algebra over a field, then P has a unimodular element (3.13).

In the case of Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $f_i = Y_i$), (i, ii) are due to Suslin [12], (iii) is due to Lindel [4] and (iv) is due to Bhatwadekar, Lindel and Rao [2].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 13C10, secondary 13B25.

Key words: projective module, unimodular element, cancellation problem.

1 Introduction

All the rings are assumed to be commutative Noetherian and all the modules are finitely generated.

Let A be a ring of dimension d and let P be a projective A -module of rank n . We say that P is *cancellative* if $P \oplus A^m \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \oplus A^m$ for some projective A -module Q implies $P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$. We say that P has a *unimodular element* if $P \xrightarrow{\sim} P' \oplus A$ for some projective A -module P' .

Assume $\mathrm{rank} P > \dim A$. Then (i) Bass [1] proved that $\mathrm{EL}^1(A \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Um}(A \oplus P)$. In particular, P is cancellative and (ii) Serre [11] proved that P has a unimodular element.

Later, Plumstead [7] generalized above results by proving that if P is a projective $A[T]$ -module of rank $> \dim A = \dim A[T] - 1$, then (i) P is cancellative and (ii) P has a unimodular element.

Let P be a projective $A[X_1, \dots, X_l]$ -module of rank $> \dim A$. Then (i) Ravi Rao [9] proved that P is cancellative and (ii) Bhatwadekar and Roy [3] proved that P has a unimodular element, thus generalizing Plumstead's results.

Let P be a projective $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_m^{\pm 1}]$ -module of rank $\geq \max(2, 1 + \dim A)$. Then (i) Lindel [4] proved that $\mathrm{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Um}(R \oplus P)$. In particular, P is cancellative and (ii) Bhatwadekar, Lindel and Rao [2] proved that P has a unimodular element.

In another direction, Ravi Rao [10] generalized Plumstead's result by proving that if $R = A[T, 1/g(T)]$ or $R = A[T, \frac{f_1(T)}{g(T)}, \dots, \frac{f_r(T)}{g(T)}]$, where $g(T) \in A[T]$ is a non-zerodivisor and if P is a projective R -module of rank $> \dim A$, then P is cancellative. We will generalize Rao's result by proving that $\mathrm{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Um}(R \oplus P)$ (3.14).

Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ and let P be a projective R -module of rank $\geq \max \{2, \dim A + 1\}$. Then we show that (i) $\mathrm{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Um}(R \oplus P)$

and (ii) If A is an affine algebra over a field, then P has a unimodular element (3.12, 3.13), thus generalizing results of ([4], [2]) where it is proved for $f_i = Y_i$.

As an application, we prove the following result (3.16): Let \bar{k} be an algebraically closed field with $1/d! \in \bar{k}$ and let A be an affine \bar{k} -algebra of dimension d . Let $R = A[T, 1/f(T)]$ or $R = A[T, \frac{f_1(T)}{f(T)}, \dots, \frac{f_r(T)}{f(T)}]$, where $f(T)$ is a monic polynomial and $f(T), f_1(T), \dots, f_r(T)$ is $A[T]$ -regular sequence. Then every projective R -module of rank $\geq d$ is cancellative. (See [5] for motivation)

2 Preliminaries

Let A be a ring and let M be an A -module. For $m \in M$, we define $O_M(m) = \{\varphi(m) | \varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)\}$. We say that m is *unimodular* if $O_M(m) = A$. The set of all unimodular elements of M will be denoted by $\text{Um}(M)$. We denote by $\text{Aut}_A(M)$, the group of all A -automorphism of M . For an ideal J of A , we denote by $\text{Aut}_A(M, J)$, the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{Aut}_A(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(M/JM)$.

We denote by $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus M, J)$, the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_A(A \oplus M)$ generated by all the automorphisms $\Delta_{a\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a\varphi \\ 0 & id_M \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & id_M \end{pmatrix}$ with $a \in J, \varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ and $m \in M$.

We denote by $\text{Um}^1(A \oplus M, J)$, the set of all $(a, m) \in \text{Um}(A \oplus M)$ such that $a \in 1 + J$ and by $\text{Um}(A \oplus M, J)$, the set of all $(a, m) \in \text{Um}^1(A \oplus M, J)$ with $m \in JM$. We will write $\text{Um}_r^1(A, J)$ for $\text{Um}^1(A \oplus A^{r-1}, J)$ and $\text{Um}_r(A, J)$ for $\text{Um}(A \oplus A^{r-1}, J)$.

We will write $\text{EL}_r^1(A, J)$ for $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus A^{r-1}, J)$, $\text{EL}_r^1(A)$ for $\text{EL}_r^1(A, A)$ and $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus M)$ for $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus M, A)$.

