

A polymatroid approach to generalized weights of rank metric codes

Sudhir R. Ghorpade¹ · Trygve Johnsen²

Received: 3 April 2019 / Revised: 23 August 2020 / Accepted: 25 August 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

We consider the notion of a (q, m)-polymatroid, due to Shiromoto, and the more general notion of (q, m)-demi-polymatroid, and show how generalized weights can be defined for them. Further, we establish a duality for these weights analogous to Wei duality for generalized Hamming weights of linear codes. The corresponding results of Ravagnani for Delsarte rank metric codes, and Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto for relative generalized rank weights are derived as a consequence.

Keywords Rank metric code · generalized weight · polymatroid · Wei duality

Mathematics Subject Classification 05B35 · 94B60 · 15A03

1 Introduction

Rank metric codes are an important variant of linear (block) codes, and they have gained prominence in the past few decades, partly due to myriad applications in network coding and cryptography, as also due to their intrinsic interest. Perhaps a more widely studied notion of rank metric codes is the one that goes back to Gabidulin's work [8] in 1985. A *Gabidulin rank metric code*, or simply, a *Gabidulin code*, of length *n* and dimension *k* may be defined

Communicated by K.-U. Schmidt.

Trygve Johnsen is partially supported by Grant 280731 from the Research Council of Norway. Sudhir Ghorpade is partially supported by DST-RCN Grant INT/NOR/RCN/ICT/P-03/2018 from the Dept. of Science & Technology, Govt. of India, MATRICS grant MTR/2018/000369 from the Science and Engg. Research Board, and IRCC Award Grant 12IRAWD009 from IIT Bombay.

Trygve Johnsen trygve.johnsen@uit.no

Sudhir R. Ghorpade srg@math.iitb.ac.in

¹ Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, India

² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, UiT-The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway

as a *k*-dimensional subspace of the *n*-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ over the extension field \mathbb{F}_{q^m} of \mathbb{F}_q . The analogue of Hamming distance here is the notion of rank distance defined as follows. Fix a \mathbb{F}_q -basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} so as to associate to any vector in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{F}_q . Now, the *rank distance* between any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ is defined as the rank of the difference of the matrices corresponding to \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . The notion of a Delsarte rank metric code is in fact, older (it goes back to the work [6] of Delsarte in 1978) and more general. Indeed, a *Delsarte rank metric code*, or simply, a *Delsarte code* of dimension *K* is a *K*-dimensional subspace of the \mathbb{F}_q -linear space of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_q . As before, the rank distance between two $m \times n$ matrices is the rank of their difference. It is clear that a Gabidulin code of dimension *k* is a Delsarte code of dimension *mk*. But a Delsarte code need not be a Gabidulin code, even if its dimension is divisible by *m*.

Generalized Hamming weights (GHW), also known as higher weights, of a linear code C are a natural and useful generalization of the basic notion of minimum distance of C. These were studied by Wei [20] who showed that the GHW d_1, \ldots, d_k of a linear code C of dimension k satisfy nice properties such as monotonicity $(d_1 < \cdots < d_k)$ and more importantly, duality, whereby the GHW of C and its dual C^{\perp} determine each other. It was not immediately clear how an analogue of GHW for rank metric codes could be defined. But then three definitions for the generalized rank weights (GRW) of a Gabidulin rank metric code were proposed by three sets of authors working in different parts of the globe, viz., Oggier and Sboui [16], Kurihara et al. [13], and Jurrius and Pellikaan [11]. Thankfully, all three seemingly disparate definitions turn out to be equivalent (cf. [1,11]). Moreover, an analogue of Wei duality holds for the GRW's; see, e.g., Ducoat [7]. For the more general class of Delsarte rank metric codes, Ravagnani [18] proposed an analogous definition of generalized weights (GW) and showed that in the special case of Gabidulin codes, the km GW's of the corresponding Delsarte code are the same as the k GRW's of the Gabidulin code (in accordance with the previous definitions), each repeated *m* times. Further, Ravagnani [18] established a duality for the GW's of Delsarte rank metric codes. The notion of dual Delsarte codes is facilitated by the *trace product*, which associates to a pair (A, B) of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_q the element $\operatorname{Tr}(AB^t)$ of \mathbb{F}_q . It is shown by Ravagnani [17] that for suitable choices of \mathbb{F}_q -bases of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , the notions of the (standard) dual of a Gabidulin code and of the (trace product) dual of the corresponding Delsarte code are compatible.

In the classical case of linear codes, Britz et al. [4] showed that Wei duality for generalized Hamming weights of linear codes is, in fact, a special case of Wei duality for matroids and also established Wei-type duality theorems for demi-matroids. It is natural, therefore, to ask if the notion of generalized (rank) weights for (Gabidulin or Delsarte) rank metric codes can be studied in the more general context of something like matroids, and if an analogue of Wei duality can be proved in this set-up. This is the question that we address in this paper. The notion that turns out to be relevant for us is that of (q, m)-polymatroids, which has recently been introduced by Shiromoto [19]. We consider, in fact, a little more general class of (q, m)-demi-polymatroids, define generalized weights for them, and establish a duality in this context. We show that these can be applied to flags, or chains, of Delsarte rank metric codes. In particular, by considering pairs, i.e., flags of length 2 of Delsarte codes, we recover several results of Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto [15] on the so called relative generalized weights of Delsarte codes. Also, considering flags of length 1, we can deduce the results of Ravagnani [18] for the GW's of Delsarte codes and their duals. We remark that q-analogues of matroids, called q-matroids and q-polymatroids, have been considered by Jurrius and Pellikaan [12] and by Gorla et al. [10], respectively. However, as far as we can see, Wei-type duality for their generalized weights is not shown in these papers.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 below, we review the definition of a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and outline some basic notions and results. Generalized weights of a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid are defined and Wei-type duality for them is established in Sect. 3. These results are then applied to Delsarte rank metric codes as well as to their flags in Sect. 4. As a corollary, one obtains analogues of Wei duality for generalized weights as well as relative generalized weights of Delsarte rank metric codes.

