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Coding Theory has its origins in the problem of information transmission across what is called a noisy channel. A solution is found by encoding the message by suitably adding redundancies in such a way that errors can be detected and corrected. In effect, the message may consist of (up to) $k$ symbols from a finite alphabet set $Q$ (for example, $Q=\{0,1\}$ ) and encoding is simply an injective map $Q^{k} \rightarrow Q^{n}$, where $n \geq k$. The image of this map thus consists of the codewords, and these constitute an error correcting code or simply, a code of length $n$. When $Q$ is a finite field and the encoding is given by a linear map, the corresponding code is said to be linear. In these lectures, we shall focus mainly on certain mathematical aspects of the theory of error correcting codes, especially linear codes which are the most widely studied classes of codes. For more on information theoretic aspects and the origins of coding theory, we refer to the first section of [14, Ch. 1] and the references therein. It may also suffice to glance at the table of contents and over 2000 pages of this Handbook [14] or the 750 page treatise of MacWilliams and Sloane [13] which is of an older vintage, to have some idea of the expanse the subject and deduce an obvious corollary that these notes are only a selective, and not comprehensive, introduction to the subject.

[^0]
## 1. Basic Notions

We begin with the general definition of (possibly nonlinear) codes. Throughout this section $Q$ denotes a finite set and $n$ a positive integer.
1.1. Definition. A code of length $n$ over $Q$ is a subset of $Q^{n}$. If $C$ is a code of length $n$, then the number of elements in $C$ (denoted $|C|)$ is called the size of $C$. Further, if $q=|Q|$, then $C$ is called a $q$-ary code and $k=\log _{q}|C|$ is called the dimension of $C$. The ratio $k / n$ is called the rate of transmission of $C$. Usually, the elements of $Q$ are called alphabets and the elements of $C$ are called codewords. We often write " $C$ is a $q$-ary $(n, M)$-code over $Q$ " to mean that $C$ is a code of length $n$ and size $M$ over an alphabet set of size $q$.

There is a simple, but useful, notion of distance in the ambient space $Q^{n}$.
1.2. Definition. For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in $Q^{n}$, the Hamming distance between $x$ and $y$ is the number of positions where they differ, i.e.,

$$
d(x, y)=\left|\left\{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}\right\}\right|
$$

It is easy to see that $d$ defines a metric on $Q^{n}$.
1.3. Definition. Let $C$ be a code of length $n$ over $Q$. The minimum distance of $C$ is denoted by $d(C)$ and defined by

$$
d(C)=\min \{d(x, y): x, y \in C, x \neq y\} .
$$

If $d=d(C)$, then the ratio $d / n$ is called the relative distance of $C$.
Evidently, the rate as well as the relative distance of a code are positive real numbers $\leq 1$. It is usually of interest to construct codes for which the rate as well as the relative distance are as large as possible. These are often conflicting requirements, and moreover there are several limitations. The simplest of these is the following.
1.4. Proposition (Singleton bound). Let $A_{q}(n, d)$ denote the maximum possible size of a $q$-ary code of length $n$ and minimum distance $d$. Then $A_{q}(n, d) \leq q^{n-d+1}$. In other words, for any $q$-ary code of length $n$, dimension $k$ and minimum distance $d$, we must have $k \leq n-d+1$ or equivalently, $d \leq n-k+1$.

Proof. Let $C$ be a code of length $n$ over a set $Q$ with $q$ elements. If $d=d(C)$, then the projection map $C \longrightarrow Q^{n-d+1}$ on the last $n-d+1$ coordinates, given by $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{d}, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, must be injective. Hence $|C| \leq q^{n-d+1}$.

## 2. Linear Codes

By $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ we shall denote "the" finite field with $q$ elements. As before, $n$ denotes a positive integer.
2.1. Definition. A $q$-ary linear code of length $n$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.

Note that if $C$ is a $q$-ary linear code of length $n$, then the dimension of $C$ is $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} C$. Henceforth, we will usually write " $C$ is a $[n, k]_{q^{-}}$code" to mean that $C$ is a $q$-ary linear code of length $n$ and dimension $k$.
2.2. Definition. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, the support of $x$ is the set

$$
\operatorname{supp}(x)=\left\{i: x_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

and the Hamming weight of $x$ is the nonnegative integer

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=|\operatorname{supp}(x)|=\left|\left\{i: x_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right| .
$$

Note that $d(x, y)=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x-y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Thus for a linear code $C$,

$$
d(C)=\min \left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x): x \in C \text { and } x \neq 0\right\} .
$$

In other words, the minimum distance of a linear code is the minimum weight of its nonzero codewords. For a linear code $C$, elements $x \in C$ with $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=d$ are called minimum weight codewords of $C$.
2.3. Definition. A $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ is said to be a $M D S$ code or a maximum distance separable code if the Singleton bound is met, i.e., if $d(C)=n-k+1$.
2.4. Exercise. Given positive integers $k, n$ with $k \leq n$ determine (i.e., find a formula for) the number of $[n, k]_{q}$-codes.
2.5. Problem. ${ }^{1}$ Given $n, k, q$ as above, determine the number of $[n, k]_{q}$-MDS codes.
2.6. Exercise. Solve the above problem for $k=1$ and $k=2$.

In coding theory, it is customary to regard the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ as row vectors of length $n$, and we shall do so in the sequel. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, we will write $x^{T}$ to denote the transpose of $x$, i.e., the corrsponding column vector.
2.7. Definition. Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-code. A $k \times n$ matrix $G$ with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called a generator matrix $C$ if the rows of $G$ forms a basis of $C$.

Note that if $G$ is a generator matrix of a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$, then $G$ has rank $k$ and $C=\left\{u G: u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}\right\}$. Conversely, if $G$ is any $k \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of rank $k$, then $\left\{u G: u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}\right\}$ is a $[n, k]_{q}$-code. Further, if $G, \tilde{G}$ are $k \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of rank $k$, then $G$ and $\tilde{G}$ are generator matrices of the same code $C$ if and only if $\tilde{G}=E G$ for some $E \in \mathrm{GL}_{k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. In particular, a generator matrix $G$ of a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ can be chosen in such a way that it is in reduced row-echelon form; in this case $G$ is uniquely determined by $C$. In addition, if the pivotal 1's are in the first $k$ columns, then $G=\left[I_{k} \mid A\right]$ for some $k \times(n-k)$ matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and we then say that $G$ is in standard form. Here, and hereafter, $I_{m}$ denote the $m \times m$ identity matrix, where $m$ is any positive integer. Also for any matrix $A$, we denote by $A^{T}$ its transpose.

In the remainder of this section, $C$ will denote a $[n, k]_{q}$-code.

[^1]2.8. Definition. A $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $H$ with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called a parity check matrix of $C$ if $C$ is its nullspace, i.e., $C=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: H x^{T}=0\right\}$.

It is clear that the rank of a parity check matrix of a $[n, k]_{q}$-code is $n-k$.
2.9. Lemma. If $C$ has a generator matrix $G=\left[I_{k} \mid A\right]$ in standard form, then $H=$ $\left[-A^{T} \mid I_{n-k}\right]$ is a parity check matrix of $C$.

