Edge Ideals: Their algebraic and combinatorial invariants

Jayanthan A V

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India.

Diamond Jubilee Symposium, IIT Bombay

Rafael Villarreal defined edge ideals corresponding to a finite simple graph (1990).

Rafael Villarreal defined edge ideals corresponding to a finite simple graph (1990).

Let *G* be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Then the ideal $I_G := \langle \{x_i x_j \mid \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)\} \rangle \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called the monomial edge ideal of *G*.

Rafael Villarreal defined edge ideals corresponding to a finite simple graph (1990).

Let *G* be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Then the ideal $I_G := \langle \{x_i x_j \mid \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)\} \rangle \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called the monomial edge ideal of *G*.



Rafael Villarreal defined edge ideals corresponding to a finite simple graph (1990).

Let *G* be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Then the ideal $I_G := \langle \{x_i x_j \mid \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)\} \rangle \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called the monomial edge ideal of *G*.



 I_G is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the corresponding independence complex of G.

Question

How do the invariants of finite simple graphs relate to the invariants of the edge ideals, and vice versa?

Question

How do the invariants of finite simple graphs relate to the invariants of the edge ideals, and vice versa?

One of the first such result in this direction is that a G graph is co-chordal if and only if I(G) has linear resolution. (Fröberg, 1990)

Question

How do the invariants of finite simple graphs relate to the invariants of the edge ideals, and vice versa?

One of the first such result in this direction is that a G graph is co-chordal if and only if I(G) has linear resolution. (Fröberg, 1990)

A graph G is chordal if it has no induced cycle of length 4 or more and G is said to be co-chordal if its complement is chordal.

A resolution of an ideal I is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to R^{\beta_n} \to \dots \to R^{\beta_1} \to R^{\beta_0} \to I \to 0.$$

It is said to be linear if the *j*-the syzygy is generated in at most $\deg(I) + j$ degrees.

A resolution of an ideal I is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to R^{\beta_n} \to \dots \to R^{\beta_1} \to R^{\beta_0} \to I \to 0.$$

It is said to be linear if the *j*-the syzygy is generated in at most $\deg(I) + j$ degrees.

An invariant that is read out from the resolution and which plays an important role in understanding the algebraic and geometric properties is called the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

A resolution of an ideal I is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to R^{\beta_n} \to \dots \to R^{\beta_1} \to R^{\beta_0} \to I \to 0.$$

It is said to be linear if the *j*-the syzygy is generated in at most $\deg(I) + j$ degrees.

An invariant that is read out from the resolution and which plays an important role in understanding the algebraic and geometric properties is called the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

Let $0 \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ be a minimal graded free resolution of a graded *R*-module *M*. Let b_j be the maximum of the degrees of generators of F_j .

A resolution of an ideal I is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to R^{\beta_n} \to \dots \to R^{\beta_1} \to R^{\beta_0} \to I \to 0.$$

It is said to be linear if the *j*-the syzygy is generated in at most $\deg(I) + j$ degrees.

An invariant that is read out from the resolution and which plays an important role in understanding the algebraic and geometric properties is called the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

Let $0 \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ be a minimal graded free resolution of a graded *R*-module *M*. Let b_j be the maximum of the degrees of generators of F_j .

Then $reg(M) := max\{b_j - j \mid j = 0, ..., n\}.$

Question

For a finite simple graph G, can one express the regularity of I(G) in terms of combinatorial invariants of the graph G?

Question

For a finite simple graph G, can one express the regularity of I(G) in terms of combinatorial invariants of the graph G?

If G is a finite simple graph, then $\nu(G) + 1 \leq \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) \leq co - chord(G) + 1$.

Question

For a finite simple graph G, can one express the regularity of I(G) in terms of combinatorial invariants of the graph G?

If G is a finite simple graph, then $\nu(G) + 1 \leq \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) \leq co - chord(G) + 1$.

The lower bound was proved by Katzman (2006) and the upper bound was proved by Woodroofe (2014).