After this work was submitted and put on the *arXiv*, we learned of the work of Britz et al. [5] where results similar to those in Sect. 3 of this paper are proved, albeit using different methods. A nice comparison of the two approaches is given in the postscript of [5] and we refer the interested reader to it.

2 Demi-polymatroids: definitions and basic facts

nonnegative integers, m, n denote positive integers, q a prime power, and \mathbb{F}_q the finite field with q elements. We let E be the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n over \mathbb{F}_q and let

 $\Sigma(E)$ = the set of all \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspaces of E.

For $X \in \Sigma(E)$, we denote by X^{\perp} the dual of X (with respect to the standard "dot product"), i.e., $X^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{y} \in X \}$. It is elementary and well-known that $X^{\perp} \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim $X^{\perp} = n - \dim X$ and $(X^{\perp})^{\perp} = X$, although $X \cap X^{\perp}$ need not be equal to $\{\mathbf{0}\}$, but of course $E^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

The first part of the following key notion is due to Shiromoto [19, Definition 2].

Definition 1 A (q, m)-polymatroid is an ordered pair $P = (E, \rho)$ consisting of the vector space $E = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and a function $\rho : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying (R1)–(R3) below:

(R1) $0 \le \rho(X) \le m \dim X$ for all $X \in \Sigma(E)$; (R2) (W) for all $X \in \Sigma(E)$;

(R2) $\rho(X) \le \rho(Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $X \subseteq Y$;

(R3) $\rho(X+Y) + \rho(X \cap Y) \le \rho(X) + \rho(Y)$, for all $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$.

In case the ordered pair $P = (E, \rho)$ satisfies (R1), (R2), and instead of (R3),

(R4) $\rho^* : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by $\rho^*(X) = \rho(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \rho(E)$ for $X \in \Sigma(E)$, also satisfies (R1) and (R2)

then P is called a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid.

If $P = (E, \rho)$ is as above, then the nonnegative integer $\rho(E)$ is called the *rank* of *P* and is denoted by rank *P*. The function ρ may be called the *rank function* of *P*. We have the following "extension" of [19, Proposition 5].

Proposition 2 Let $P = (E, \rho)$, where $E = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\rho : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ is any map. Also let ρ^* be as in (R4) above. Then:

(i) If P is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid, then so is the ordered pair (E, ρ^*) .

(ii) If P is a (q, m)-polymatroid, then so is the ordered pair (E, ρ^*) .

Proof (i) It suffices to observe that $\rho(\{0\}) = 0$ and $(\rho^*)^* = \rho$. (ii) This is [19, Proposition 5].

An immediate consequence of part (ii) of Proposition 2 is that a (q, m)-polymatroid is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. If $P = (E, \rho)$ and ρ^* are as in Proposition 2(i), then (E, ρ^*) is denoted by P^* and called the *dual* of *P*. Note that

rank
$$P^* = \rho^*(E) = \rho(\{0\}) + m \dim E - \rho(E) = mn - \operatorname{rank} P$$
 and $(P^*)^* = P$.

Remark 3 As Shiromoto [19] remarks, a (q, m)-polymatroid is a q-analogue of k-polymatroids, and a (q, 1)-matroid is a q-analogue of matroids. An alternative approach to (q, m)-polymatroids is provided by Gorla, Jurrius, Lopez, and Ravagnani [10, Definition 4.1.].

Definition 4 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. The *nullity function* of P is the map $\nu : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by

 $\nu(X) = m \dim X - \rho(X) \text{ for } X \in \Sigma(E).$

The *conullity function* of *P* is the map $v^* : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ defined by

 $\nu^*(X) = m \dim X - \rho^*(X) = \rho(E) - \rho(X^{\perp}) \quad \text{for } X \in \Sigma(E).$

Proposition 5 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and let $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $X \subseteq Y$. Then:

- (a) $\nu(X) \leq \nu(Y)$ and $\nu^*(X) \leq \nu^*(Y)$;
- (b) $\nu(Y) \nu(X) \le m(\dim Y \dim X)$ and $\nu^*(Y) \nu^*(X) \le m(\dim Y \dim X)$.

Proof (a) Since ρ^* satisfies (R2), thanks to (R4), and since $Y^{\perp} \subseteq X^{\perp}$, we see that $\rho^*(Y^{\perp}) \leq \rho^*(X^{\perp})$, which shows that $\rho(Y) + m \dim Y^{\perp} \leq \rho(X) + m \dim X^{\perp}$. Subtracting from $mn = m \dim E$, we find $\nu(X) \leq \nu(Y)$. Similarly, $\nu^*(X) \leq \nu^*(Y)$.

(b) The desired upper bound for $\nu(Y) - \nu(X)$ follows from noting that by (R2),

$$\nu(Y) - \nu(X) = m (\dim Y - \dim X) + \rho(X) - \rho(Y) \le m (\dim Y - \dim X).$$

As in (a), the inequality for v^* follows from using P^* in place of P.

Proposition 6 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. If v and v^* denote, as usual, the nullity and conullity functions of P, then both (E, v) and (E, v^*) are (q, m)-demi-polymatroids, which are, in fact, duals of each other.

Proof Recall that

$$v(X) = m \dim X - \rho(X)$$
 and $v^*(X) = m \dim X - \rho^*(X) = \rho(E) - \rho(X^{\perp})$

for any $X \in \Sigma(E)$. Note, in particular, that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0 = \nu^*(\{0\})$, and so Proposition 5 implies that both ν and ν^* satisfy (R1) and (R2). The dual of ν in the sense of (R4) is the function that associates to every $X \in \Sigma(E)$ the integer

$$\nu(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \nu(E) = \left(m \dim X^{\perp} - \rho(X^{\perp})\right) + m \dim X - \left(mn - \rho(E)\right),$$

which is easily seen to be $\nu^*(X)$. Thus the two possible meanings of ν^* coincide. Hence, by Proposition 5, (E, ν) satisfies (R4) as well. Furthermore, it is readily seen that $(\nu^*)^* = \nu$, and so Proposition 5 also shows that (E, ν^*) satisfies (R4). Thus, both (E, ν) and (E, ν^*) are (q, m)-demi-polymatroids dual to each other. We remark that even if $P = (E, \rho)$ is a (q, m)-polymatroid, the associated pairs (E, ν) and (E, ν^*) need not be (q, m)-polymatroids. This can be seen, for example, using the following important class of (q, m)-polymatroids.