Proof. Suppose $G=\left[I_{k} \mid A\right]$ is a generator matrix of $C$ and $H=\left[-A^{T} \mid I_{n-k}\right]$. Then $H G^{T}=-A^{T}+A^{T}=0$. This implies that $C \subseteq\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: H x^{T}=0\right\}$. Since $\operatorname{rank}(H)=n-k$, the linear map $H: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-k}$ defined by $x \mapsto H x^{T}$ is surjective. Consequently, its kernel, i.e., the nullspace of $H$, has dimension $k$. It follows that $C=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: H x^{T}=0\right\}$.
2.10. Lemma. Let $H$ be a parity check matrix of $C$ and $t$ a positive integer. If $x \in C$ with $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=t$ and $\operatorname{supp}(x)=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}$, then the columns of $H$ indexed by $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{t}$ are linearly dependent. Conversely if some $t$ columns of $H$ are linearly dependent, but no proper subset of these columns is linearly dependent, then $C$ contains a codeword of weight $t$.

Proof. Let $H_{j}$ denote the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of $H(1 \leq j \leq n)$. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$,

$$
x \in C \Longleftrightarrow H x^{T}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} H_{j}=0
$$

This implies the desired result.
The above lemma leads to a useful characterization of the minimum distance.
2.11. Corollary. Let $H$ be a parity check matrix of $C$ and $d$ be a nonnegative integer. Then $d=d(C)$ if and only if every set of $d-1$ columns of $H$ is linearly independent and there exist $d$ columns of $H$ that are linearly dependent.

Proof. Clearly, $d=d(C)$ if and only if $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(y) \geq d$ for all $y \in C$ and there exists $x \in C$ with $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=d$. Thus Lemma 2.10 yields the desired result.

Note that the Singleton bound for linear codes can also be deduced from Corollary 2.11. Indeed, if $H$ is a parity check matrix of $C$, then $\operatorname{rank}(H)=n-k$ and so every set of $n-k+1$ columns of $H$ is linearly dependent. Hence $d(C) \leq n-k+1$, thanks to Corollary 2.11.

## 3. Duality

On $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, we have a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle$,$\rangle given by$

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} \quad \text { for } x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { and } y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \text { in } \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
$$

The dual of a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ is defined to be the linear code $C^{\perp}$ of length $n$ given by

$$
C^{\perp}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}:\langle x, y\rangle=0 \text { for all } x \in C\right\}
$$

3.1. Exercise. Show that if $C$ is a $[n, k]_{q}$-code, then
(i) $\operatorname{dim} C^{\perp}=n-k$, and (ii) $\left(C^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=C$.
3.2. Remark. The notion of positive definiteness does not make sense for the bilinear form $\langle$,$\rangle defined above. Thus it may happen that a a [n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ satisfies $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$ or even $C=C^{\perp}$. In the first case, the code $C$ is said to be self-orthogonal and in the second case it is said to be self-dual. For an interesting connection of self-dual codes with invariant theory, we refer to the Monthly article of Sloane [15].
3.3. Exercise. Show that a $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $H$ with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is parity check matrix of $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ if and only if the rows of $H$ form a basis of $C^{\perp}$.
3.4. Exercise. Show that a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ is self-dual if and only if it is selforthogonal and $n=2 k$.
3.5. Exercise. Show that a linear code $C$ is MDS if and only if $C^{\perp}$ is MDS.
3.6. Definition. Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-code. The weight distribution or the spectrum of $C$ is given by the sequence $\left(A_{0}(C), A_{1}(C), \ldots, A_{n}(C)\right)$, where

$$
A_{i}(C)=\left|\left\{x \in C: \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=i\right\}\right| \quad \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

A useful way to describe the weight distribution of $C$ is by means of the homogeneous polynomial $W_{C}(X, Y)$ in two variables $X$ and $Y$ defined by

$$
W_{C}(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}(C) X^{n-i} Y^{i}=\sum_{c \in C} X^{n-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(c)} Y^{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(c)} .
$$

This is called the (two variable) weight enumerator polynomial of $C$.
There is a beautiful relationship between the weight distribution of a code and of its dual. This is given by the MacWilliams identities, which will be stated and proved a little later. As a warm-up, consider a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$, and let $A_{i}=A_{i}(C)$ and $B_{i}=A_{i}\left(C^{\perp}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Note that $A_{0}=1=B_{0}$ and also that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{c \in C \\ \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=i}} 1=\sum_{c \in C} 1=|C|=q^{k}=q^{k} B_{0} .
$$

Next, consider the $q^{k} \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{M}$ whose rows are the (coordinates of the) codewords of $C$. The row corresponding to a codeword of weight $j$ has $n-j$ zeros and there are $A_{j}$ such codewords. Thus

$$
\text { the number of zeros in } \mathcal{M}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(n-j) A_{j} \text {. }
$$

On the other hand the $j^{\text {th }}$ column of $M$ is the zero vector if and only if $x_{j}=0$ for all $x \in C$ or equivalently, $e_{j} \in C^{\perp}$, where $e_{j}$ denotes the $j^{\text {th }}$ standard basis vector of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Now $e_{j}$ 's and its nonzero scalar multiples are precisely the elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of weight 1. It follows that the number of $j=1, \ldots, n$ for which the $j^{\text {th }}$ column consists only of zeros is $B_{1} /(q-1)$. In each of the remaining columns, every scalar appears exactly $q^{k-1}$ times. To see this, note that projection map $C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ given
by $x \mapsto x_{j}$ is a nonzero linear map having $q^{k-1}$ elements in its kernel. Thus we conclude that
$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(n-j) A_{j}=\frac{B_{1}}{q-1} q^{k}+\left(n-\frac{B_{1}}{q-1}\right) q^{k-1}=B_{1} q^{k-1}+n q^{k-1}=q^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{1}\binom{n-j}{n-1} B_{j}$.
We will prove a string of such identities in Section 5. But first let us see some examples.

## 4. Examples

Let $r$ be a positive integer and let $n=\frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1}=\left|\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ Let $H_{r}(q)$ be a $r \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that any two columns are linearly independent. In effect, the columns of $H_{r}(q)$ are obtained by representatives in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{r}$ of distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Observe that the columns of $H_{r}(q)$ include some nonzero scalar multiples of the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{r}$, and hence the rank of $H_{r}(q)$ is $r$. This leads to the following example(s).
4.1. Example. Define $\mathscr{H}_{r}(q)$ to be $[n, n-r]_{q}$-code with $H_{r}(q)$ as its parity check matrix and $\mathscr{S}_{r}(q)$ to be $[n, r]_{q}$-code with $H_{r}(q)$ as its generator matrix. These are called Hamming code and simplex code, respectively.
4.2. ExERCISE. Find $d\left(\mathscr{H}_{r}(q)\right)$ and $d\left(\mathscr{S}_{r}(q)\right)$. Also show that $\mathscr{H}_{r}(q)^{\perp}=\mathscr{S}_{r}(q)$.
4.3. Exercise. Determine the weight distribution of $\mathscr{S}_{r}(q)$ and write its weight enumerator polynomial.

