MA 109 D1&D2 Lecture 3.1

Ravi Raghunathan

Department of Mathematics

November 19, 2020

Brief recap

Formulæ for limits

If a_n and b_n are two convergent sequences then

1. $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a_n \pm b_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \pm \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$
2. $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a_n b_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \cdot \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$.
3. $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a_n/b_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n / \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$, provided $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n \neq 0$

Implicit in the formulæ is the fact that the limits on left hand side exist.

Note that the constant sequence $a_n = c$ has limit c, so as a special case of (2) above we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(c \cdot b_n) = c \cdot \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n.$$

Using the formulæ above we can break down the limits of more complicated sequences into simpler ones and evaluate them.

The Sandwich Theorem(s)

Theorem 1: If a_n, b_n and c_n are convergent sequences such that $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ for all n, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n.$$

A second version of the theorem is especially useful:
Theorem 2: Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n$. If b_n is a sequence satisfying $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ for all n, then b_n converges and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n.$$

Note that we do not assume that b_n converges in this version of the theorem - we get the convergence of b_n for free. Together with the rules for sums, differences, products and quotients, this theorem allows us to handle a large number of more complicated limits.

Bounded Sequences

The formulæ and theorems stated above can be easily proved starting from the definitions. We will prove the second formula and leave the other proofs as exercises.

Definition: A sequence a_n is said to be bounded if there is a real number $M > 0$ such that $|a_n| \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence that is not bounded is called unbounded.

In our list of examples, Example 1 ($a_n = n$) is an example of an unbounded sequence, while Examples 2 - 5 ($a_n = 1/n, \sin(1/n), n!/n^n, n^{1/n}$) are examples of bounded sequences.

Bounded sequences don't necessarily converge - for instance $a_n = (-1)^n$. However,

Convergent sequences are bounded

Lemma: Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof: Suppose a_n converges to l. Choose $\epsilon = 1$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n - l| < 1$ for all $n > N$. In other words, $l - 1 < a_n < l + 1$, for all $n > N$, which gives $|a_n| < |l| + 1$ for all $n > N$. Let

$$M_1 = \max\{|a_1|, |a_2|, \ldots, |a_N|\}$$

and let $M = \max\{M_1, |l| + 1\}$. Then $|a_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

A guarantee for convergence

As we mentioned earlier, proving that a limit exists is hard because we have to guess what its value might be and then prove that it satisfies the definition. The following theorem guarantees the convergence of a sequence without knowing the limit beforehand.

Definition: A sequence a_n is said to be bounded above (resp. bounded below) if $a_n < M$ (resp. $a_n > M$) for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$.
A sequence that is bounded both above and below is obviously bounded.

Theorem 3: A montonically increasing (resp. decreasing) sequence which is bounded above (resp. below) converges.

Remarks on Theorem 3

Theorem 3 clearly makes things very simple in many cases. For instance, if we have a monotonically decreasing sequence of positive numbers, it must have a limit, since 0 is always a lower bound!

Can we guess what the limit of a monotonically increasing sequence a_n bounded above might be?
It will be the supremum or least upper bound (lub) of the sequence.
This is the number, say M which has the following properties:

1. $a_n \leq M$ for all n and
2. If M_1 is such that $a_n \leq M_1$ for all n, then $M \leq M_1$.

(Note: in the lecture I had written $|a_n| < M_1$ above. I have replaced $<$ with $\leq$ now. It doesn't really make a difference.)
The point is that a sequence bounded above may not have a maximum but will always have a supremum. As an example, take the sequence $1 - 1/n$. Clearly there is no maximal element in the sequence, but 1 is its supremum.

Another monotonic sequence

Let us look at Exercise 1.5.(i) which considers the sequence

$$a_1 = 3/2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_n + \frac{2}{a_n}\right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} \quad < a_n \quad &\iff \quad \tfrac{1}{2}\left(a_n + \tfrac{2}{a_n}\right) < a_n \\ &\iff \quad \sqrt{2} < a_n. \end{aligned}$$

(In the discussion in D2, I may not have understood a couple of questions correctly. From the above statements it is enough to show that $\sqrt{2} < a_n$ for all n. And this is done in the step below.)

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(a_n + \frac{2}{a_n}\right) \geq \sqrt{2}, \quad \text{(Why is this true?-AM-GM inequality.)}$$

so $a_{n+1} \geq \sqrt{2}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $a_1 > \sqrt{2}$ is given.

Hence, $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence, bounded below by $\sqrt{2}$. By Theorem 3, it converges.

Exercise 1. What do you think is the limit of the above sequence (Refer to the supplement to Tutorial 1)?

More remarks on limits

Exercise 2. More generally, what is the limit of a monotonically decreasing sequence bounded below? How can you describe it? This number is called the infimum or greatest lower bound (glb) of the sequence.

The proof of Theorem 3 is not so easy and more or less involves understanding what a real number is. It is related to the notion of Cauchy sequences about which I will try to say something a little later (again, refer to the supplement to Tutorial 1).

An important remark: If we change finitely many terms of a sequence it does not affect the convergence and boundedness properties of a sequence.

If it is convergent, the limit will not change. If it is bounded, it will remain bounded though the supremum may change. Thus, an eventually monotonically increasing sequence bounded above will converge (formulate the analogue for decreasing sequences).

Bottomline: From the point of view of the limit, only what happens for large N matters.