Example 7 Let *r* be an integer satisfying $0 \le r \le n$. The uniform (q, m)-polymatroid U(r, n) is defined as (E, ρ) , where $E = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, and $\rho(X) = m \dim X$ for all $X \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim $X \le r$, while $\rho(X) = mr$ for all $X \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim $X \ge r$. It is easy to see that U(r, n) is indeed a (q, m)-polymatroid and also that $U(r, n)^* = U(n - r, n)$.

Remark 8 Unlike in the case of usual (demi-)matroids, there is no "discrete intermediate value theorem" saying that every integer value between 0 and $\rho(E)$ is attained as the conullity of some subspace of *E*. Consider the uniform (q, m)-polymatroid U(1, 2). Then

$$\rho(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X = \{\mathbf{0}\}, \\ m & \text{if } X \neq \{\mathbf{0}\} \end{cases} \text{ and } \nu(X) = \nu^*(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X \neq E, \\ m & \text{if } X = E. \end{cases}$$

Thus, a "discrete intermediate value theorem" does not hold for ν as well as for ν^* if m > 1. Furthermore, if X, Y are distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of $E = \mathbb{F}_q^2$, then X + Y = E and $X \cap Y = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and hence

$$\nu(X+Y) + \nu(X \cap Y) = m \not\leq 0 = \nu(X) + \nu(Y).$$

It follows that neither (E, v) nor (E, v^*) is a (q, m)-polymatroid.

This remark proves in particular:

Proposition 9 There are (q, m)-demi-polymatroids that are not (q, m)-polymatroids.

3 Wei duality of (q, m)-demi-polymatroids

The following definition for the generalized weights of a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid appears to be natural.

Definition 10 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and let $K = \operatorname{rank} P$. For $r = 1, \ldots, K$, the *r*th generalized weight of P is defined by

$$d_r(P) = \min\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \nu^*(X) \ge r\}.$$

We will now establish some basic properties of these generalized weights.

Proposition 11 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. Then

$$1 \le d_r(P) \le d_{r+1}(P) \le n$$
 for $1 \le r < \operatorname{rank} P$.

Proof Since $v^*(\{0\}) = 0$, it is clear that $1 \le d_r(P) \le n$ for $1 \le r \le \operatorname{rank} P$. Next, if $1 \le r < \operatorname{rank} P$ and if $d_{r+1}(P) = \dim Y$ for some $Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $v^*(Y) \ge r+1$, then $v^*(Y) \ge r$, and so by definition, $d_r(P) \le \dim Y = d_{r+1}(P)$.

Unlike the generalized Hamming weights of linear codes, strict monotonicity may not hold for generalized weights of (q, m)-demi-polymatroids, i.e., we may not have $d_r(P) < d_{r+1}(P)$. For example, if $K = \operatorname{rank} P > n$, then Proposition 11 implies that $d_r(P) = d_{r+1}(P)$ for some r < K. However, we will show that $d_r(P) < d_s(P)$ for $1 \le r < s \le K$, provided $s - r \ge m$. First, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 12 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and let $K = \operatorname{rank} P$. For $x = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, let $\Sigma_x(E) := \{X \in \Sigma(E) : \dim X = x\}$, and let us define

$$h(x) := \max\{v(X) : X \in \Sigma_x(E)\}$$
 and $h^*(x) := \max\{v^*(X) : X \in \Sigma_x(E)\}.$

Now fix a positive integer $x \le n$ *. Then* $h^*(x - 1) \le h^*(x)$ *and for* $1 \le r \le K$ *,*

$$x = d_r(P) \Longleftrightarrow h^*(x-1) < r \le h^*(x) \tag{1}$$

In particular, x is a generalized weight of P if and only if $h^*(x - 1) < h^*(x)$. Also, $h(x - 1) \le h(x)$ and if P^* is the dual of P, then for $1 \le s \le \operatorname{rank} P^* = mn - K$,

$$x = d_s(P^*) \Longleftrightarrow h(x-1) < s \le h(x)$$
⁽²⁾

In particular, x is a generalized weight of P^* if and only if h(x - 1) < h(x).

Proof Let $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $1 \le x \le n$. If $X \in \Sigma(E)$ is such that dim X = x - 1 and $h^*(x - 1) = \nu^*(X)$, then by taking $Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim Y = x and $X \subset Y$, we see from Proposition 5(a) that $\nu^*(X) \le \nu^*(Y) \le h^*(x)$. Thus, $h^*(x - 1) \le h^*(x)$. Similarly, $h(x - 1) \le h(x)$. Now let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $1 \le r \le K$.

First, suppose $x = d_r(P)$. Then $x = \dim Y$ for some $Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $\nu^*(Y) \ge r$. This implies that $h^*(x) \ge r$. Moreover, since $x = d_r(P)$, we see that $\nu^*(X) < r$ for every $X \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim X = x - 1. This implies that $h^*(x - 1) < r$.

Conversely, suppose $h^*(x - 1) < r \le h^*(x)$. Choose $Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim Y = x such that $h^*(x) = v^*(Y)$. Then $v^*(Y) = h^*(x) \ge r$ and so $d_r(P) \le x$. Suppose, if possible, $d_r(P) \le x - 1$. Then there is $Z \in \Sigma(E)$ with dim $Z = d_r(P) \le x - 1$ and $v^*(Z) \ge r$. Enlarge Z to a subspace X of E such that dim X = x - 1. In view of Proposition 5(a), we obtain $h^*(x - 1) \ge v^*(X) \ge v^*(Z) \ge r$, which contradicts the assumption $h^*(x - 1) < r$. This shows that $x = d_r(P)$. Thus (1) is proved.

The equivalence (2) follows by applying (1) to P^* in place of P.

Here is a nice relation between the functions h and h^* defined in Lemma 12.

Lemma 13 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and let $K = \operatorname{rank} P$. Then

$$h^*(x) = h(n-x) - m(n-x) + K \quad \text{for } x = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$
(3)

Consequently,

$$h(n+1-x) - h(n-x) = m - (h^*(x) - h^*(x-1)) \quad \text{for } x = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (4)

In particular, $0 \le h^*(x) - h^*(x-1) \le m$ for x = 1, ..., n.