The next example is the simplest kind of MDS codes, and it is usually meaningful to consider this when $q$ is large.
4.4. Example. Let $n, k$ be positive integers with $n \geq k$ and $q$ be a prime power with $q \geq n$. Fix distinct elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and let

$$
C=\left\{c_{f}=\left(f\left(a_{1}\right), f\left(a_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(a_{n}\right)\right): f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x] \text { with } \operatorname{deg} f(x)<k\right\}
$$

Then one can easily verify that $C$ is a $[n, k]_{q}$-code with minimum distance $n-k+1$. In other words $C$ is a MDS code. This code $C$ is known as a Reed Solomon code.

The next example is one of the most widely studied classes of codes. Classically, it was first studied by Reed and Muller in the binary case (when $q=2$ ) by means of the so called boolean functions; see, e.g., [13, Ch. 13]. Here we adopt a more general viewpoint.
4.5. Example. Let $m, \nu$ be integers with $m \geq 1$ and $\nu \geq 0$, and let $q$ be a prime power. Denote by $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]_{\leq \nu}$ is the space of all polynomials in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of total degree at most $\nu$. Fix a listing $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{q^{m}}$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$ The image of the evaluation map

$$
\text { Ev : } \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]_{\leq \nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{q^{m}} \quad \text { given by } \quad f \mapsto\left(f\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(P_{q^{m}}\right)\right)
$$

is a linear code of length $n:=q^{m}$ and it is denoted by $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$. It is called the (generalized) Reed Muller code of order $\nu$ and length $q^{m}$.
4.6. Exercise. Show that $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]_{\leq \nu}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and find its dimension. Further, show that if $\nu<q$ then the map $E v$ is injective. Use this to find the dimension of $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$ when $\nu<q$.

To determine the dimension of $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$ for more general values of $\nu$ it is important to understand the distinction between polynomials in $m$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and their evaluations, i.e., the corresponding functions from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Indeed two polynomials can give rise to the same function; for example, $X_{i}^{q}$ and $X_{i}$ take the same values on $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$. To avoid such situations, one can look at reduced polynomials that are defined as follows. A monomial $X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ is said to be reduced if $\alpha_{i} \leq q-1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, m$. A polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ is said to be reduced if it is a $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear combination of reduced monomials. Note that for $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$, the condition $\operatorname{deg} f<q$ implies that $f$ is reduced, but the converse is not true. However, if $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ is reduced, then $\operatorname{deg} f \leq m(q-1)$.

We have a natural map from the set of all monomials onto the set of all reduced monomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ given by $X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{\alpha_{m}} \mapsto X_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{\beta_{m}}$, where for $i=1, \ldots, m$, the exponent $\beta_{i}$ is obtained from $\alpha_{i}$ as follows:
$\beta_{i}= \begin{cases}\alpha_{i} & \text { if } 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq q-1, \\ r_{i} & \text { if } \alpha_{i} \geq q \text { and } \alpha_{i}=(q-1) s_{i}+r_{i} \text { where } r_{i}, s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \text { with } 0<r_{i} \leq q-1 .\end{cases}$
This map on monomials extends, by linearity, to $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ and the image of $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ under the (extended) map is denoted by $\bar{f}$. Evidently $\bar{f}$ is a reduced polynomial and $\bar{f}(P)=f(P)$ for all $P \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$. Now let

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{q}(m, \nu)=\left\{f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]: \operatorname{deg} f \leq \nu \text { and } f \text { is reduced }\right\} .
$$

Observe that $\Re_{q}(m, \nu)$ is precisely the image of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]_{\leq \nu}$ under the reduction map $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ and also that $\mathfrak{R}_{q}(m, \nu)=\mathfrak{R}_{q}(m, m(q-1))$ if $\nu \geq m(q-1)$. In particular, $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)=\operatorname{Ev}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{q}(m, \nu)\right)$ and $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)=\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m(q-1))$ if $\nu \geq m(q-1)$. With this in view, one usually restricts to $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$ while considering $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$.
4.7. Exercise. Show that the restriction of $\operatorname{Ev}$ to $\mathfrak{R}_{q}(m, \nu)$ is injective and find $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \Re_{q}(m, \nu)$ when $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$. Use it to find the dimension of $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$ if $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$.

A codeword of $\mathrm{RM}_{q}(\nu, m)$ is an evaluation of a polynomial of degree $\leq \nu$, and its Hamming weights are determined by the number of zeros of corresponding polynomial. More precisely, for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]_{\leq \nu}$,

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(\operatorname{Ev}(f))=q^{m}-\# Z(f) \quad \text { where } \quad Z(f):=\left\{P \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}: f(P)=0\right\}
$$

4.8. Exercise. If $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ has degree $d<q$, then show that

$$
|Z(f)| \leq d q^{m-1}
$$

Further show that if $d<q$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ are distinct, then the polynomial $f=\left(X_{1}-a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X_{1}-a_{d}\right)$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f=d$ and $|Z(f)|=d q^{m-1}$. Deduce that if $\nu<q$, then $d\left(\operatorname{RM}_{q}\left(\nu, q^{m}\right)\right)=(q-\nu) q^{m-1}$.

Those who like challenges may also attempt to determine $d\left(\mathrm{RM}_{q}\left(\nu, q^{m}\right)\right)$ in general, for $0 \leq \nu \leq m(q-1)$.

Finally in this section, we outline some of the standard constructions used to construct new codes from a given linear code. These together with the above examples furnishes many more examples of linear codes.

Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$ code and let $P \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For any $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, let $x^{P}$ denote the element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-|P|}$ obtained from $x$ by removing the $x_{i}$ 's for all $i \in P$. Define

$$
C^{P}=\left\{x^{P}: x \in C\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{P}=\left\{x^{P}: x \in C \text { with } x_{i}=0 \text { for all } i \in P\right\} .
$$

These are linear codes of length $n-|P|$, called, respectively, the puncturing of $C$ at $P$ and the shortening of $C$ at $P$. There is a nice relationship between puncturing, shortening, and taking duals.
4.9. Proposition. $C$ be $a[n, k]_{q}$ code and let $P \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then

$$
\left(C^{P}\right)^{\perp}=\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(C^{\perp}\right)^{P}=\left(C_{P}\right)^{\perp} .
$$

Proof. Let $z \in\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P}$. Then $z=y^{P}$ for some $y \in C^{\perp}$ with $y_{i}=0$ for all $i \in P$. Hence $\left\langle z, x^{P}\right\rangle=\left\langle y^{P}, x^{P}\right\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle=0$ for all $x \in C$. Thus $\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P} \subseteq\left(C^{P}\right)^{\perp}$.

To prove the other inclusion, suppose $z \in\left(C^{P}\right)^{\perp}$. Extend $z$ to $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ by

$$
y_{i}= \begin{cases}z_{i} & \text { if } i \notin P \\ 0 & \text { if } i \in P\end{cases}
$$

Then, $\langle y, x\rangle=\left\langle y^{P}, x^{P}\right\rangle=\left\langle z, x^{P}\right\rangle=0$ for all $x \in C$. Hence $z \in\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P}$. This proves that $\left(C^{P}\right)^{\perp} \subseteq\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P}$.