Question

For a finite simple graph G, can one express the regularity of I(G) in terms of combinatorial invariants of the graph G?

If G is a finite simple graph, then $\nu(G) + 1 \leq \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) \leq co - chord(G) + 1$.

The lower bound was proved by Katzman (2006) and the upper bound was proved by Woodroofe (2014).

 $\nu(G)$ - number of edges at a distance 3 or more, called induced matching number.

Question

For a finite simple graph G, can one express the regularity of I(G) in terms of combinatorial invariants of the graph G?

If G is a finite simple graph, then $\nu(G) + 1 \leq \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) \leq co - chord(G) + 1$.

The lower bound was proved by Katzman (2006) and the upper bound was proved by Woodroofe (2014).

 $\nu(G)$ - number of edges at a distance 3 or more, called induced matching number.

co - chord(G) - minimum number of co-chordal subgraphs of G required to cover all vertices and edges of G.

Nevo-Peeva; Dao-Huneke-Schweig (2013): I(G) has a linear presentation if and only if $\nu(G) = 1$.

Nevo-Peeva; Dao-Huneke-Schweig (2013): I(G) has a linear presentation if and only if $\nu(G) = 1$.

Fröberg (1990) + Herzog-Hibi-Zheng (2004): If co - chord(G) = 1, then $I(G)^s$ has a linear resolution for all $s \ge 1$.

Nevo-Peeva; Dao-Huneke-Schweig (2013): I(G) has a linear presentation if and only if $\nu(G) = 1$.

Fröberg (1990) + Herzog-Hibi-Zheng (2004): If co - chord(G) = 1, then $I(G)^s$ has a linear resolution for all $s \ge 1$.

For several classes of graphs, the regularity is computed:

•
$$\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \nu(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \\ \nu(C_n) + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(Jacques, 2004).

Nevo-Peeva; Dao-Huneke-Schweig (2013): I(G) has a linear presentation if and only if $\nu(G) = 1$.

Fröberg (1990) + Herzog-Hibi-Zheng (2004): If co - chord(G) = 1, then $I(G)^s$ has a linear resolution for all $s \ge 1$.

For several classes of graphs, the regularity is computed:

•
$$\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \nu(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \\ \nu(C_n) + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(Jacques, 2004).

3 *G* is unmixed bipartite \Rightarrow reg $(I(G)) = \nu(G) + 1$; (Kummini; 2009)

Nevo-Peeva; Dao-Huneke-Schweig (2013): I(G) has a linear presentation if and only if $\nu(G) = 1$.

Fröberg (1990) + Herzog-Hibi-Zheng (2004): If co - chord(G) = 1, then $I(G)^s$ has a linear resolution for all $s \ge 1$.

For several classes of graphs, the regularity is computed:

•
$$\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \nu(C_n) + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \\ \nu(C_n) + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(Jacques, 2004).

- 3 G is unmixed bipartite $\Rightarrow \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) = \nu(G) + 1$; (Kummini; 2009)
- G is weakly chordal ⇒ ν(G) = co chord(G) (Busch-Dragan-Sritharan (2010)) ⇒ reg(I(G)) = ν(G) + 1.

If $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials, then there exists $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $s \gg 0$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^s) = Ps + Q$. (Kodiyalam; Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999).

If $I \subset K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials, then there exists $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $s \gg 0$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^s) = Ps + Q$. (Kodiyalam; Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999).

There is a clear understanding of the leading coefficient P.

If $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials, then there exists $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $s \gg 0$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^s) = Ps + Q$. (Kodiyalam; Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999).

There is a clear understanding of the leading coefficient P.

Question

Describe Q interms of known invariants associated with I?

If $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials, then there exists $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $s \gg 0$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^s) = Ps + Q$. (Kodiyalam; Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999).

There is a clear understanding of the leading coefficient P.

Question

Describe Q interms of known invariants associated with I?