Remark 2.1 (i) Let $I \subset J$ be ideals of a ring A and let P be a projective A -module. Then, it is easy to see that the natural map $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J) \rightarrow \text{EL}^1(\frac{A}{I} \oplus \frac{P}{IP}, \frac{J}{I})$ is surjective.

(ii) Let $E_r(A)$ be the group generated by elementary matrices $E_{i_0 j_0}(a) = (a_{ij})$, where $i_0 \neq j_0$, $a_{ii} = 1$, $a_{i_0 j_0} = a \in A$ and remaining $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Then using ([13], Lemma 2.1), it is easy to see that $E_r(A) = \text{EL}_r^1(A)$.

The following result is a consequence of a theorem of Eisenbud-Evans as stated in ([7], p.1420).

Theorem 2.2 *Let R be a ring and let P be a projective R -module of rank r . Let $(a, \alpha) \in (R \oplus P^*)$. Then there exists $\beta \in P^*$ such that $\text{ht } I_a \geq r$, where $I = (\alpha + a\beta)(P)$. In particular, if the ideal $(\alpha(P), a)$ has height $\geq r$, then $\text{ht } I \geq r$. Further, if $(\alpha(P), a)$ is an ideal of height $\geq r$ and I is a proper ideal of R , then $\text{ht } I = r$.*

The following two results are due to Wiemers ([13], Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.2).

Proposition 2.3 *Let A be a ring and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_m^{\pm 1}]$. Let c be the element $1, X_n$ or $Y_m - 1$. If $s \in A$ and $r \geq \max \{3, \dim A + 2\}$, then $\text{EL}_r^1(R, sc)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}_r^1(R, sc)$.*

Theorem 2.4 *Let A be a ring and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, Y_m^{\pm 1}]$. Let P be a projective R -module of rank $r \geq \max \{2, \dim A + 1\}$. If J denotes the ideal R , $X_n R$ or $(Y_m - 1)R$, then $\text{EL}^1(R \oplus P, J)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}^1(R \oplus P, J)$.*

The following result is due to Ravi Rao ([10], Lemma 2.1).

Lemma 2.5 *Let $B \subset C$ be rings of dimension d and $x \in B$ such that $B_x = C_x$. Then*

(i) $B/(1 + xb)B = C/(1 + xb)C$ for all $b \in B$.

(ii) *If I is an ideal of C such that $\text{ht } I \geq d$ and $I + xC = C$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $1 + xb \in I$.*

(iii) *If $c \in C$, then $c = 1 + x + x^2h \pmod{(1 + xb)}$ for some $h \in B$ and for all $b \in B$.*

Definition 2.6 *Let A be a ring and let M, N be A -modules. Suppose $f, g : M \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ be two isomorphisms. We say that “ f is isotopic to g ” if there exists an isomorphism $\phi(X) : M[X] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[X]$ such that $\phi(0) = f$ and $\phi(1) = g$.*

Note that if $\sigma \in \text{EL}^1(A \oplus M)$, then σ is isotopic to identity.

The following lemma follows from the well known Quillen splitting lemma ([8], Lemma 1) and its proof is essentially contained in ([8], Theorem 1).

Lemma 2.7 *Let A be a ring and let P be a projective A -module. Let $s, t \in A$ be two comaximal elements. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{A_{st}}(P_{st})$ which is isotopic to identity. Then $\sigma = \tau_s \theta_t$, where $\tau \in \text{Aut}_{A_t}(P_t)$ such that $\tau = \text{id}$ modulo sA and $\theta \in \text{Aut}_{A_s}(P_s)$ such that $\theta = \text{id}$ modulo tA .*

The following two results are due to Suslin ([12], Corrolary 5.7 and Theorem 6.3).

Theorem 2.8 *Let A be a ring and let $f \in A[X]$ be a monic polynomial. Let $\alpha \in \text{GL}_r(A[X])$ be such that $\alpha_f \in \text{EL}_r^1(A[X]_f)$. Then $\alpha \in \text{EL}_r^1(A[X])$.*

Theorem 2.9 *Let A be a ring and $B = A[X_1, \dots, X_l]$. Then the canonical map $\text{GL}_r(B)/\text{EL}_r^1(B) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ is an isomorphism for $r \geq \max \{3, \dim A + 2\}$. In particular, if $\alpha \in \text{GL}_r(B)$ is stably elementary, then α is elementary.*

3 Main Theorem

We begin this section with the following result which is easy to prove. We give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.1 *Let A be a ring and let P be a projective A -module. Let “bar” denote reduction modulo the nil radical of A . For an ideal J of A , if $\text{EL}^1(\overline{A} \oplus \overline{P}, \overline{J})$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}^1(\overline{A} \oplus \overline{P}, \overline{J})$, then $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}^1(A \oplus P, J)$.*