Proof Given any $X \in \Sigma(E)$, note that $\nu(X^{\perp}) = m \dim X^{\perp} - \rho(X^{\perp})$, and hence $\nu(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X = mn - \rho(X^{\perp}) = mn - (\rho(E) - \nu^*(X))$. It follows that

$$\nu^*(X) = \nu(X^{\perp}) - m(n - \dim X) + K$$

Taking maximum as X varies over elements of $\Sigma(E)$ with dim X = x, we obtain (3). Now (3) implies that $h^*(x) - h^*(x-1) = h(n-x) - h(n+1-x) + m$ for x = 1, ..., n, and this yields (4). Further, since $h^*(x-1) \le h^*(x)$ and $h(n-x) \le h(n+1-x)$, thanks to Lemma 12, we also obtain $0 \le h^*(x) - h^*(x-1) \le m$ for x = 1, ..., n.

Corollary 14 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and let $K = \operatorname{rank} P$. Then for any positive integers r, s such that $r + m \le K$ and $s + m \le mn - K$,

$$d_r(P) < d_{r+m}(P)$$
 and $d_s(P^*) < d_{s+m}(P^*)$.

Proof Let *r* be a positive integer with $r+m \le K$. Then $d_r(P) \le d_{r+m}(P)$, by Proposition 11. Suppose, if possible, $d_r(P) = d_{r+m}(P) = x$, say. Then by (1) in Lemma 12, $h^*(x-1) < r$ and $h^*(x) \ge r+m$. Consequently, $h^*(x) - h^*(x-1) \ge m+1$. This contradicts the last assertion in Lemma 13. Thus $d_r(P) < d_{r+m}(P)$. Replacing *P* by *P*^{*}, we obtain the desired inequality for the generalized weights of *P*^{*}.

We shall now proceed to establish a version of Wei duality for the generalized weights of (q, m)-demi-polymatroids. Recall that if *C* is a $[n, k]_q$ -code, and d_1, \ldots, d_k are the generalized Hamming weights (GHW) of *C* and $d_1^{\perp}, \ldots, d_{n-k}^{\perp}$ are the GHW of the dual of *C*, then Wei duality states that the values

$$n+1-d_1, \ldots, n+1-d_k$$
 and $d_1^{\perp}, \ldots, d_{n-k}^{\perp}$

are all distinct and their union is precisely the set $\{1, ..., n\}$. In the setting of a polymatroid $P = (E, \rho)$ of rank K, we can similarly consider

$$n+1-d_1(P), \ldots, n+1-d_K(P)$$
 and $d_1(P^*), \ldots, d_{mn-K}(P^*)$

But these mn values would not constitute $\{1, \ldots, mn\}$ when $m \ge 2$, since they lie between 1 and n. But one could ask for some "m-fold" version of Wei duality, and that is what we give in Theorems 15 and 17 below. These results are inspired by the related results of Ravagnani [18] and also of Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto [15] about the generalized weights and the relative generalized weights of Delsarte rank metric codes.

Theorem 15 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid of rank K. Also, let p, i, j be integers such that $1 \le p + im \le mn - K$ and $1 \le p + K + jm \le K$. Then

$$d_{p+im}(P^*) \neq n+1 - d_{p+K+jm}(P)$$

Proof Write r = p + K + jm, s = p + im, and $x = d_r(P)$. Let h and h^* be as in Lemma 12. In view of (4), let

$$g = h(n+1-x) - h(n-x) = m - (h^*(x) - h^*(x-1)).$$

Then using (1), we see that

$$r \le h^*(x)$$
 and $r + m - g = h^*(x) + (r - h^*(x - 1)) > h^*(x)$.

Thus $r \le h^*(x) < r + m - g$, and therefore by (3), we obtain

$$p + m(j + n - x) = r + m(n - x) - K \le h(n - x) < r + m(n - x + 1) - g - K.$$

The second inequality above implies that

$$h(n + 1 - x) = h(n - x) + g < r + m(n - x + 1) - K = p + m(j + n - x + 1).$$

Now suppose, if possible, $n + 1 - x = d_s(P^*)$. Then by (2), $h(n - x) < s \le h(n + 1 - x)$. Combining this with the inequalities obtained earlier, we see that

$$p + m(j + n - x) < s < p + m(j + n - x) + m.$$

But this contradicts the fact that $s \equiv p \pmod{m}$.

Definition 16 For any (q, m)-demi-polymatroid P and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with s < m, define

$$W_s(P) = \{d_r(P) : r = 1, ..., \operatorname{rank} P \text{ and } r \equiv s \pmod{m}\}, \text{ and}$$

 $\overline{W}_s(P) = \{n + 1 - d_r(P) : r = 1, ..., \operatorname{rank} P \text{ and } r \equiv s \pmod{m}\}$

🖉 Springer

The following result may be viewed as a version of Wei duality for generalized weights of (q, m)-demi-polymatroids. See Remark 18 for further explanation.

Theorem 17 Let $P = (E, \rho)$ be a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid of rank K and let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with s < m. Denote by $s +^m K$ the unique integer in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ congruent to s + K modulo m. Then $W_s(P^*) = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \overline{W}_{s+^m K}(P)$.