2 Describe the stabilization index
$$s_0 = \min\{k \mid reg(I^k) = Pk + Q\}$$
.

If $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials, then there exists $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $s \gg 0$, $\operatorname{reg}(I^s) = Ps + Q$. (Kodiyalam; Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999).

There is a clear understanding of the leading coefficient *P*.

Question

Describe Q interms of known invariants associated with I?

2 Describe the stabilization index $s_0 = \min\{k \mid \operatorname{reg}(I^k) = Pk + Q\}$.

There are no general answers known for these questions. In fact, finding an explicit description of Q is a highly challenging task in general.

Placing these questions in the context of Edge Ideals, one may ask:

Question

Describe the constant term and the stabilization index in terms of the

invariants associated with the corresponding graph.

Placing these questions in the context of Edge Ideals, one may ask:

Question

Describe the constant term and the stabilization index in terms of the invariants associated with the corresponding graph.

If *I* is generated by homogeneous elements of same degree *d*, then P = d, (Kodiyalam, 1999).

Placing these questions in the context of Edge Ideals, one may ask:

Question

Describe the constant term and the stabilization index in terms of the invariants associated with the corresponding graph.

If *I* is generated by homogeneous elements of same degree *d*, then P = d, (Kodiyalam, 1999).

Therefore, if I = I(G) for some graph G, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + Q$ for $s \ge s_0$.

Placing these questions in the context of Edge Ideals, one may ask:

Question

Describe the constant term and the stabilization index in terms of the invariants associated with the corresponding graph.

If *I* is generated by homogeneous elements of same degree *d*, then P = d, (Kodiyalam, 1999).

Therefore, if I = I(G) for some graph G, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + Q$ for $s \ge s_0$.

Fröberg (1990) + Herzog-Hibi-Zheng (2004): If co - chord(G) = 1, then $I(G)^s$ has a linear resolution for all $s \ge 1$ and hence $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s$ for all.

If G is any graph, then for all $s \ge 1$, $2s + \nu(G) - 1 \le \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s)$, Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is any graph, then for all $s \ge 1$, $2s + \nu(G) - 1 \le \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s)$, Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is a forest, then for all $s \ge 1$, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is any graph, then for all $s \ge 1$, $2s + \nu(G) - 1 \le \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s)$, Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is a forest, then for all $s \ge 1$, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)^s) = 2s + \nu(C_n) - 1$ for all $s \ge 2$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is any graph, then for all $s \ge 1$, $2s + \nu(G) - 1 \le \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s)$, Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is a forest, then for all $s \ge 1$, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)^s) = 2s + \nu(C_n) - 1$ for all $s \ge 2$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

(J-Narayanan-Selvaraja 2016): If G is a bipartite graph, then for all $s \ge 1$,

If G is any graph, then for all $s \ge 1$, $2s + \nu(G) - 1 \le \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s)$, Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

If G is a forest, then for all $s \ge 1$, $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(C_n)^s) = 2s + \nu(C_n) - 1$ for all $s \ge 2$. Beyarslan-Hà-Trung 2015.

(J-Narayanan-Selvaraja 2016): If G is a bipartite graph, then for all $s \ge 1$,

$$(\mathbf{O} \operatorname{reg}(I(G)^s) \le 2s + co - chord(G) - 1)$$

② reg $(I(G)^s)$ ≤ 2s + $\frac{1}{2}(\nu(G) + \min\{|X|, |Y|\}) - 1$, where $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ is a bipartition of G.

(J-Narayanan-Selvaraja 2016): Let G be a bipartite graph. If G is unmixed, whiskered, weakly chordal or P_6 -free, then $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$. (J-Narayanan-Selvaraja 2016): Let G be a bipartite graph. If G is unmixed, whiskered, weakly chordal or P_6 -free, then $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$.

As a consequence of the above result, we obtain the result of Beyarslan-Hà-Trung that if G is a forest then $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$. (J-Narayanan-Selvaraja 2016): Let G be a bipartite graph. If G is unmixed, whiskered, weakly chordal or P_6 -free, then $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$.