Proof Let $(a, p) \in \text{Um}^1(A \oplus P, J)$. By hypothesis, there exists a $\sigma \in \text{EL}^1(\overline{A} \oplus \overline{P}, \overline{J})$ such that $\sigma(\overline{a}, \overline{p}) = (1, 0)$. Using (2.1), let $\varphi \in \text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J)$ be a lift of σ such that $\varphi(a, p) = (1 + b, q)$, where $b \in N = \text{nil}(A)$ and $q \in NP$. Note that $b \in N \cap J$. Since $1 + b$ is a unit, we get $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_{\frac{-q}{1+b}} \in \text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J)$ such that $\Gamma_1(1 + b, q) = (1 + b, 0)$. It is easy to see that there exists $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in P^*$ such that $\alpha_1(p_1) + \dots + \alpha_n(p_n) = 1$. Write $h = \sum_2^n \alpha_i(p_i)$. Note that $(1 + b, 0) = (1 + \sum_1^n b\alpha_i(p_i), 0)$, $\Gamma_{\frac{p_1}{1+b}}(1 + b, 0) = (1 + b, p_1)$ and $\Delta_{-b\alpha_1}(1 + b, p_1) = (1 + bh, p_1)$, where $\Delta_{-b\alpha_1} \in \text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J)$. Since $1 + bh$ is a unit, $\Gamma_{\frac{-p_1}{1+bh}}(1 + bh, p_1) = (1 + bh, 0) = (1 + \sum_2^n b\alpha_i(p_i), 0)$. Applying further transformations as above, we can take $(1 + \sum_2^n b\alpha_i(p_i), 0)$ to $(1, 0)$ by elements of $\text{EL}^1(A \oplus P, J)$. \square

The following lemma is similar to the Quillen's splitting lemma (2.7). We will sketch the proof. Recall that for a ring B and an element $s \in B$, $\text{SL}_n^1(B, s)$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(B)$ consisting of those elements whose first row is $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ modulo the ideal (s) .

Lemma 3.2 *Let A be a ring and let u, v be two comaximal elements of A . For any $s \in A$, every $\alpha \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_{uv}, s)$ has a splitting $(\alpha_1)_v \circ (\alpha_2)_u$, where $\alpha_1 \in \text{SL}_n^1(A_u, s)$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_v, s)$.*

Proof If $\alpha \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_{uv}, s)$, then $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i$, where α_i is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & s\underline{v} \\ 0 & Id_M \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \underline{w}^t & Id_M \end{pmatrix}$, where $M = A_{uv}^{n-1}$, $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in M$.

Define $\alpha(X) \in \text{EL}_n^1(A[X]_{uv}, s)$ by $\alpha(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(X)$, where $\alpha_i(X)$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & sX\underline{v} \\ 0 & Id_{M[X]} \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X\underline{w}^t & Id_{M[X]} \end{pmatrix}$ as may be the case above.

Since $\alpha(0) = id$ and $\alpha(1) = \alpha$, α is isotopic to identity. Using proof of (2.7) ([6], Lemma 2.19), we get that $\alpha(X) = (\psi_1(X))_v \circ (\psi_2(X))_u$, where $\psi_1(X) = \alpha(X) \circ \alpha(\lambda u^k X)^{-1} \in \text{SL}_n^1(A_u[X], s)$ and $\psi_2(X) = \alpha(\lambda u^k X) \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_v[X], s)$ with $\lambda \in A$, $k \gg 0$. Write $\psi_1(1) = \alpha_1 \in \text{SL}_n^1(A_u, s)$ and $\psi_2(1) = \alpha_2 \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_v, s)$, we get that $\alpha(1) = \alpha = (\alpha_1)_v \circ (\alpha_2)_u$. \square

Remark 3.3 We do not know whether $\alpha_1 \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_u, s)$ in the above result. In particular, we can ask the following question: Let A be a ring and let u, v be two comaximal elements of A . Let $\alpha \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_{uv})$. Does α has a splitting $(\alpha_1)_v \circ (\alpha_2)_u$, where $\alpha_1 \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_u)$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{EL}_n^1(A_v)$?

Definition 3.4 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We say that a ring R is of the type $A\{d, l, m, n\}$, if R is an A -algebra generated by $X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, T_1, \dots, T_n$, $\frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}, \frac{g_{11}}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{g_{1t_1}}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{g_{n1}}{h_n}, \dots, \frac{g_{nt_n}}{h_n}$, where X_i 's, Y_i 's and T_i 's are variables over A , $f_i \in A[Y_i]$, $g_{ij} \in A[T_i]$, $h_i \in A[T_i]$ and h_i 's are non-zerodivisors.*

For Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $f_i = Y_i$), the following result is due to Wiemers (2.3).