Thus $\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{P}=\left(C^{P}\right)^{\perp}$. Replacing $C$ by $C^{\perp}$, we obtain $\left(C^{\perp}\right)^{P}=\left(C_{P}\right)^{\perp}$.

## 5. MacWilliams Identities

As before $n, k$ will always denote positive integers with $k \leq n$ and $q$ a prime power. Further, we let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of the first $n$ positive integers. The MacWilliams identities alluded to toward the end of Section 3 are as follows.
5.1. Theorem. Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-code. Let $A_{i}=A_{i}(C)$ and $B_{i}=A_{i}\left(C^{\perp}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then, for $\nu=0,1, \ldots, n$, we have,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n-j}{\nu} A_{j}=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n-j}{n-\nu} B_{j}
$$

Proof. Fix $0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant n$. Let $N_{\nu}$ denote the cardinality of the set

$$
\left\{(x, I): x \in C, I \subseteq[n],|I|=\nu \text { and } x_{i}=0 \text { for all } i \in I\right\} .
$$

For $I \subseteq[n]$, let $I^{c}:=[n] \backslash I$ denote the complement of $I$ in $[n]$. Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, the condition $x_{i}=0$ for all $i \in I$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq I^{c}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\nu}=\sum_{x \in C} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\|I|=\nu \\ \operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq I^{c}}} 1=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{x \in C \\ \mathrm{w}_{H}(x)=j \\ \operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq I^{c}}} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\|\bar{I}|=\nu \\ \operatorname{sun}}} 1=\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{j}\binom{n-j}{\nu} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
N_{\nu}=\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\ \mid I \bar{I}=\nu}}\left|\left\{x \in C: x_{i}=0 \forall i \in I\right\}\right| .
$$

Moreover, $x \mapsto x^{I^{c}}$ defines a linear map $C \rightarrow C^{I^{c}}$ and $\left\{x \in C: x_{i}=0 \forall i \in I\right\}$ is the kernel of this map. The cardinality of this kernel is $q^{k-k^{I^{c}}}$ where $k^{I^{c}}:=\operatorname{dim} C^{I^{c}}$. Consequently, using Proposition 4.9 and noting that the length of $C^{I^{c}}$ is $\nu$, we find

$$
N_{\nu}=\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\|I|=\nu}} q^{k-k^{I^{c}}}=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[\underline{I}] \\|I|=\nu}}\left|\left(C^{I^{c}}\right)^{\perp}\right|=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\|I|=\nu}}\left|\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{I^{c}}\right| .
$$

Now, $\left|\left(C^{\perp}\right)_{I^{c}}\right|=\left|\left\{y \in C^{\perp}: y_{i}=0 \forall i \in I^{c}\right\}\right|=\left|\left\{y \in C^{\perp}: \operatorname{supp}(y) \subseteq I\right\}\right|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\nu-k} N_{\nu} & =\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\
|I|=\nu}} \sum_{\substack{y \in C^{\perp} \\
\operatorname{supp}(y) \subseteq I}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq[n] \\
|I|=\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{y \in C^{\perp} \\
\text { wu }(y)=j \\
\operatorname{supp}(y) \subseteq I}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{y \in C^{\perp} \\
\mathbf{w}_{H}(y)=j}} \sum_{\substack{|I|=\nu \\
\operatorname{supp}(n) \subseteq I}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{y \in C^{\perp}}}\binom{n-j}{\nu-j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n-j}{\nu-j} B_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\nu}=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n-j}{\nu-j} B_{j} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain the desired result.
It may be noted that for $j, \nu \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-j}{\nu}$ vanishes if $j>n-\nu$, whereas $\binom{n-j}{\nu-j}$ vanishes if $j>\nu$. Thus the MacWilliams identities in Theorem 5.1 can also be written as

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-\nu}\binom{n-j}{\nu} A_{j}=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu}\binom{n-j}{n-\nu} B_{j} \quad \text { for } \nu=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

These identities could be expressed in a more compact form using the two variable weight enumerator polynomial as follows.
5.2. Corollary (MacWilliams Identity). For any $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{C^{\perp}}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{|C|} W_{C}(X+(q-1) Y, X-Y) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in the binary case, $W_{C^{\perp}}(X, Y)=2^{-k} W_{C}(X+Y, X-Y)$.
Proof. Putting $X=Y+Z$, we see that (5.3) is equivalent to:

$$
W_{C^{\perp}}(Y+Z, Y)=\frac{1}{|C|} W_{C}(Z+q Y, Z)
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{C^{\perp}}(Y+Z, Y) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n} B_{j}(Y+Z)^{n-j} Y^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n} B_{j} Y^{j} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-j}\binom{n-j}{\nu} Y^{n-j-\nu} Z^{\nu} \\
& \left.=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c}
n-\nu \\
j=0 \\
n-j \\
\nu
\end{array}\right) B_{j}\right) Y^{n-\nu} Z^{\nu} \\
& =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\nu}\binom{n-j}{n-\nu} B_{j}\right) Y^{\nu} Z^{n-\nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where the penultimate equality is obtained by interchanging summations and the last equality is obtained by changing $\nu$ to $n-\nu$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|C|} W_{C}(Z+q Y, Z) & =\frac{1}{q^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{j}(Z+q Y)^{n-j} Z^{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{q^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-j} A_{j}\binom{n-j}{\nu} Z^{n-j-\nu} q^{\nu} Y^{\nu}\right) Z^{j} \\
& =\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{1}{q^{k-\nu}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\nu}\binom{n-j}{\nu} A_{j}\right) Z^{n-\nu} Y^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 5.1 yields the desired result.
5.3. Remark. Comparing coefficients in (5.3), we obtain, for $j=0,1, \ldots, n$ :

$$
B_{j}=\frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{j}(i) A_{i}
$$

where $K_{j}(X)=K_{j}^{n, q}(X)$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ Krawtchouk polynomial defined by:

$$
K_{j}(X)=\sum_{r=0}^{j}(-1)^{r}\binom{X}{r}\binom{n-X}{j-r}(q-1)^{j-r}
$$

where for any $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, and variable $X$,

$$
\binom{X}{r}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{X(X-1) \cdots(X-r+1)}{r!} & \text { if } r>0 \\
0, & \text { if } r<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

These Krawtchouk polynomials satisfy the following orthogonality relations.

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_{j}(i) K_{i}(k)=q^{n} \delta_{j, k} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu(i) K_{j}(i) K_{k}(i)=q^{n} \mu(j) \delta_{j, k}
$$

where $\mu(i):=\binom{n}{i}(q-1)^{i}$ and $\delta$ is the Kronecker delta. We refer to [17, Ch. 1] for a quick introduction to Krawtchouk polynomials and their properties.
5.4. Exercise. Let $C$ and $A_{i}, B_{i}$ be as in Theorem 5.1. Prove that

$$
\sum_{j=\nu}^{n}\binom{j}{\nu} A_{j}=q^{k-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-j}{n-\nu}(q-1)^{\nu-j} B_{j} \quad \text { for } \nu=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

(Hint: Put $Y=X+Z$ in (5.3).)
5.5. Remark. We remark in passing that, (5.3) can be used to obtain Pless power moment formulae, which express the $\nu^{\text {th }}$ moment $\sum_{j=0}^{n} j^{\nu} A_{j}$ in terms of the $B_{j}$ 's and also express $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(n-j)^{\nu} A_{j}$ in terms of the $B_{j}$ 's. To this end, it suffices to express the two bases $\left\{X^{j}: j \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{\binom{X}{j}: j \geq 0\right\}$ in terms of each other (and this can be done using the so called Stirling numbers of the second kind) and using Exercise 5.4. For details, we refer to [14, Ch. 1].

