

# Isometric Dilation and Von Neumann Inequality

Sibaprasad Barik

Mathematics Department, IIT Bombay

Diamond Jubilee Symposium  
Mathematics Department, IIT Bombay

January 4, 2019

( Joint work with B.K. Das, K. Haria and J. Sarkar )

# Outline of the talk.

- 1 Isometric dilations.
- 2 Isometric dilations for finite rank tuples in  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .
- 3 Isometric dilations for arbitrary tuples in  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .
- 4 Von Neumann inequality for tuples in  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

# Introduction.

- Normal operators ( $T$  is normal if  $TT^* = T^*T$ ) are well-understood by spectral theory.
- In many situation **dilation** enables us to reduce problems of tuple of commuting non-normal operators to simpler problems involving tuple of commuting normal operators.
- One such problem is characterization of tuples of commuting contractions which satisfies the **von Neumann inequality**.
- If a tuple of commuting contractions has an **isometric dilation** then it satisfies the von Neumann inequality.

# Isometric dilations.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a contraction.

- $V : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T$  if

$$T^k = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^k|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

i.e.,

$$V^k = \begin{bmatrix} T^k & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an isometry (respectively unitary) and satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .

# Isometric dilations.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a contraction.

- $V : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T$  if

$$T^k = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^k|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

i.e,

$$V^k = \begin{bmatrix} T^k & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an isometry (respectively unitary) and satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .

# Isometric dilations.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a contraction.

- $V : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T$  if

$$T^k = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^k|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

i.e.,

$$V^k = \begin{bmatrix} T^k & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an isometry (respectively unitary) and satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .

# Isometric dilations.

For a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  define,

$$\mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{(T_1, \dots, T_n) : T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \|T_i\| \leq 1, T_i T_j = T_j T_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

- $V \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{K})$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  if

$$T^k = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^k|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

i.e.,

$$V^k = \begin{bmatrix} T^k & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an  $n$ -tuple of isometries (respectively unitaries) satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .

# Isometric dilations.

For a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  define,

$$\mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{(T_1, \dots, T_n) : T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \|T_i\| \leq 1, T_i T_j = T_j T_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

- $V \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{K})$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  if

$$T^{\mathbf{k}} = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^{\mathbf{k}}|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

i.e,

$$V^{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} T^{\mathbf{k}} & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an  $n$ -tuple of isometries (respectively unitaries) satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .



# Isometric dilations.

For a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  define,

$$\mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{(T_1, \dots, T_n) : T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \|T_i\| \leq 1, T_i T_j = T_j T_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

- $V \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{K})$  ( $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ ) is a *dilation* of  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  if

$$T^{\mathbf{k}} = P_{\mathcal{H}} V^{\mathbf{k}}|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

i.e.,

$$V^{\mathbf{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} T^{\mathbf{k}} & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$

with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ .

- If  $V$  is an  $n$ -tuple of isometries (respectively unitaries) satisfies the above relation then  $V$  is an isometric (respectively unitary) dilation of  $T$ .

# Existence of isometric dilation.

## Theorem (Sz.-Nagy and Foias)

*A contraction on a Hilbert space always possesses an isometric dilation.*

## Theorem (Ando)

*Every pair of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space has an isometric dilation.*

- Counter example of Parrot shows that, for  $n \geq 3$ , an  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions does not possess an isometric dilation in general.

# Existence of isometric dilation.

## Theorem (Sz.-Nagy and Foias)

*A contraction on a Hilbert space always possesses an isometric dilation.*

## Theorem (Ando)

*Every pair of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space has an isometric dilation.*

- Counter example of Parrot shows that, for  $n \geq 3$ , an  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions does not possess an isometric dilation in general.

# Existence of isometric dilation.

## Theorem (Sz.-Nagy and Foias)

*A contraction on a Hilbert space always possesses an isometric dilation.*

## Theorem (Ando)

*Every pair of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space has an isometric dilation.*

- Counter example of Parrot shows that, for  $n \geq 3$ , an  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions does not possess an isometric dilation in general.