Proposition 3.5 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ (i.e. R is of the type $A\{d, l, m, 0\}$). If $s \in A$ and $r \geq \max\{3, d + 2\}$, then $\text{EL}_r^1(R, s)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}_r^1(R, s)$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that A is reduced. The case $m = 0$ is due to Wiemers (2.3). Assume $m \geq 1$ and apply induction on m .

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \text{Um}_r^1(R, s)$. Consider a multiplicative closed subset $S = 1 + f_m A[Y_m]$ of $A[Y_m]$. Then $R_S = B[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_{m-1}}]$, where $B = A[Y_m]_{f_m S}$ and $\dim B = \dim A$. Since R_S is of the type $B\{d, l, m-1, 0\}$, by induction hypothesis on m , there exists $\sigma \in \text{EL}_r^1(R_S, s)$ such that $\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. We can find $g \in S$ and $\sigma' \in \text{EL}_r^1(R_g, s)$ such that $\sigma'(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Write $C = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}}]$. Consider the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & R = C_{f_m} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_g & \longrightarrow & R_g = C_{g f_m}. \end{array}$$

Since $\sigma' \in \text{EL}_r^1(C_{g f_m}, s)$, by (3.2), $\sigma' = (\sigma_2)_{f_m} \circ (\sigma_1)_g$, where $\sigma_2 \in \text{SL}_r^1(C_g, s)$ and $\sigma_1 \in \text{EL}_r^1(R, s)$. Since $(\sigma_1)_g(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (\sigma_2)_{f_m}^{-1}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, patching $\sigma_1(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \text{Um}_r^1(C_{f_m}, s)$ and $(\sigma_2)^{-1}(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \text{Um}_r^1(C_g, s)$, we get a unimodular row $(c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \text{Um}_r^1(C, s)$. Since C is of the type $A\{d, l+1, m-1, 0\}$, by induction hypothesis on m , there exists $\phi \in \text{EL}_r^1(C, s)$ such that $\phi(c_1, \dots, c_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Taking projection, we get $\Phi \in \text{EL}_r^1(R, s)$ such that $\Phi \sigma_1(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$. Let c be 1 or X_l . If $s \in A$ and $r \geq \max\{3, d+2\}$, then $\text{EL}_r^1(R, sc)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}_r^1(R, sc)$.*

Proof Let $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \text{Um}_r^1(R, sc)$. The case $c = 1$ is done by (3.5). Assume $c = X_l$. We can assume, after an $\text{EL}_r^1(R, sX_l)$ -transformation, that $a_2, \dots, a_r \in sX_l R$. Then we can find $(b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \text{Um}_r(R, sX_l)$ such that the following equation holds:

$$a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_r b_r = 1. \quad (i)$$

Now consider the A -automorphism $\mu : R \rightarrow R$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &\mapsto X_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l-1, \\ X_l &\mapsto X_l (f_1 \dots f_m)^N \text{ for some large positive integer } N. \end{aligned}$$

Applying μ , we can read the image of equation (i) in the subring $S = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$. By (2.3), we obtain $\psi \in \text{EL}_r^1(R, sX_l)$ such that $\psi(\mu(a_1), \dots, \mu(a_r)) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Since $\mu^{-1}(X_l)$ and X_l generate the same ideal in R , applying μ^{-1} , the proof follows. \square

Corollary 3.7 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$. Then $\text{EL}_r^1(R)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}_r(R)$ for $r \geq \max\{3, d+2\}$.*

The following result is similar to ([10], Theorem 5.1). The Laurent polynomial case (i.e. $f_i = Y_i$) is due to Suslin [12].

Theorem 3.8 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ (i.e. R is of the type $A\{d, l, m, 0\}$). Then*

(i) *the canonical map $\Phi_r : \mathrm{GL}_r(R)/\mathrm{EL}_r^1(R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ is surjective for $r \geq \max\{2, d+1\}$.*

(ii) *Assume $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ is a monic polynomial for all i . Then for $r \geq \max\{3, d+2\}$, any stably elementary matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_r(R)$ is in $\mathrm{EL}_r^1(R)$. In particular, Φ_{d+2} is an isomorphism.*

Proof (i) Let $[M] \in K_1(R)$. We have to show that $[M] = [N]$ in $K_1(R)$ for some $N \in \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(R)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M \in \mathrm{GL}_{d+2}(R)$. By (3.5), there exists an elementary matrix $\sigma \in \mathrm{EL}_{d+2}^1(R)$ such that $M\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} M' & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying further $\sigma' \in \mathrm{EL}_{d+2}^1(R)$, we get $\sigma'M\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $M', N \in \mathrm{GL}_{d+1}(R)$. Hence $[M] = [N]$ in $K_1(R)$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_r(R)$ be a stably elementary matrix. For $m = 0$, we are done by (2.9). Assume $m \geq 1$.