Proof By Theorem 15, the sets $W_s(P^*)$ and $\overline{W}_{s+m_K}(P)$ are disjoint, and by Proposition 11, they are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus, it suffices to show that the sum of their cardinalities is *n*. To this end, write s + K = Am + B for integers *A*, *B* with $0 \le B < m$. Note that s + m K = B. Let us first consider the case s = 0. Here, by the definition of $W_s(P^*)$, and the strict monotonicity, guaranteed by Corollary 14, of the $d_{s+jm}(P^*)$ as *j* increases, we see that

$$|W_s(P^*)| = \left\lfloor \frac{mn - K}{m} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{mn - Am - B}{m} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} n - A & \text{if } B = 0, \\ n - A - 1 & \text{if } B \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, Corollary 14 also shows that

$$|\overline{W}_B(P)| = |W_B(P)| = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{K}{m} \rfloor = A & \text{if } B = 0, \\ 1 + \lfloor \frac{K-B}{m} \rfloor = 1 + A & \text{if } B \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, $|W_s(P^*)| + |\overline{W}_{s+m_K}(P)| = n$. Next, suppose s > 0. Here, in a similar manner, Corollary 14 shows that

$$|W_s(P^*)| = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{mn - K - s}{m} \right\rfloor = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{mn - Am - B}{m} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 1 + n - A & \text{if } B = 0, \\ n - A & \text{if } B \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and also that

$$|\overline{W}_B(P)| = |W_B(P)| = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{K-B}{m} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{Am-s}{m} \rfloor = A-1 & \text{if } B = 0, \\ 1 + \lfloor \frac{K-B}{m} \rfloor = 1 + \lfloor \frac{Am-s}{m} \rfloor = A & \text{if } B \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

So, once again $|W_s(P^*)| + |\overline{W}_{s+m_K}(P)| = n$, as desired.

Remark 18 The above result shows that the generalized weights of a (q, m)-demipolymatroid P and the generalized weights of its dual P^* determine each other. Indeed, first we treat only the $d_r(P^*)$ and $d_{r+m_K}(P)$, for $r \equiv s \pmod{m}$, for a fixed value of s. By Theorem 17 they determine each other. Since this is true for each fixed s, as s varies in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, the assertion holds.

We remark also that our proofs of Theorem 15 and the two preceding lemmas are motivated by the proofs of the corresponding result for usual matroids (see, e.g., [14, Proposisjon 5.18]). Further, the proof of Theorem 17 uses arguments that are analogous to those in the proof of [18, Corollary 38].

4 Generalized weights of flags of Delsarte rank metric codes

In this section, we will denote by $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, or simply by \mathbb{M} the space of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Note that \mathbb{M} is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q of dimension mn. As stated in the Introduction, by a *Delsarte rank metric code*, or simply a *Delsarte code*, we

mean a \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace of \mathbb{M} . We denote by dim $_{\mathbb{F}_q} C$, or simply dim *C*, the dimension of a Delsarte code *C*.

Following Shiromoto [19], we now associate to a Delsarte code C, (i) a family of subcodes of C indexed by subspaces of $E = \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, and (ii) a (q, m)-polymatroid.

Definition 19 Let *C* be a Delsarte code.

- (i) Given any X ∈ Σ(E), we define C(X) to be the subspace of C consisting of all matrices in C whose row space is contained in X.
- (ii) By ρ_C we denote the function from $\Sigma(E)$ to N₀ defined by

$$\rho_C(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C(X^{\perp}) \quad \text{for } X \in \Sigma(E).$$

Further, by P(C) we denote the (q, m)-polymatroid (E, ρ_C) .

Remark 20 It is shown in [19, Proposition 3] that $P(C) = (E, \rho_C)$ is indeed a (q, m)-polymatroid. Note also that the conullity function ν_C^* of P(C) is given by

$$\nu_C^*(X) = \dim C(X) \quad \text{for } X \in \Sigma(E).$$
(5)

4.1 Demi-polymatroids associated to flags of Delsarte codes

Motivated by the work in [3,4] on demi-matroids, we consider the following natural and useful extension of the notion defined in part (ii) of Definition 19.

Definition 21 By a *flag* of Delsarte codes we shall mean a tuple $\mathsf{F} = (C_1, \ldots, C_s)$ of subspaces of $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $C_s \subseteq C_{s-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_1$. The *rank function* associated to a flag $\mathsf{F} = (C_1, \ldots, C_s)$ is the map $\rho_{\mathsf{F}} : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \rho_{C_i}(X) \quad \text{for } X \in \Sigma(E).$$
(6)

The pair (E, ρ_{r}) is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid, and it is denoted by P(F).

We will presently show that $P(F) = (E, \rho_F)$ is indeed a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid for any flag F of Delsarte codes. First, we need a couple of auxiliary results.

Lemma 22 Let C_1, C_2 be Delsarte codes in $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $C_2 \subseteq C_1$ and let $\rho_i = \rho_{C_i}$ for i = 1, 2. Then $\rho_2(X) \leq \rho_1(X)$ for all $X \in \Sigma(E)$.

Proof Note that the row space of any $A \in \mathbb{M}$ consists of vectors $\mathbf{v}A$ as \mathbf{v} varies over \mathbb{F}_q^m (elements of \mathbb{F}_q^m and \mathbb{F}_q^n are thought of as row vectors); also note that $(\mathbf{v}A) \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}A)^t = \mathbf{u}(A^t\mathbf{v}^t)$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Now let $X \in \Sigma(E)$ and define

$$U = \{A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q) : \mathbf{u}A^t = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in X\}.$$

Clearly, U is a subspace of \mathbb{M} and $C(X^{\perp}) = C \cap U$ for any Delsarte code C. Also,

$$\frac{C_2}{C_2 \cap U} \simeq \frac{C_2 + U}{U} \subseteq \frac{C_1 + U}{U} \simeq \frac{C_1}{C_1 \cap U}$$

Hence dim $C_2 - \dim C_2 \cap U \leq \dim C_1 - \dim C_1 \cap U$, which yields $\rho_2(X) \leq \rho_1(X)$. \Box

Deringer

Lemma 23 Let C_1, C_2 be Delsarte codes in $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $C_2 \subseteq C_1$ and let $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ be such that $X \subseteq Y$. Then

$$\dim C_1(X) - \dim C_2(X) \le \dim C_1(Y) - \dim C_2(Y).$$

Proof Since $C_2 \subseteq C_1$ and $X \subseteq Y$, it is clear from Definition 19 (i) that

$$C_1(X) \cap C_2(Y) = C_2(X)$$
 and $C_1(X) + C_2(Y) \subseteq C_1(Y)$.

Consequently, dim $C_1(X)$ + dim $C_2(Y)$ - dim $C_2(X) \le \dim C_1(Y)$, as desired.

Theorem 24 Let $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte codes in \mathbb{M} and let ρ_F be the rank function associated to F. Then $P(F) = (E, \rho_F)$ is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid.