# Hardy space.

- $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

- $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathcal{E}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \|a_k\|^2 < \infty.$$

- For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $M_{z_i} : H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , called *Hardy shifts on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$* , are defined by

$$(M_{z_i} f)(\mathbf{w}) = w_i f(\mathbf{w}) \quad (\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

# Hardy space.

- $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

- $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathcal{E}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \|a_k\|^2 < \infty.$$

- For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $M_{z_i} : H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , called *Hardy shifts on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$* , are defined by

$$(M_{z_i} f)(\mathbf{w}) = w_i f(\mathbf{w}) \quad (\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

# Hardy space.

- $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

- $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  : Space of all  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_k \mathbf{z}^k$  ( $a_k \in \mathcal{E}$ ) on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \|a_k\|^2 < \infty.$$

- For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $M_{z_i} : H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , called *Hardy shifts on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$* , are defined by

$$(M_{z_i} f)(\mathbf{w}) = w_i f(\mathbf{w}) \quad (\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

# Szegő positivity.

- Szegő kernel on  $\mathbb{D}^n$ , denoted as  $\mathbb{S}_n$  is

$$\mathbb{S}_n(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^{-1} \quad (\text{for all } \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

- $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies *Szegő positivity* if

$$\mathbb{S}_n^{-1}(T, T^*) := \sum_{F \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{|F|} T_F T_F^* \geq 0.$$

For  $n=2$ :  $T = (T_1, T_2)$  and

$$\mathbb{S}_n^{-1}(T, T^*) := I - T_1 T_1^* - T_2 T_2^* + T_1 T_2 T_1^* T_2^* \geq 0.$$



- $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is *pure* if for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|T_i^{*m}(h)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorem (R. E. Curto and F. H. Vasilescu)

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  be a pure tuple satisfying Szegő positivity. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_T = \overline{\text{ran}} S_n^{-1}(T, T^*).$$

Then  $T$  dilates to  $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  on  $H_{\mathcal{D}_T}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ .

- $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is *pure* if for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|T_i^{*m}(h)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorem (R. E. Curto and F. H. Vasilescu)

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  be a pure tuple satisfying Szegő positivity. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_T = \overline{\text{ran}} \mathbb{S}_n^{-1}(T, T^*).$$

Then  $T$  dilates to  $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  on  $H_{\mathcal{D}_T}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ .

# Tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we denote

$$\hat{T}_i := (T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{H}).$$

**Our class :** For fixed  $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity and } \hat{T}_p \text{ is pure}\}.$$

- Our class contains the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \|T_i\| < 1 \forall i \text{ \& } \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity}\}.$$

introduced by Grinshpan, Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov and Woerdeman.

- $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$  but not in  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$ .

# Tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we denote

$$\hat{T}_i := (T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{H}).$$

**Our class :** For fixed  $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity and } \hat{T}_p \text{ is pure}\}.$$

- Our class contains the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \|T_i\| < 1 \forall i \text{ \& } \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity}\}.$$

introduced by Grinshpan, Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov and Woerdeman.

- $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$  but not in  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$ .

# Tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we denote

$$\hat{T}_i := (T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{H}).$$

**Our class :** For fixed  $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity and } \hat{T}_p \text{ is pure}\}.$$

- Our class contains the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \|T_i\| < 1 \forall i \text{ \& } \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity}\}.$$

introduced by Grinshpan, Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov and Woerdeman.

- $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$  but not in  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$ .

# Tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we denote

$$\hat{T}_i := (T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{T}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{H}).$$

**Our class :** For fixed  $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity and } \hat{T}_p \text{ is pure}\}.$$

- Our class contains the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H}) : \|T_i\| < 1 \forall i \text{ \& } \hat{T}_p, \hat{T}_q \text{ satisfy Szegő positivity}\}.$$

introduced by Grinshpan, Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Vinnikov and Woerdeman.

- $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$  but not in  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}^n(H^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$ .

# Isometric dilation of Finite rank tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is of *finite rank* if for all  $i = p, q$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_i} < \infty$ .

Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is a finite rank tuple, then  $T$  dilates to the  $n$ -tuple of commuting isometries

$$(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_{p-1}}, M_{\Phi_p}, M_{z_p}, \dots, M_{z_{n-1}}) \quad \text{on } H_{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_p}}^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$$

where

$$\Phi_p(z) = \varphi(z_{q-1}) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$$

for some inner function  $\varphi \in H_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_p})}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .

# Isometric dilation of Finite rank tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is of *finite rank* if for all  $i = p, q$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_i} < \infty$ .

**Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)**

*If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is a finite rank tuple, then  $T$  dilates to the  $n$ -tuple of commuting isometries*

$$(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_{p-1}}, M_{\Phi_p}, M_{z_p}, \dots, M_{z_{n-1}}) \quad \text{on } H_{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_p}}^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$$

where

$$\Phi_p(\mathbf{z}) = \varphi(z_{q-1}) \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$$

for some inner function  $\varphi \in H_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{T}_p})}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .



# Isometric dilation for tuples in $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ .

## Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ . Then, there exists some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $T$  dilates to the isometric tuple

$$(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_{p-1}}, M_{\Phi_p}, M_{z_{p+1}}, \dots, M_{z_{q-1}}, M_{\Phi_q}, M_{z_q}, \dots, M_{z_{n-1}}) \quad \text{on } H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$$

where  $\Phi_p$  and  $\Phi_q$  in  $H_{B(\mathcal{E})}^\infty(\mathbb{D}^{n-1})$  are inner polynomials in  $z_p$  of degree at most one and

$$\Phi_p(\mathbf{z})\Phi_q(\mathbf{z}) = \Phi_q(\mathbf{z})\Phi_p(\mathbf{z}) = z_p I_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (\text{for all } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}).$$

## Von Neumann inequality.

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies *von Neumann inequality* if

$$\|p(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

- A single contraction or a pair of commuting contractions always satisfies the von Neumann inequality.
- Von Neumann inequality does not hold in general for  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions where  $n \geq 3$ .

Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ , then for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , the following holds:

$$\|p(T)\|_{B(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|.$$

## Von Neumann inequality.

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies *von Neumann inequality* if

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

- A single contraction or a pair of commuting contractions always satisfies the von Neumann inequality.
- Von Neumann inequality does not hold in general for  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions where  $n \geq 3$ .

Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ , then for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , the following holds:

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|.$$

## Von Neumann inequality.

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies *von Neumann inequality* if

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

- A single contraction or a pair of commuting contractions always satisfies the von Neumann inequality.
- Von Neumann inequality does not hold in general for  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions where  $n \geq 3$ .

Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ , then for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , the following holds:

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(z)|.$$

## Von Neumann inequality.

We say  $T \in \mathcal{T}^n(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies *von Neumann inequality* if

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(\mathbf{z})|$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ .

- A single contraction or a pair of commuting contractions always satisfies the von Neumann inequality.
- Von Neumann inequality does not hold in general for  $n$ -tuple of commuting contractions where  $n \geq 3$ .

### Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$ , then for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , the following holds:

$$\|p(T)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{D}^n} |p(\mathbf{z})|.$$

## Refined von Neumann inequality for finite rank tuples.

Theorem (B-, Das, Haria and Sarkar)

If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{p,q}^n(\mathcal{H})$  is a finite rank operator, then there exists an algebraic variety  $V$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^n$  such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , the following holds:

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \sup_{z \in V} |p(z)|.$$

If, in addition,  $T_p$  is a pure contraction, then there exists a *distinguished variety*  $V'$  in  $\mathbb{D}^2$  such that

$$V = V' \times \mathbb{D}^{n-2} \subseteq \mathbb{D}^n.$$

**Note :** A *distinguished variety* is an algebraic variety  $V$  such that

$$V = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2 : p(z_1, z_2) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{V} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^2 = \overline{V} \cap (\partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D}),$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$  and  $\overline{V}$  is the closure of  $V$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^2$ .

# References.

- S. Barik, B. K. Das, K. J. Haria and J. Sarkar, *Isometric dilations and von Neumann inequality for a class of tuples in the polydisc*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)
- A. Grinshpan, D.S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, V. Vinnikov and H.J. Woerdeman, *Classes of tuples of commuting contractions satisfying the multivariable von Neumann inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 3035-3054.
- B.K. Das and J. Sarkar, *Ando dilations, von Neumann inequality, and distinguished varieties*, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), 2114-2131.
- R. E. Curto and F. H. Vasilescu, *Standard operator models in the polydisc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), 791-810.

**THANK YOU !**