Let $S = 1 + f_m A[Y_m]$. Then $R_S = B[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_{m-1}}]$, where $B = A[Y_m]_{f_m S}$ and $\dim B = \dim A$. Since R_S is of the type $B\{d, l, m-1, 0\}$, by induction hypothesis on m , $M \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(R_S)$. Hence there exists $g \in S$ such that $M \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(R_g)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(R_g)$ be such that $\sigma M = \mathrm{Id}$.

Write $C = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_{m-1}}]$. Consider the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & C_{f_m} = R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_g & \longrightarrow & C_{gf_m} = R_g. \end{array}$$

By (3.2), $\sigma = (\sigma_2)_{f_m} \circ (\sigma_1)_g$, where $\sigma_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_r(C_g)$ and $\sigma_1 \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(C_{f_m})$. Since $(\sigma_1 M)_g = (\sigma_2)_{f_m}^{-1}$, patching $\sigma_1 M$ and $(\sigma_2)^{-1}$, we get $N \in \mathrm{GL}_r(C)$ such that $N_{f_m} = \sigma_1 M$.

Write $D = A[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_{m-1}}]$. Then $D[Y_m] = C$ and $D[Y_m]_{f_m} = R$. Since $N \in \mathrm{GL}_r(D[Y_m])$, $f_m \in D[Y_m]$ is a monic polynomial and $N_{f_m} = \sigma_1 M$ is stably elementary, by (2.8), N is stably elementary. Since C is of the type $A\{d, l+1, m-1, 0\}$, by induction hypothesis on m , $N \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(C)$. Since σ_1 is elementary, we get that $M \in \mathrm{EL}_r^1(R)$. This completes the proof of (ii). \square

Lemma 3.9 *Let R be a ring of the type $A\{d, l, m, n\}$. Let P be a projective R -module of rank $r \geq \max\{2, 1+d\}$. Then there exists an $s \in A$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \mathrm{Hom}(P, R)$ such that the following properties holds.*

(i) P_s is free.

- (ii) $(\varphi_i(p_j)) = \text{diagonal}(s, s, \dots, s)$.
- (iii) $sP \subset p_1A + \dots + p_rA$.
- (iv) The image of s in A_{red} is a nonzero divisor.
- (v) $(0 : sA) = (0 : s^2A)$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that A is reduced. Let S be the set of all non-zerodivisors in A . Since $\dim A_S = 0$ and projective R_S -module P_S has constant rank, we may assume that A_S is a field. Then it is easy to see that $A_S[T_i, \frac{g_{ij}}{h_i}] = A_S[T_i, \frac{1}{h_i}]$ (assuming $\gcd(g_{ij}, h_i) = 1$). Therefore $R_S = A_S[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, T_1, \dots, T_n, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m h_1 \dots h_n}]$ is a localization of a polynomial ring over a field. Hence projective modules over R_S are stably free. Since P_S is stably free of rank $\geq \max\{2, 1 + d\}$, by (3.5), P_S is a free R_S -module of rank r . We can find an $s \in S$ such that P_s is a free R_s -module. The remaining properties can be checked by taking a basis $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ of P_s , a basis $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}(P, R)$ of P_s^* and replacing s by some power of s , if needed. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.10 *Let R be a ring of the type $A\{d, l, m, n\}$. Let P be a projective R -module of rank r . Choose $s \in A$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}(P, R)$ satisfying the properties of (3.9). Let $(a, p) \in \text{Um}(R \oplus P, sA)$ with $p = c_1p_1 + \dots + c_rp_r$, where $c_i \in sR$ for $i = 1$ to r . Assume there exists $\phi \in \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(R, s)$ such that $\phi(a, c_1, \dots, c_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then there exists $\Phi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ such that $\Phi(a, p) = (1, 0)$.*

Proof Since $\phi \in \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(R, s)$, $\phi = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_j$, where $\phi_j = \Delta_{s\psi_j}$ or Γ_{v^t} with $\psi_j = (b_{1j}, \dots, b_{rj}) \in R^{r*}$ and $v = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \in R^r$.

Define a map $\Theta : \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(R, s) \rightarrow \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ as follows

$$\Theta(\Delta_{s\psi_j}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum_{i=1}^r b_{ij}\varphi_i \\ 0 & id_P \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(\Gamma_{v^t}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^r f_i p_i & id_P \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\Phi = \prod_{j=1}^n \Theta(\phi_j) \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$. Then it is easy to see that $\Phi(a, p) = (1, 0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.11 From the proof of above lemma, it is clear that if $\phi \in \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(R, sX_l)$ such that $\phi(a, c_1, \dots, c_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $\Phi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P, X_l)$ such that $\Phi(a, p) = (1, 0)$.

For Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $f_i = Y_i$ and $J = R$), the following result is due to Lindel [4].

Theorem 3.12 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ (i.e. R is of the type $A\{d, l, m, 0\}$). Let P be a projective R -module of rank $r \geq \max\{2, d + 1\}$. If J denote the ideal R or X_lR , then $\text{EL}^1(R \oplus P, J)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}^1(R \oplus P, J)$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that A is reduced. We will use induction on d . When $d = 0$, we may assume that A is a field. Hence projective modules over R are stably free (proof of lemma 3.9). Using (3.6), we are done.

Assume $d > 0$. By (3.9), there exists a non-zerodivisor $s \in A$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r \in P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ satisfying the properties of (3.9). If $s \in A$ is a unit, then P is a free and the result follows from (3.6). Assume s is not a unit.

Let $(a, p) \in \text{Um}^1(R \oplus P, J)$. Let “bar” denotes reduction modulo the ideal s^2R . Since $\dim \bar{A} < \dim A$, by induction hypothesis, there exists $\varphi \in \text{EL}^1(\bar{R} \oplus \bar{P}, \bar{J})$ such that $\varphi(\bar{a}, \bar{p}) = (1, 0)$. Using (2.1), let $\Phi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P, J)$ be a lift of φ and $\Phi(a, p) = (b, q)$, where $b \equiv 1 \pmod{s^2JR}$ and $q \in s^2JP$.

By (3.9), there exists $a_1, \dots, a_r \in sJR$ such that $q = a_1p_1 + \dots + a_rp_r$. It follows that $(b, a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \text{Um}_{r+1}(R, sJ)$. By (3.6), there exists $\phi \in \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(R, sJ)$ such that $\phi(b, a_1, \dots, a_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Applying (3.11), we get $\Psi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P, J)$ such that $\Psi(b, q) = (1, 0)$. Therefore $\Psi\Phi(a, p) = (1, 0)$. This completes the proof. \square

For Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $f_i = Y_i$), the following result is due to Bhatwadekar-Lindel-Rao [2].

Theorem 3.13 *Let k be a field and let A be an affine k -algebra of dimension d . Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ (i.e. R is of the type $A\{d, l, m, 0\}$). Then every projective R -module P of rank $\geq d + 1$ has a unimodular element.*

Proof We assume that A is reduced and use induction on $\dim A$. If $\dim A = 0$, then every projective module of constant rank is free (3.5, 3.9). Assume $\dim A > 0$.

By (3.9), there exists a non-zerodivisor $s \in A$ such that P_s is free R_s -module. Let “bar” denote reduction modulo the ideal sR . By induction hypothesis, \bar{P} has a unimodular element, say \bar{p} . Clearly $(p, s) \in \text{Um}(P \oplus R)$, where $p \in P$ is a lift of \bar{p} . By (2.2), we may assume that $\text{ht } I \geq d + 1$, where $I = O_P(p)$. We claim that $I_{(1+sA)} = R_{(1+sA)}$ (i.e. $p \in \text{Um}(P_{1+sA})$).

Since R is a Jacobson ring, $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap \mathfrak{m}$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of R containing I . Since $I + sR = R$, $s \notin (I \cap A)$. Let \mathfrak{m} be any maximal ideal of R which contains I . Since A and R are affine k -algebras, $\mathfrak{m} \cap A$ is a maximal ideal of A . Hence $\mathfrak{m} \cap A$ contains an element of the form $1 + sa$ for some $a \in A$ (as $s \notin \mathfrak{m} \cap A$). Hence $\mathfrak{m}R_{(1+sA)} = R_{(1+sA)}$ and $I_{(1+sA)} = R_{(1+sA)}$. This proves the claim.

Let $S = 1 + sA$. Let $t \in S$ be such that $p \in \text{Um}(P_t)$. Choose $p_1 \in \text{Um}(P_s)$. Since R_{sS} is of the type $A_{sS}\{d - 1, l, m, 0\}$, by (3.12), there exist $\varphi \in \text{EL}^1(P_{sS})$ such that $\varphi(p_1) = p$. We can choose $t_1 = tt_2 \in S$ such that $\varphi \in \text{EL}^1(P_{st_1})$. By (2.7), $\varphi = (\varphi_1)_s \circ (\varphi_2)_{t_1}$, where $\varphi_2 \in \text{Aut}(P_s)$ and $\varphi_1 \in \text{Aut}(P_{t_1})$. Consider the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & P_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{t_1} & \longrightarrow & P_{st_1} \end{array}$$

Since $(\varphi_2)_{t_1}(p_1) = (\varphi_1)_s^{-1}(p)$, patching $\varphi_2(p_1) \in \text{Um}(P_s)$ and $\varphi_1^{-1}(p) \in \text{Um}(P_t)$, we get a unimodular element in P . This proves the result. \square

The following result generalizes a result of Ravi Rao [10] where it is proved that P is cancellative.