## 6. Equivalence and Automorphisms of Codes

6.1. Definition. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two linear codes of length $n$. We say that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are permutation equivalent if there exists $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that

$$
C_{2}=\left\{\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right):\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C_{1}\right\}
$$

In other words, the map:

$$
f_{\sigma}: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \quad \text { defined by } \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)
$$

induces a linear isomorphism of $C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$.
Equivalently, two codes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ of length $n$ are permutation equivalent, if there exists a permutation matrix $P \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $f_{\sigma}(x)=x P$ gives a bijection of $C_{1}$ onto $C_{2}$.
6.2. Notation. If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are permutation equivalent, we write $C_{1} \sim C_{2}$.

For our next definition, recall that an $n \times n$ matrix $M$ is said to be a monomial matrix if $M=P D$ where $P$ is a permutation matrix and $D$ a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are nonzero.
6.3. Definition. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two linear codes of length $n$. We say that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are (monomially) equivalent if there exists a monomial matrix $M \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $x \mapsto x M$ gives a bijection of $C_{1}$ onto $C_{2}$.
6.4. Notation. We write $C_{1} \approx C_{2}$ to denote that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are monomially equivalent; we also say that $C_{1} \approx C_{2}$ via $M$ if the monomial matrix $M$ gives the bijection $C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ via the map $x \mapsto x M$.
6.5. Definition. A map $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is said to be an isometry if it is bijective and

$$
d(x, y)=d(f(x), f(y)) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} .
$$

Clearly, if $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is linear and bijective, then, $f$ is an isometry if and only if $\mathrm{w}_{H}(f(x))=\mathrm{w}_{H}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.
6.6. Proposition. If $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is a linear isometry, then there exists a monomial matrix $M \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ such that $f(x)=x M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.

Proof. Suppose $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is a linear isometry. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Then for each $i=1, \ldots, n, f\left(e_{i}\right)$ has Hamming weight 1 , and hence

$$
f\left(e_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i} e_{\sigma(i)} \quad \text { for some } \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \text { and } \sigma(i) \in[n] .
$$

Note that $\sigma \in S_{n}$, since $f$ is a bijection. Let $P_{\sigma}$ be the permutation matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ associated to $\sigma$, and let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be the monomial matrix

$$
M=P_{\sigma} D \quad \text { where } \quad D=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \vdots \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is given by $f(x)=x M$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. This proves the assertion.
6.7. REMARK.
(1) The notions of permutation equivalence and monomial equivalence coincide for binary code.
(2) If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are (permutation or monomial) equivalent, they have the same parameters.
(3) Also, if $C_{1} \approx C_{2}$ via $M$, and if $G_{1}$ is a generator matrix of $C_{1}$, then, $G_{2}=G_{1} M$ is a generator matrix of $C_{2}$. In particular, any linear code is (permutation) equivalent to a code whose generator matrix is in standard form.

Equivalences of a code with itself leads to the important notion of automorphism of a code. In the remainder of this section, $C$ denotes a $[n, k]_{q}$-code.
6.8. Definition. The permutation automorphism group of $C$ is:

$$
\operatorname{PAut}(C)=\left\{\sigma \in S_{n}:\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right) \in C \text { for all }\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C\right\}
$$

Clearly, this group is a subgroup of $S_{n}$. Also we have the following isomorphism:
$\operatorname{PAut}(C) \simeq\left\{P \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right): P\right.$ a permutation matrix such that $\left.x P \in C \forall x \in C\right\}$,
Thus we may think of the permutation automorphism group as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
6.9. Definition. The monomial automorphism group of $C$ is:
$\operatorname{MAut}(C)=\left\{M \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right): M\right.$ a monomial matrix and $\left.x M \in C \forall x \in C\right\}$.
Clearly, this is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
The most general notion of automorphism of a code is given by the following.
6.10. Definition. The semilinear automorphism group of $C$ is

Clearly, $\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ is a subgroup of the group $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(n, q)$ of semilinear isomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.

In general, if $V_{1}, V_{2}$ are vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mu \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}$, then a map $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ is said to be $\mu$-semilinear if $f(x+y)=$ $f(x)+f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V_{1}$ and $f(a x)=\mu(a) f(x)$ for all $x \in V_{1}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. We say that $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ is semilinear if it is $\mu$-semilinear for some $\mu \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F})$. A bijective semilinear map whose inverse is semilinear is called a semilinear isomorphism.

In connection with semilinear isomorphisms, we state without proof the following analogue of Proposition 6.6. A proof can be found, for example, in [4] or [9].
6.11. Proposition (MacWilliams). Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be linear codes of length $n$ and dimension at least 3 , and let $f: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ be a map of $C_{1}$ into $C_{2}$. Then $f$ is a weight preserving bijection that maps r-dimensional subspaces of $C_{1}$ onto $r$ dimensional subspaces of $C_{2}$ for each $r \geq 0$ if and only if $f: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ is a semilinear isomorphism.

In the binary case (i.e., when $q=2$ ), we have $\operatorname{PAut}(C)=\operatorname{MAut}(C)=\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(C)$, and we use $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ to denote this group. It can be shown, for example, that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathscr{H}_{r}(2)\right)=\mathrm{GL}(r, 2)$. In general, it is difficult to determine the automorphism group of a given class of codes. For the determination of the automorphism group of Reed-Muller codes, we refer to $[7,3,12]$.

## 7. Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are an important and well-studied class of linear codes. Here we give a very brief introduction. As before, $n$ denotes a positive integer.
7.1. Definition. A linear code $C$ of length $n$ is said to be cyclic if

$$
\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in C \Longrightarrow\left(c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \in C
$$

We have used here $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ to denote a typical element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. This is in order to identify $c$ with the polynomial $c_{0}+c_{1} X+c_{2} X^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} X^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ or equivalently the image of this polynomial in the ring $R_{n}:=\mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /\left\langle X^{n}-1\right\rangle$ obtained from $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ by "moding out" by the ideal generated by $X^{n}-1$. The resulting map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ to $R_{n}$ will be denoted by $\pi$. Clearly, $\pi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow R_{n}$ is a natural $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear isomorphism.
7.2. Exercise. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. Show that $C$ is a cyclic code of length $n$ if and only if $\pi(C)$ is an ideal of $R_{n}$.

