

lecture 14

Dated 26-05-09

proof of theorem 2.

let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $0 < s < r$ Assume  $z_0 = 0$  for simplicitychoose any  $z \in B(z_0, s)$  or  $B(0, s)$ . Then by cauchy integral formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(w) dw}{(w-z)}$$

$$\frac{1}{w-z} = \frac{1}{w\left[1 - \frac{z}{w}\right]} = \frac{1}{w} \left[ 1 + \frac{z}{w} + \frac{z^2}{w^2} + \dots \right]$$

which is valid since  $\left|\frac{z}{w}\right| = \frac{|z|}{|w|} < 1$ 

$$\text{Thus } \frac{f(w)}{w-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w) z^n}{w^{n+1}}$$

and the series in right converges uniformly for any  $w$  with  $|w| = s$  (for every fixed  $z \in B(0, s)$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(w) dz}{(w-z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(w) dw}{w^{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{where } a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(w) dw}{w^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every  $z \in B(0, s)$  we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

where the series in right is convergent.

$\therefore s$  is any real number such that  
 $0 < s < r$  we obtain

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

and by theorem 1,  $a_m = \frac{f^{(m)}(0)}{m!}$

Finally if  $|f(w)| \leq M$  on  $C$  then

$$|a_n| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(w) \frac{dw}{w^{n+1}} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{|f(w)|}{|w^{n+1}|} |dw| \leq \frac{M \cdot 2\pi s}{2\pi s^{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{M}{s^n}$$

exercise : rewrite the above proof without assuming  $z_0 = 0$ .

remark : The above theorem shows that if  $f$  is analytic at  $z_0$ , then the

Taylor series of  $f$  at  $z_0$ , namely

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(m)}(z_0)}{m!} (z-z_0)^m$$

is convergent in a ball  $B(z_0, R)$  of radius  $R > 0$  and it converges to  $f(z) \forall z \in B(z_0, R)$ .

A similar result is not true for functions of real variables, for example consider

$$e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}}{x} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{In fact } \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}}{x^m} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any } m \geq 0$$

and using this we see that

$$f'(0) \text{ exist and } f^{(m)}(0) = 0 \quad \forall m \geq 0$$

Thus  $f$  is infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$

However the Taylor series of  $f$  at 0

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(m)}(0)}{m!} z^m$$

is a zero function hence it is not equal to  $f(nz)$  for any  $n \neq 0$ .

**Corollary:** If  $f$  is analytic at  $z_0$  and

$$f^{(m)}(z_0) = 0$$

$\forall m \geq 0$

then there is  $r > 0$  such that

$$f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in B(z_0, r)$$

**Theorem:** The zeroes of an analytic function are isolated i.e. if  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic at and interior point  $z_0$  of  $\Omega$  and  $f(z_0) = 0$ , then  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $f(z) \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in \Omega$  such that  $0 < |z - z_0| < r$  and non zero on  $B(z_0, r)$  with  $f(z_0) = 0$  then  $\exists s > 0$  such that  $f(z) \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in \Omega$  such that  $0 < |z - z_0| < s$

Corollary (Identity principle) If  $\Omega$  is an open, connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are analytic function such that

$$f(z) = g(z) \quad \forall z \in S$$

where  $S \subseteq \Omega$  having a limit point (i.e.:  $\exists z_0 \in \Omega$  such that every  $B(z_0, \epsilon)$  contains a point of  $S$  other than  $z_0$ )

$$\text{then } f(z) = g(z) \quad \forall z \in \Omega$$

Proof let  $h = f - g$  and  $z_0$  be a limit point of  $S$ . Then  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and there is a sequence  $(z_n)$  of points of  $S$  such that

$$z_n \rightarrow z_0$$

(eg  $z_n$  can be chosen as a point of  $S$  in  $B(z_0, \frac{1}{n})$  other than  $z_0$ ). Now  $f, g$  are analytic and hence continuous... So.

$$f(z_n) \rightarrow f(z_0) \text{ and } g(z_n) \rightarrow g(z_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow h(z_n) \rightarrow h(z_0)$$

But  $h(z_n) = 0 \quad \forall n$  since  $z_n \in S$ .

So.  $h(z_0) = 0$  So  $z_0$  is a zero of the analytic function  $h$  but since  $z_0$  is a limit point of  $S$ , it is not an isolated zero of  $h$ , which contradicts previous theorem, unless

$$h=0, \text{ i.e. } f=g$$