Theorem 3.14 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and let $R = A[X, \frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}]$, where $g, f_i \in A[X]$ with g a non-zerodivisor. Let P be a projective R -module of rank $r \geq \max\{2, d+1\}$. Then $\text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(R \oplus P)$.*

Proof We will assume that A is reduced and apply induction on $\dim A$. If $\dim A = 0$, then we may assume that A is a field. Hence R is a PID and P is free. By (2.3), we are done.

Assume $\dim A = d > 0$. By (3.9), we can choose a non-zerodivisor $s \in A$, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r \in P^*$ satisfying the properties of (3.9).

Let $(a, p) \in \text{Um}(R \oplus P)$. Let “bar” denotes reduction modulo sgR . Then $\dim \bar{R} < \dim R$ and $r \geq \dim \bar{R} + 1$. By Serre’s result [11], \bar{P} has a unimodular element, say \bar{q} . Then $(0, \bar{q}) \in \text{Um}(\bar{R} \oplus \bar{P})$. By Bass result [1], there exists $\phi \in \text{EL}^1(\bar{R} \oplus \bar{P})$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}, \bar{p}) = (0, \bar{q})$. Using (2.1), let $\Phi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ be a lift of ϕ and $\Phi(a, p) = (b, q)$, where $b \in sgR$. By (2.2), we may assume that $\text{ht } O_P(q) \geq d+1$.

Write $B = A[X], x = sg, I = O_P(q)$ and $C = R$. Then $\dim B = \dim C$ and $B_{sg} = C_{sg}$. By (2.5(ii)), there exists $h \in A[X]$ such that $1 + sgh \in O_P(q)$. Hence there exists $\varphi \in P^*$ such that $\varphi(q) = 1 + sgh$.

By (2.5(iii)), there exists $b' \in R$ such that $b - b'(1 + sgh) = 1 + sg + s^2g^2h'$ for some $h' \in A[X]$. Since $\Delta_{-b'\varphi}(b, q) = (b - b'\varphi(q), q) = (1 + sg + s^2g^2h', q) = (b_0, q)$ and $\Gamma_{-q}(b_0, q) = (b_0, q - b_0q) = (b_0, sgq_1)$ for some $q_1 \in P$ and $b_0 \in A[X]$ with $b_0 = 1 \pmod{sgA[X]}$.

Write $sgq_1 = c_1p_1 + \dots + c_r p_r$ for some $c_i \in R$. Then $(b_0, c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \text{Um}_{r+1}^1(R, sg)$. It is easy to see that by adding some multiples of b_0 to c_1, \dots, c_r , we may assume that $(b_0, c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \text{Um}^1(A[X], sgA[X])$. By (2.3), there exists $\Theta \in \text{EL}_{r+1}^1(A[X], s)$ such that $\Theta(b_0, c_1, \dots, c_r) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Applying (3.10), there exists $\Psi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ such that $\Psi(b_0, sgq_1) = (1, 0)$. This proves the result. \square

Question 3.15 *Let R be a ring of type $A\{d, l, m, n\}$ and let P be a projective R -module of rank $\geq \max\{2, d+1\}$.*

- (i) *Does $\text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}(R \oplus P)$? In particular, Is P cancellative?*
- (ii) *Does P has a unimodular element?*

Assume $n = 0$. Then (i) is (3.12) and for affine algebras over a field, (ii) is (3.13).

When either P is free or $\bar{k} = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, then the following result is proved in [5].

Theorem 3.16 *Let \bar{k} be an algebraically closed field with $1/d! \in \bar{k}$ and let A be an affine \bar{k} -algebra of dimension d . Let $f(T) \in A[T]$ be a monic polynomial and assume that either*

- (i) *$R = A[T, \frac{1}{f(T)}]$ or*
- (ii) *$R = A[T, \frac{f_1}{f}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{f}]$, where f, f_1, \dots, f_n is $A[T]$ -regular sequence.*

Then every projective R -module P of rank d is cancellative.

Proof By (3.9), there exists a non-zerodivisor $s \in A$ satisfying the properties of (3.9). Let $(a, p) \in \text{Um}(R \oplus P)$.