Note that $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ is a PID and the ideals of $R_{n}$ correspond precisely to the ideals of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ containing $\left\langle X^{n}-1\right\rangle$. In particular, every ideal of $R_{n}$ is principal. If $I$ is an ideal of $R_{n}$, then there is a unique monic polynomial, $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, such that
$g(X) \mid X^{n}-1$ and $I$ is generated by the image of $g(X)$ in $R_{n}$. We call $g(X)$ the generator polynomial of $I$ or of the corresponding cyclic code $C=\pi^{-1}(I)$.
7.3. Exercise. Let $I, g(X)$ and $C$ be as above. Suppose $\operatorname{deg} g(X)=n-k$. Write $g(X)=g_{0}+g_{1} X+\cdots+g_{n-k} X^{n-k}$. Show that $\operatorname{dim}(C)=k$ and the $k \times n$ matrix:

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & \ldots & & g_{n-k} & 0 & & \ldots & & 0 \\
0 & g_{0} & g_{1} & \ldots & & g_{n-k} & 0 & & \ldots & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
& \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & g_{0} & g_{1} & \ldots & & g_{n-k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a generator matrix of $C$. Further if we let

$$
h(X)=\frac{X^{n}-1}{g(X)}=h_{0}+h_{1} X+\cdots+h_{k} X^{k}
$$

then show that the $(n-k) \times k$ matrix

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
h_{k} & h_{k-1} & \ldots & & h_{0} & 0 & & \ldots & & 0 \\
0 & h_{k} & h_{k-1} & \ldots & & g_{0} & 0 & & \ldots & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
& \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & h_{k} & h_{k-1} & & \ldots & & h_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a parity check matrix of $C$.

## 8. Bounds for Codes

By a $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code we shall mean a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ with $d(C)=d$.
8.1. Theorem (Griesmer). Let $C$ be $a[n, k, d]_{q}$ code. Then

$$
n \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{i}}\right\rceil
$$

Before proving, we show that, the singleton bound follows as a corollary:
8.2. Corollary (Singleton Bound). $n \geqslant d+k-1$.

Proof of Corollary. We simply note that:

$$
\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{0}}\right\rceil=d \quad \text { and } \quad\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{i}}\right\rceil \geqslant 1 \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

Hence Theorem 8.1 yields the Singleton bound.
The proof of Griesmer's bound involves iterating the construction of a code $C^{\prime}$ from a linear code $C$ and a minimum weight codeword $x$ of $C$. The resulting code $C^{\prime}$ is sometimes called the residue of the code $C$ at the word $x \in C$. We outline this construction in the following lemma.
8.3. Lemma. Let $C$ be $a[n, k, d]_{q}$ code with $k>0$ and let $x \in C$ be such that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=d$. If $P=\operatorname{supp}(x)$, then $C^{\prime}=C^{P}$ is a $\left[n-d, k-1, d^{\prime}\right]$-code with $d^{\prime} \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{d}{q}\right\rceil$.

Proof. By passing to an equivalent code, if necessary, we may assume:

$$
x=(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{d \text { times }}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in C
$$

Then $P=\operatorname{supp}(x)=\{d, d+1, \ldots, n\}$ and the map given by $y \mapsto y^{P}$ is a linear map of $C$ onto $C^{\prime}$ that has $x$ in its kernel. Hence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leqslant k-1$. Further, if $\operatorname{dim}\left(C^{\prime}\right)<k-1$, then, there is $y$ in the kernel such that $y \neq \lambda x$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and

$$
y_{d+1}=\cdots=y_{n}=0
$$

But, then, $y-\lambda x$ is a nonzero codeword of $C$ whose weight is at most $d-1$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. This is a contradiction. So, $\operatorname{dim}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=k-1$.

Let $z \in C^{\prime}$ be a nonzero codeword. Then, $z=y^{P}$ for some $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in C$. Look at $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$. By the Pigeonhole Principle, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that at least $\lceil d / q\rceil$ of the $y_{i}$ s are equal to $\alpha$. Hence

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(y-\alpha x) \leq d-\left\lceil\frac{d}{q}\right\rceil+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(y_{d+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=d-\left\lceil\frac{d}{q}\right\rceil+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(z) .
$$

On the other hand, $y-\alpha x \neq 0$, by the choice of $y$, and so $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(y-\alpha x) \geq d$. Thus it follows that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(z) \geq\left\lceil\frac{d}{q}\right\rceil$. This proves that $d^{\prime}=d\left(C^{\prime}\right) \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{d}{q}\right\rceil$.

Proof of Theorem. We induct on $k$. The cases $k=0$ and $k=1$ are trivial. Assume that $k>1$ and that, the result holds for $k-1$. Choose $x \in C$ such that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=d$ and let $C^{\prime}$ be as in the previous lemma. By the induction hypothesis,

$$
n-d \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\left\lceil\frac{d^{\prime}}{q^{i}}\right\rceil \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2}\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{i+1}}\right\rceil=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left\lceil\frac{d}{q^{i}}\right\rceil .
$$

Thus $n \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\lceil d / q^{i}\right\rceil$, as desired.
8.4. Exercise. Show that the simplex codes meet the Griesmer bound.

Before proving more bounds, we make the following definitions:
8.5. Definition. Let $Q$ be a finite set with $q$ elements. For $x \in Q^{n}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the (solid) sphere of radius $t$ centered at $x$ is:

$$
\mathbb{S}_{t}(x)=\left\{y \in Q^{n}: d_{H}(x, y) \leqslant t\right\}
$$

Clearly, the number $V_{q}(n, t)$ of points in the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{t}(x)$ (this is also the volume of the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{t}(x)$ with respect to the counting measure in $\left.Q^{n}\right)$ is given by:

$$
V_{q}(n, t):=\sum_{i=0}^{t}\binom{n}{i}(q-1)^{i} .
$$

Note that, this number is independent of the center $x \in Q^{n}$ of the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{t}(x)$. In most applications, $Q$ will be the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and this may be tacitly assumed when we consider linear codes.

We now look at the question of how large can a code be, given its desired properties (some natural constraints on its parameters)? In this context, the following notation is relevant:
8.6. Notation. For a prime power $q$ and integers $n, d$ with $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$, let
$A_{q}(n, d):=\max \{|C|: C q$-ary code of length $n$ and minimum distance $d\}$, and $B_{q}(n, d):=\max \{|C|: C q$-ary linear code of length $n$ and minimum distance $d\}$.

Clearly, $B_{q}(n, d)$ is defined only when $q$ is a prime power and in this case, $B_{q}(n, d) \leqslant A_{q}(n, d)$. The following theorem gives an upper bound on $A_{q}(n, d)$, which is obtained by "packing" $C$ with spheres of radius $t$.
8.7. Theorem (Hamming Bound/Sphere-packing Bound). Let $q, n, d$ be any positive integers with $d \leq n$. Then

$$
A_{q}(n, d) \leqslant \frac{q^{n}}{V_{q}(n, t)}, \quad \text { where } \quad t:=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

Proof. Let $C$ be a $q$-ary code of length $n$ and minimum distance $d$. We observe that, the spheres $\mathbb{S}_{t}(c)$ as $c$ varies over $C$ are disjoint. Indeed, if, $x \in \mathbb{S}_{t}\left(c_{1}\right) \cap \mathbb{S}_{t}\left(c_{2}\right)$, where $c_{1}, c_{2} \in C$ and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$, then

$$
d\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \leq d\left(c_{1}, x\right)+d\left(x, c_{2}\right) \leq 2 t \leq d-1<d
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $\coprod_{c \in C} \mathbb{S}_{t}(c) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. This shows that

$$
|C| \cdot V_{q}(n, t) \leq q^{n} \quad \text { and hence } \quad|C| \leq \frac{q^{n}}{V_{q}(n, t)}
$$

which yields the desired bound for $A_{q}(n, d)$.
Recall that, the codes that meet singleton bound were called MDS codes. Here is yet another notion of a "good" code.
8.8. Definition. A $[n, k, d]$-code $C$ that meets sphere packing bound is called a perfect code.