Proof Let $\rho_j := \rho_{C_j}$ for $1 \le j \le s$. First, suppose s is even, say s = 2t. Then for any $X \in \Sigma(E)$,

$$\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left(\rho_{2i-1}(X) - \rho_{2i}(X) \right). \tag{7}$$

By Lemma 22, each summand is nonnegative, and so $\rho_{r}(X) \ge 0$. In case s = 2t + 1,

$$\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X) = \rho_{2t+1}(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \left(\rho_{2i-1}(X) - \rho_{2i}(X) \right). \tag{8}$$

and once again $\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X) \ge 0$, thanks to Remark 20 and Lemma 22. Next, if s > 1 and if $\mathsf{F}' = (C_2, \ldots, C_s)$ denotes the flag obtained from F by dropping the first term, then by what is just shown $\rho_{\mathsf{r}'}(X) \ge 0$ for any $X \in \Sigma(E)$. Hence,

$$\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X) = \rho_1(X) - \rho_{\mathsf{F}'}(X) \le \rho_1(X) \le m \dim X \quad \text{for all } X \in \Sigma(E).$$

This shows that P(F) satisfies (R1). Next, let $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $X \subseteq Y$. We will show that $\rho_F(X) \leq \rho_F(Y)$. To this end, observe that since $\rho_F(X)$ (and likewise $\rho_F(Y)$) can be expressed as in (7) or (8), and since ρ_{2t+1} satisfies (R2), it suffices to show that the difference $\Delta_i := (\rho_{2i-1}(Y) - \rho_{2i}(Y)) - (\rho_{2i-1}(X) - \rho_{2i}(X))$ is nonnegative for each i = 1, ..., t. But an easy calculation shows that for $1 \leq i \leq t$,

$$\Delta_{i} = \left(\dim C_{2i-1}(X^{\perp}) - \dim C_{2i}(X^{\perp})\right) - \left(\dim C_{2i-1}(Y^{\perp}) - \dim C_{2i}(Y^{\perp})\right),$$

and by Lemma 23, this is nonnegative since $Y^{\perp} \subseteq X^{\perp}$. Thus P(F) satisfies (R2).

To prove that P(F) satisfies (R4), note that the case s = 1 is trivial. Thus suppose s > 1 and let $F' = (C_2, \ldots, C_s)$. Also, let

$$\rho^*(X) = \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho'(X) = \rho_{\mathsf{F}'}(X) \quad \text{for } X \in \Sigma(E).$$

Since $\rho_{r} = \rho_{1} - \rho'$ and since ρ_{1}^{*} satisfies (R1) while ρ' satisfies (R2), we see that

$$\rho^*(X) = \left(\rho_1(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \rho_1(E)\right) + \left(\rho'(E) - \rho'(X^{\perp})\right) \ge \rho_1^*(X) + 0 \ge 0.$$

Also, $\rho^*(X) = m \dim X + (\rho_F(X^{\perp}) - \rho_F(E)) \le m \dim X$, since ρ_F satisfies (R2). Thus ρ^* satisfies (R1). Finally, if $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $X \subseteq Y$, then we can write $\rho^*(Y) - \rho^*(X) =$

$$\begin{split} \left(\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(Y^{\perp}) + m \dim Y - \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(E)\right) &- \left(\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(E)\right) \text{ as } \\ m\left(\dim Y - \dim X\right) + \left(\rho_{\mathsf{F}}(Y^{\perp}) - \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X^{\perp})\right) \\ &= m\left(\dim X^{\perp} - \dim Y^{\perp}\right) - \left(\rho_{1}(X^{\perp}) - \rho_{1}(Y^{\perp})\right) + \left(\rho'(X^{\perp}) - \rho'(Y^{\perp})\right) \\ &= \left(\nu_{1}(X^{\perp}) - \nu_{1}(Y^{\perp})\right) + \left(\rho'(X^{\perp}) - \rho'(Y^{\perp})\right), \end{split}$$

where ν_1 denotes the nullity function of (E, ρ_1) . Thus, using Proposition 6 and the fact that ρ' satisfies (R2), we see that ρ^* satisfies (R4).

4.2 Generalized weights of flags of Delsarte codes

Using Theorem 24 and Definition 10, we can talk about generalized weights of flags of Delsarte codes. The following observation makes them explicit.

Lemma 25 Let $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte codes. Then the conullity function v_F^* of the associated (q, m)-demi-polymatroid $P(F) = (E, \rho_F)$ is given by

$$\nu_F^*(X) = \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^{i+1} \dim C_i(X) \text{ for } X \in \Sigma(E).$$

Proof For i = 1, ..., s, let ρ_i be as in (6) and let v_i^* be the conullity function of the (q, m)-polymatroid (E, ρ_i) . Then in view of (5) in Remark 20 we see that

$$\nu_{\mathsf{F}}^{*}(X) = \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(E) - \rho_{\mathsf{F}}(X^{\perp}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \nu_{i}^{*}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \dim C_{i}(X).$$

for any $X \in \Sigma(E)$.

The generalized weights of flags of Delsarte codes may be defined as follows.

Definition 26 Let $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte codes in \mathbb{M} , and let $K = \rho_F(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \dim C_i$. Then for r = 1, ..., K, the *r*th generalized weight of F is denoted by $d_r(F)$ or by $d_{\mathbb{M},r}(C_1, \cdots, C_s)$, and is defined by

$$d_r(\mathsf{F}) = \min\left\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \dim C_i(X) \ge r\right\}.$$

In the case of singleton flags, i.e., when s = 1, the definition reduces to the following notion, first considered by Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto [15, Definition 10], of the generalized weight of a Delsarte code C:

$$d_r(C) := \min\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \dim C(X) \ge r\} \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, \dim C.$$
(9)

This is related to, but distinct from Ravagnani's definition (see Sect. 4.5 for details). In the case s = 2, generalized weights in Definition 26 coincide with the notion of Relative Generalized Matrix Weights, or *RGMW profiles*, as defined by Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto [15, Definition 10].

Our definitions of generalized weights for (q, m)-demi-polymatroids and flags of Delsarte rank metric codes are of course compatible, and we record this below.