As a consequence of the above result, we obtain the result of Beyarslan-Hà-Trung that if G is a forest then $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + \nu(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$.

We also have obtained some classes of graphs for which $reg(I(G)^s) = 2s + co - chord(G) - 1$ for all $s \ge 1$.

A graph G is said to be very well-covered if $ht(I(G)) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

A graph G is said to be very well-covered if $ht(I(G)) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

A very well-covered graph has always 2h and there is a partition $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ with |X| = h = |Y| and an ordering of the vertices such that $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E(G)$ for all i = 1, ..., h.

A graph G is said to be very well-covered if $ht(I(G)) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

A very well-covered graph has always 2h and there is a partition $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ with |X| = h = |Y| and an ordering of the vertices such that $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E(G)$ for all i = 1, ..., h.

(Crupi-Rinaldo-Terai, 2011) characterized very well-covered graphs in terms of edge behaviour.

A graph G is said to be very well-covered if $ht(I(G)) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

A very well-covered graph has always 2h and there is a partition $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ with |X| = h = |Y| and an ordering of the vertices such that $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E(G)$ for all i = 1, ..., h.

(Crupi-Rinaldo-Terai, 2011) characterized very well-covered graphs in terms of edge behaviour.

(Mahmoudi et al., 2011) proved that if *G* is a very well-covered graph, then $reg(I(G)) = \nu(G) + 1$.

A graph G is said to be very well-covered if $ht(I(G)) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$.

A very well-covered graph has always 2h and there is a partition $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ with |X| = h = |Y| and an ordering of the vertices such that $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E(G)$ for all i = 1, ..., h.

(Crupi-Rinaldo-Terai, 2011) characterized very well-covered graphs in terms of edge behaviour.

(Mahmoudi et al., 2011) proved that if *G* is a very well-covered graph, then $reg(I(G)) = \nu(G) + 1$.

(J-Selvaraja, 2018) proved that if *G* is a very well-covered graph, then for all $q \ge 1$, $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) = 2q + \nu(G) - 1$.

Alilooee et al. conjectured that for any graph G,

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \le 2q + \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) - 2 \text{ for all } q \ge 1.$

Alilooee et al. conjectured that for any graph G,

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \le 2q + \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) - 2$ for all $q \ge 1$.

This is known to be true for several classes of graphs such as bipartite graphs, cycles, unicyclic graphs.

Alilooee et al. conjectured that for any graph G,

 $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \le 2q + \operatorname{reg}(I(G)) - 2 \text{ for all } q \ge 1.$

This is known to be true for several classes of graphs such as bipartite graphs, cycles, unicyclic graphs.

Question

Does there exists a combinatorial invariant $\rho(G)$ such that for any graph G, reg $(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + \rho(G)$ for all $q \gg 0$.

(Banerjee-Bayerslan-Hà, 2018) $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + \beta(G) - 1$ for all $q \geq 1$, where $\beta(G)$ denotes the matching number of G.

(Banerjee-Bayerslan-Hà, 2018) $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + \beta(G) - 1$ for all $q \geq 1$, where $\beta(G)$ denotes the matching number of G.

(Sayed Fakhari-Yassemi, 2018) $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + co - chord(G) - 1$ for all $q \geq 1$.

(Banerjee-Bayerslan-Hà, 2018) $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + \beta(G) - 1$ for all $q \geq 1$, where $\beta(G)$ denotes the matching number of G.

(Sayed Fakhari-Yassemi, 2018) $\operatorname{reg}(I(G)^q) \leq 2q + co - chord(G) - 1$ for all $q \geq 1$.

(J-Selvaraja, Banerjee-Bayerslan-Hà, 2018) If G is vertex decomposable, then $reg(I(G)^q) \le 2q + reg(I(G)) - 2$ for all $q \ge 1$.

Thank you!