Evidently, if $C$ is perfect code on an alphabet set $Q$, then,

$$
\coprod_{c \in C} \mathbb{S}_{t}(c)=Q^{n} .
$$

8.9. Example. Trivial examples of perfect linear codes include $\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.
8.10. Example. The binary Hamming code $\mathscr{H}_{r}(2)$ is perfect. Here $d=3$, so $t=1$. Set $n=2^{r}-1$, the length of $\mathscr{H}_{r}(2)$; and let $M=\left|\mathscr{H}_{r}(2)\right|=2^{n-r}$. We compute the volume of a unit sphere in $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ :

$$
\binom{n}{0}(q-1)^{0}+\binom{n}{1}(q-1)=1+n(2-1)=2^{r}
$$

Now, it is easy to see $\mathscr{H}_{r}(2)$ is perfect:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \cdot 2^{r} & =2^{n} \\
\coprod_{c \in \mathscr{H}_{r}(2)} \mathbb{S}_{1}(c) & =\mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

8.11. Exercise. Show that $\mathscr{H}_{r}(q)$ is perfect for any prime power $q$.

### 8.12. Remark.

(1) Firstly, if $C$ is perfect, $C^{\perp}$ is not necessarily perfect. For example, the binary simplex code is not perfect, but the binary Hamming code is.
(2) The Golay Codes

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{23} & {[23,12,7]_{2} \text {-code }} \\
G_{24} & {[24,12,8]_{2} \text {-code }} \\
G_{11} & {[11,6,5]_{3} \text {-code }} \\
G_{12} & {[12,6,6]_{3} \text {-code }}
\end{array}
$$

have the property that, $G_{23}$ and $G_{11}$ are perfect. It is said, the following curious observation,

$$
\binom{23}{0}+\binom{23}{1}+\binom{23}{2}+\binom{23}{3}=2^{11}=2^{23-12}
$$

led to the construction of these codes. We note in passing that, $G_{23}$ is a cyclic code whose generator polynomial is one of the irreducible factors of the polynomial

$$
\frac{X^{23}-1}{X-1}
$$

The Golay codes are usually defined by describing explicitly their generator matrices (that happen to be in standard form). For more on these, we refer to the Handbook [14]. See also the book of Conway and Sloane [6] for Golay codes, their automorphism groups, and many other fascinating topics.
8.13. Theorem (Gilbert Bound/Sphere Covering Bound). Let $q, n, d$ be any positive integers with $d \leq n$. Then

$$
A_{q}(n, d) \geqslant \frac{q^{n}}{V_{q}(n, d-1)}
$$

Equivalently, $\log _{q}\left(A_{q}(n, d)\right) \geqslant n-\log _{q}\left(V_{q}(n, d-1)\right.$.
Proof. Let $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{M}\right\}$ be a code of length $n$ over a finite alphabet set $Q$ with $q$ elements such that $M=A_{q}(n, d)$.

Since $C$ is optimal, if $x \in Q^{n}$, then, $d\left(x, c_{i}\right)<d$ for some $i$ (for otherwise, if $d\left(x, c_{i}\right) \geqslant d$ for all $i$, then, the code $C \cup\{x\}$ has length $n$ and minimum distance $d$, contradicting the optimality of $C$ ). Consequently,

$$
Q^{n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{S}_{d-1}\left(c_{i}\right) \quad \text { and so } \quad q^{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} V_{q}(n, d-1)=M V_{q}(n, d-1)
$$

Thus, $M \geq q^{n} / V_{q}(n, d-1)$, as desired.

For a linear code, we may do slightly better. To do this, we appeal to linear algebra in the following slightly technical lemma:
8.14. Lemma. Let $n, d$ be integers with $2 \leqslant d \leqslant n$. If $k$ is a positive integer such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q}(n-1, d-2)<q^{n-k} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, there exists a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ with $d(C) \geqslant d$.
Proof. We will construct columns $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ of a $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $H$ such that any $d-1$ of these columns are linearly independent. Then, the code $C$ with $H$ as its parity check matrix will have the desired property.

The trick is to get the greedy algorithm to work: that is, we show that, we can satisfy our greed!

First, take $h_{1}$ to be an arbitrary non-zero column in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-k}$. Having chosen $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-k}$ such that any $d-1$ of them are linearly independent and $j<n$, we choose $h_{j+1}$ from the complement of the set of all linear combinations of $d-2$ of the $j$ vectors $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{j}$.

We just need to show that, such a choice can always be made: to show this, we count the number $\mathcal{L}$ of linear combinations of $d-2$ of the $j$ vectors $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{j}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}=\sum_{i=0}^{d-2}\binom{j}{i}(q-1)^{i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d-2}\binom{n-1}{i}(q-1)^{i}=V_{q}(n-1, d-2)<q^{n-k}
$$

Thus, we can find $h_{j+1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-k}$ which is different from any of these linear combinations. This finishes the proof.
8.15. Corollary (Varshamov Bound). Let $q$ be a prime power and $n, d$ be any positive integers with $d \leq n$. Then

$$
B_{q}(n, d) \geqslant q^{n-\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil}
$$

Further, the above bound implies the following weaker, but simpler bound:

$$
B_{q}(n, d) \geqslant \frac{q^{n-1}}{1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)}
$$

Proof. First, suppose $d=1$ Then $C=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is evidently a $q$-ary linear code of length $n$ with $d(C)=1$, and hence $B_{q}(n, d) \geq q^{n}$ (in fact, $B_{q}(n, d)=q^{n}$ ), which implies both the assertions, since $V_{q}(n-1,-1)=0$. Now suppose $d \geq 2$. Consider
$k:=n-\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil$, i.e., $\quad n-k=\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil$.
Note that $k$ is a positive integer $\leq n$, since $1 \leq V_{q}(n-1, d-2) \leq q^{n-1}$, i.e., $2 \leq 1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2) \leq 1+q^{n-1}<q^{n}$, and so $1 \leq\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil \leq n$. Further, from the definition of $k$ and the fact that $\lceil x\rceil \geq x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
q^{n-k} \geq q^{\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)}=1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)>V_{q}(n-1, d-2)
$$