Theorem 27 Let $F = (C_1, \dots, C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte rank metric code and let $P(F) = (E, \rho_r)$ be the corresponding (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{i+1} \dim C_i = \operatorname{rank} P(F) \quad and \ for \ r = 1, \dots, \operatorname{rank} P(F), \quad d_r(F) = d_r(P(F)).$$

Proof This follows directly from the definitions and Lemma 25.

4.3 Duality of Delsarte rank metric codes

As indicated in the Introduction, the notion of dual for Delsarte rank metric codes is defined using the trace product. See for example [18, Definition 34]. We recall the basic definition below.

Definition 28 Let *C* be a Delsarte code. The *trace dual*, or simply the *dual*, of *C* is the Delsarte code C^{\perp} defined by

$$C^{\perp} = \{ N \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q) : \operatorname{Trace}(MN^t) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in C \},\$$

where N^t denotes the transpose of a $m \times n$ matrix N and, as usual, Trace (MN^t) is the trace of the square matrix MN^t , i.e., the sum of all its diagonal entries.

There is a natural connection between duals of Delsarte codes and the duals of (q, m)-polymatroids. It is shown by Shiromoto [19] as well as Gorla et al. [10], and we record it below.

Theorem 29 [19, Proposition 11] Let C be a Delsarte code. Then

$$P(C^{\perp}) = P(C)^*.$$

The proof is quite short and natural and given in [19, Proposition 11], and also in [10, Theorem 8.1]. An immediate consequence is the following.

Corollary 30 Let C be a Delsarte code in $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and let $K = \dim C$. Then the generalized weights $d_r(C) = \min\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \dim C(X) \ge r\}$ of C $(1 \le r \le K)$ are related to the generalized weights $d_s(C^{\perp})$ of C^{\perp} $(1 \le s \le mn - K)$ via the "m-fold" Wei duality described in Theorem 17.

Proof Follows from Theorems 17, 27, and 29.

We remark that Corollary 30 gives another proof of [15, Proposition 65].

4.4 Duality for flags of Delsarte rank metric codes

Now that we have associated a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid $P(F) = (E, \rho_F)$ to a flag F of Delsarte codes, it seems natural to ask whether $P(F)^*$ is also a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid associated to some flag of Delsarte codes. The answer is yes, and it involves, quite naturally, a dual flag.

Definition 31 By the *dual flag* corresponding to a flag $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ of Delsarte codes, we mean the flag $F^{\perp} = (C_s^{\perp}, ..., C_1^{\perp})$ of Delsarte codes, where C_i^{\perp} is the trace dual of C_i for i = 1, ..., s. Note that $C_1^{\perp} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_s^{\perp}$ so that F^{\perp} is indeed a flag in the sense of Definition 21. Note also that $(F^{\perp})^{\perp} = F$.

The following result is an analogue of [3, Theorem 10].

Proposition 32 Let $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte codes and F^{\perp} the dual flag corresponding to F. Also let v_F^* denote the conullity function of the (q, m)-demi-polymatroid $P(F) = (E, \rho_F)$ associated to F. Then

$$\rho_{F^{\perp}} = \begin{cases} \rho_F^* & \text{if s is odd,} \\ r_F & \text{v}_F^* & \text{if s is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof A proof can be given, following word for word the proof of the corresponding result, [3, Theorem 10], for linear block codes.

Proposition 32 identifies the dual (q, m)-demi-polymatroid of P(F) as that associated to the dual flag, when F is a flag of odd length s. This includes the case s = 1 corresponding to Theorem 29. But what if s is even (and in particular, s = 2)? Also what about a version of Wei duality for the generalized weights of flags of Delsarte codes? These questions are answered below.

Theorem 33 Let $F = (C_1, ..., C_s)$ be a flag of Delsarte codes and let $G = (C_1, ..., C_s, \{0\})$ denote the flag of length s + 1 obtained by appending to F the zero subspace to F (regardless of whether or not $C_s = \{0\}$). Then:

- (a) If s is odd, then $P(F)^* = P(F^{\perp})$, and the generalized weights of F and F^{\perp} are in Wei duality with each other as described in Theorem 17.
- (b) If s is even, then P(F)* = P(G[⊥]), and the generalized weights of F and G[⊥] are in Wei duality with each other as described in Theorem 17.

Proof Part (a) follows from Theorems 17, 24, and 27 together with Proposition 32. Part (b) follows from part (a) by noting that $\rho_{c} = \rho_{F}$.

Corollary 34 Let C_1, C_2 be distinct Delsarte codes in $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $C_2 \subseteq C_1$, and let $K = \dim C_1 - \dim C_2$. Then the relative generalized weights

 $d_r = \min\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \dim C_1(X) - \dim C_2(X) \ge r\}$

are related to the relative generalized weights

$$d_r^{\perp} = \min\{\dim X : X \in \Sigma(E) \text{ with } \dim \mathbb{M}(X) - \dim C_2^{\perp}(X) + \dim C_1^{\perp}(X) \ge r\}$$

via the "m-fold" Wei duality described in Theorem 17, for r = 1, ..., K.

Proof If s is even and $F = (C_1, \ldots, C_s)$ and G are as in Theorem 33, then

$$\rho_{\mathsf{F}}^{*} = \rho_{\mathsf{G}}^{*} = \rho_{\mathsf{G}^{\perp}} = \rho_{{}_{\{0\}^{\perp}}} - \rho_{{}_{C_{\mathcal{S}}^{\perp}}} + \dots + (-1)^{s} \rho_{{}_{C_{1}^{\perp}}} = \rho_{\mathbb{M}} - \rho_{{}_{C_{\mathcal{S}}^{\perp}}} + \dots + \rho_{{}_{C_{1}^{\perp}}}.$$

In particular, if s = 2, then $\rho_{\mathsf{F}}^* = \rho_{\mathbb{M}} - \rho_{C_2^{\perp}} + \rho_{C_1^{\perp}}$. Thus, the desired result follows from Theorem 33 in view of Eq. (5) in Remark 20.

4.5 Another definition of generalized weights

Ravagnani has given another definition in [18, Definition 23] of generalized weights of (single) Delsarte codes that is based on the following notion of optimal anticodes.