Let “bar” denote reduction modulo ideal s^3A . Since $\dim \bar{A} < \dim A$, by (3.12, 3.14), there exists $\phi \in \text{EL}^1(\bar{R} \oplus \bar{P})$ such that $\phi(\bar{a}, \bar{p}) = (1, 0)$. Let $\Phi \in \text{EL}^1(R \oplus P)$ be a lift of ϕ . Then $\Phi(a, p) = (b, q)$, where $(b, q) \in \text{Um}^1(R \oplus P, s^2A)$. Now the proof follows by ([5], Theorem 4.4). \square

The proof of the following result is same as of (3.16) using ([5], Theorem 5.5).

Theorem 3.17 *Let k be a real closed field and let A be an affine k -algebra of dimension $d - 2$. Let $f \in A[X, T]$ be a monic polynomial in T which does not belong to any real maximal ideal of $A[X, T]$. Assume that either*

(i) $R = A[X, T, 1/f]$ or

(ii) $R = A[X, T, f_1/f, \dots, f_n/f]$, where f, f_1, \dots, f_n is $A[X, T]$ -regular sequence.

Then every projective R -module of rank $d - 1$ is cancellative.

4 An analogue of Wiemers result

We begin this section with the following result which can be proved by the same arguments as in ([13], Corollary 3.4) and using (3.12)

Theorem 4.1 *Let A be a ring of dimension d and $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$, where $f_i \in A[Y_i]$. Let P be a projective R -module of rank $\geq d + 1$. Then the natural map $\text{Aut}_R(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\bar{R}}(P/X_l P)$ with $\bar{R} = R/X_l R$ is surjective.*

Using the automorphism μ defined in (3.6), the following result can be proved by the same arguments as in ([13], Proposition 4.1).

Proposition 4.2 *Let A be a ring of dimension d , $1/d! \in A$ and $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$ with $l \geq 1$, $f_i \in A[Y_i]$. Then $GL_{d+1}(R, X_l J R)$ acts transitively on $\text{Um}_{d+1}(R, X_l J R)$, where J is an ideal of A .*

When $f_i = Y_i$, the following result is due to Wiemers ([13], Theorem 4.3). The proof of this result is same as of ([13], Theorem 4.3) using (4.1, 4.2).

Theorem 4.3 *Let A be a ring of dimension d with $1/d! \in A$ and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, \frac{1}{f_1 \dots f_m}]$ with $f_i \in A[Y_i]$ for $i = 1$ to m . Let P be a projective R -module of rank $\geq d$. If Q is another projective R -module such that $R \oplus P \cong R \oplus Q$ and $\bar{P} \cong \bar{Q}$, then $P \cong Q$, where “bar” denote reduction modulo the ideal $(X_1, \dots, X_l)R$.*

Using (3.16, 4.3), we get the following result.

Corollary 4.4 *Let \bar{k} be an algebraically closed field with $1/d! \in \bar{k}$ and let A be an affine \bar{k} -algebra of dimension d . Let $f(T) \in A[T]$ be a monic polynomial and let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_l, T, \frac{1}{f(T)}]$. Then every projective R -module of rank $\geq d$ is cancellative.*

References

- [1] H. Bass, *K-theory and stable algebra*, IHES **22** (1964), 5-60.
- [2] S.M. Bhatwadekar, H. Lindel and R.A. Rao, *The Bass Murthy question: Serre dimension of Laurent polynomial extensions*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 189-203.
- [3] S.M. Bhatwadekar and A. Roy, *Some theorems about projective modules over polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **86** (1984), 150-158.
- [4] H. Lindel, *Unimodular elements in projective modules*, J. Algebra **172** (1995), 301-319.
- [5] M.K. Keshari, *Cancellation problem for projective modules over affine algebras*, Journal of K-Theory **3** (2009), 561-581.
- [6] M.K. Keshari, *Euler class group of a Noetherian ring*, M. Phil Thesis (2001), www.math.iitb.ac.in/~keshari.
- [7] B. Plumstead, *The conjectures of Eisenbud and Evans*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 1417-1433.
- [8] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167-171.
- [9] Ravi A. Rao, *A question of H. Bass on the cancellative nature of large projective modules over polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 641-657.
- [10] Ravi A. Rao, *Stability theorems for overrings of polynomial rings, II*, J. Algebra **78** (1982), 437-444.
- [11] J.P. Serre, *Modules projectifs et espaces fibres a fibre vectorielle*, Sem. Dubreil-Pisot **23**, 1957/58.
- [12] A.A. Suslin, *On the structure of the special linear group over polynomial rings*, Math. USSR Izvestija **11** (1977), 221-238.
- [13] A. Wiemers, *Cancellation properties of projective modules over Laurent polynomial rings*, J. Algebra **156** (1993), 108-124.