Hence by Lemma 8.14, there exists a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ with $d(C) \geqslant d$. We can see that this code $C$ can be suitably punctured and extended to obtain a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $\widehat{C}$ with $d(\widehat{C})=d$. Indeed, if $d_{1}:=d(C)$ and $d_{1}>d$, then we may choose $x \in C$ with $x \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)=d_{1}$. Pick a subset $P$ of $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ such that $|P|=d_{1}-d$. Consider the $\operatorname{map} \phi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ that associates to $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in C$, the $n$-tuple $\widehat{c}=\left(\widehat{c}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{c}_{n}\right)$,
where $\widehat{c}_{i}=c_{i}$ if $i \notin P$ and $\widehat{c}_{i}=0$ if $i \in P$, Evidently, $\phi$ is a linear map and it is injective because a nonzero element $c \in \operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ iwould satisfy $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(c) \leq d_{1}-d<d_{1}$, which is a contradiction. Hence the image of $\phi$ is a $[n, k]_{q}$-code, say $\widehat{C}$. Also for any nonzero $\widehat{c}=\phi(c) \in \widehat{C}$, it is clear that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(\widehat{c}) \geqslant \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(c)-\left(d_{1}-d\right) \geqslant d_{1}=\left(d_{1}-d\right)=d$, and moreover, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(\widehat{x})=d$. It follows that $d(\widehat{C})=d$. This implies that

$$
B_{q}(n, d) \geqslant q^{k}=q^{n-\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil},
$$

and the first assertion is proved. This implies the weaker, but simpler bound, since

$$
q^{n-\left\lceil\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)\right\rceil} \geqslant \frac{q^{n-1}}{q^{\log _{q}\left(1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)\right)}}=\frac{q^{n-1}}{1+V_{q}(n-1, d-2)}
$$

where the first inequality follows by noting that $\lceil\theta\rceil \leq \theta+1$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
The bounds obtained in this section lead to important asymptotic bounds for the largest possible rate of a family of $q$-ary codes having lengths going to $\infty$ and relative distances approaching $\delta$, that is, for the function

$$
\alpha(\delta)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{q} A_{q}(n,\lfloor\delta n\rfloor)}{n} .
$$

These are not difficult, but rather technical. We thus skip them here, but refer the interested reader to the Handbook [14] or to [16].

## 9. Generalized Hamming Weights

The notion of generalized Hamming weight, also known as higher weight, is a natural generalization of the notion of minimum distance of a code. It is closely connected to questions about intersections of hypersurfaces of a given degree on a projective algebraic variety over a finite field and also has applications to cryptography. We provide some basics of the theory here.

Recall that for any codeword $x$ of a code $C$, we defined the support of x. We extend this notion to subcodes, or more generally, subsets of $C$.
9.1. Definition. Let $C$ be a $q$-ary linear code of length $n$. For any $D \subseteq C$, the support of $D$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{supp}(D):=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \text { there is } x \in D \text { with } x_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

and the Hamming weight of $D$ is defined by $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(D):=|\operatorname{supp}(D)|$.
It is clear that if $D$ is one-dimensional subspace of $C$ spanned by $x$, then $\operatorname{supp}(D)=\operatorname{supp}(x)$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(x)$.
9.2. Definition. Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-code and $r$ be a positive integer $\leq k$. The $r$ th generalized Hamming weight or the $r$ th higher weight of $C$ is defined by

$$
d_{r}(C):=\min \left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(D): D \text { a subspace of } C \text { with } \operatorname{dim} D=r\right\} .
$$

The $k$-tuple $\left(d_{1}(C), \ldots, d_{k}(C)\right)$ is called the weight hierarchy of $C$.
Observe that $d_{1}(C)=d(C)$.
9.3. Exercise. Compute the weight hierarchy of the simplex codes.

If $C$ is any $[n, k]_{q}$-code, then is clear that $d_{1}(C) \leq d_{2}(C) \leq \ldots \leq d_{k}(C) \leq n$. Actually, more is true.
9.4. Proposition (Monotonicity). Let $C$ be $a[n, k]_{q}$-code. Then

$$
d_{1}(C)<d_{2}(C)<\ldots<d_{k}(C) \leq n
$$

Proof. Write $d_{j}=d_{j}(C)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Fix an integer $r$ with $1 \leq r<k$. Clearly $d_{r} \leq d_{r+1} \leq n$. Suppose $D$ is a subcode of $C$ of dimension $r+1$ such that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=d_{r+1}$, and suppose $i \in \operatorname{supp}(D)$. Consider $E=\left\{x \in D: x_{i}=0\right\}$. Then $E$ is the kernel of the $i$ th projection map $\pi_{i}: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since $i \in \operatorname{supp}(D)$, the map $\pi_{i}$ is nonzero, and hence $\operatorname{dim} E=r$. Moreover, $\operatorname{supp}(E) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(D) \backslash\{i\}$, and so $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(E) \leq \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{H}}(D)-1=d_{r+1}-1$. It follows that $d_{r}<d_{r+1}$.
9.5. Definition. A $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ is said to be nondegenerate if $C$ is not contained in a coordinate hyperplane of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ or equivalently, if $d_{k}(C)=n$.
9.6. Corollary (Generalized Singleton Bound). Let $C$ be a $[n, k]_{q}$-code. Then $d_{r}(C) \leq n-k+r$ for all $1 \leq r \leq k$.

Proof. By the monotonicity, $d_{k}(C) \leq n \Rightarrow d_{k-1}(C) \leq n-1 \Rightarrow d_{k-2}(C) \leq n-2$, and so on. In this way, we obtain $d_{r}(C) \leq n-k+r$ for $1 \leq r \leq k$.
9.7. Exercise. Show that if a $[n, k]_{q}$-code $C$ is MDS, then it is a $r$-MDS code, i.e., $d_{r}(C)=n-k+r$ for all $r=1,2, \ldots k$.
9.8. Theorem (Wei Duality Theorem). Let $C$ be $a[n, k]_{q}$-code and let $d_{r}=d_{r}(C)$ and $d_{s}^{\perp}=d_{s}\left(C^{\perp}\right)$ for $r=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $s=1,2, \ldots, n-k$. Then

$$
\left\{d_{1}^{\perp}, d_{2}^{\perp}, \ldots, d_{n-k}^{\perp}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash\left\{n+1-d_{1}, n+1-d_{2}, \ldots, n+1-d_{k}\right\}
$$

For a proof of the above theorem, one may refer to the original paper of Wei [18]. In general, determining the weight hierarchy of a code is difficult. In a remarkable paper [10], Heijnen and Pellikaan determined the complete weight hierarchy of Reed-Muller codes. For a more streamlined proof of their result, and in fact, a more general result, we refer to the recent article [2].
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[^0]:    These notes are meant for limited distribution to participants of the AIS/IST on Gröbner Bases and Their Applications at IIIT Delhi during December 11-23, 2017 as an accompaniment to the lectures of the author on Gröbner Bases and Coding Theory. Much of the material is borrowed from the informal notes of a series of six lectures given at the Advanced Instructional School on Algebraic Combinatorics held at the Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore during June 24-July 13, 2013. Thanks are due to Mrinmoy Datta, Kannappan Sampath and Prasant Singh for taking and TeXing a preliminary version of the Bangalore notes. These notes will only cover some basic aspects of coding theory. For connections to Gröbner bases, we refer to the notes of Carvalho [5].

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A Problem is an Exercise whose solution is not known. In the case of Problem 2.5, one may consult [8] for more information. A solution to Exercise 2.6 can also be found there.