Deringer

Definition 35 By an *optimal anticode* we mean an \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace \mathcal{A} of $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{A} = m(\max \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{A}))$, where $\max \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the maximum possible rank of any matrix in \mathcal{A} .

Here is Ravagnani's definition of generalized weights of Delsarte codes.

Definition 36 Let C be a Delsarte code of dimension K. For r = 1, ..., K, define

 $a_r(C) = \frac{1}{m} \min \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \text{ an optimal anticode such that } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} (\mathcal{A} \cap C) \ge r \right\}.$

A relationship between the two notions of generalized weights (given in equation (9) and Definition 36) is stated below.

Theorem 37 Let C be a Delsarte code. Then for each $r = 1, ..., \dim C$,

 $a_r(C) = d_r(C)$ if m > n, whereas $a_r(C) \le d_r(C)$ if m = n.

Further, if C^T denotes the Delsarte code in $\mathbb{M}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ consisting of transposes of the matrices in C, then $a_r(C) = d_r(C^T)$ if m < n.

Proof For a proof in the case m > n or m = n, see [15, Theorem 9] (or alternatively, [10, Proposition 2.11]). For the case m < n, see [9, Theorem 5.18].

When m = n, both [18, Corollary 38] and Corollary 30 are still valid, but the a_r and the d_r are not necessarily the same. An example where they are different is given by Martínez-Peñas and Matsumoto [15, Section IX,C]. A precise relationship between the two notions of generalized weights in this case of square matrices is given in [9, Theorem 5.18]. We will discuss a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid version of this below, and deduce the said relationship as a consequence.

First note that if m = n and if $C \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a Delsarte rank metric code, then so is $C^T := \{M^t : M \in C\}$, and thus, we obtain two (q, m)-polymatroids $P(C) = (E, \rho_C)$ and $P(C^T) = (E, \rho_{C^T})$ as in part (ii) of Definition 19.

Proposition 38 Assume that m = n. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a Delsarte rank metric code. Consider $E = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and define $\rho : \Sigma(E) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by

 $\rho(X) = \min\{\rho_C(X), \rho_{CT}(X)\} \text{ for } X \in \Sigma(E).$

Then $P = (E, \rho)$ is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid and its conullity function is given by

 $\nu^*(X) = \max\{\dim C(X), \dim C^T(X)\} \text{ for } X \in \Sigma(E).$

Moreover, the generalized weights of P are given by

 $d_r(P) = \min\{d_r(P(C)), d_r(P(C^T))\}\$ for $r = 1, \dots, \rho(E)$.

Consequently, m-fold Wei duality as in Theorem 17 holds for Ravagnani's generalized weights $a_r(C)$.

Proof It is obvious that ρ satisfies (R1) and (R2) of Definition 1, since we know that each of ρ_C and ρ_{C^T} satisfies these properties. So, in order to prove that *P* is a (q, m)-demipolymatroid, it remains to show that (R4) is satisfied, which means that ρ^* satisfies (R1) and (R2). To this end, let $X \in \Sigma(E)$. Then

$$\rho^*(X) = \rho(X^{\perp}) + m \dim X - \rho(E)$$

= min{ $\rho_C(X^{\perp})$, $\rho_{C^T}(X^{\perp})$ } + m dim X - dim C
= min{dim C - dim C(X), dim C - dim C^T(X)} + m dim X - dim C.

🖄 Springer

It follows that

$$o^*(X) = m \dim X - \max\{\dim C(X), \dim C^T(X)\}.$$
 (10)

This implies that $\rho^*(X) \le m \dim X$. Moreover, it also implies that $\rho^*(X) \ge 0$, because from (5) and Proposition 6 we see that both $m \dim X - \dim C(X)$ and $m \dim X - \dim C^T(X)$ are nonnegative. Thus, ρ^* satisfies (R1). Next, we show that ρ^* satisfies (R2). Fix $X, Y \in \Sigma(E)$ with $X \subseteq Y$. In view of (10), the difference $\rho^*(Y) - \rho^*(X)$ can be written as

 $m(\dim Y - \dim X) - \left(\max\{\dim C(Y), \dim C^T(Y)\} - \max\{\dim C(X), \dim C^T(X)\}\right).$

Since the expression above is symmetric in *C* and C^T , we may assume without loss of generality that max{dim C(Y), dim $C^T(Y)$ } = dim C(Y). Now, in case max{dim C(X), dim $C^T(X)$ } = dim C(X), we see that

$$\rho^*(Y) - \rho^*(X) = m(\dim Y - \dim X) - (\dim C(Y) - \dim C(X)) = \rho^*_C(Y) - \rho^*_C(X),$$

which is nonnegative since ρ_C^* satisfies (R1), thanks to Proposition 2. In case max{dim C(X), dim $C^T(X)$ } = dim $C^T(X)$, then dim $C^T(X) \ge \dim C(X)$, and so

$$\rho^*(Y) - \rho^*(X) = m(\dim Y - \dim X) - (\dim C(Y) - \dim C^T(X)) \ge \rho^*_C(Y) - \rho^*_C(X),$$

which is again nonnegative. Thus, ρ^* satisfies (R2). This proves that $P = (E, \rho)$ is a (q, m)-demi-polymatroid. The desired formula for the conullity function of P is immediate from (10). This, in turn, shows that

$$d_r(P) = \min \left\{ d_r(P(C)), \ d_r(P(C^T)) \right\} \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, \rho(E).$$

Indeed, the inequality $d_r(P) \leq \min\{d_r(P(C)), d_r(P(C^T))\}$ is clear from the definition and equation (5). For the other inequality, it suffices to consider $X_0 \in \Sigma(E)$ with $\max\{\dim C(X_0), \dim C^T(X_0)\} \geq r$ such that $d_r(P) = \dim X_0$.

The last assertion about Wei duality for Ravagnani's generalized weights $a_r(C)$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 17 because we know from [9, Theorem 38] that $a_r(C) = \min\{d_r(P(C)), d_r(P(C^T))\}$ for $1 \le r \le \dim C = \rho(E)$.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Frédérique Oggier for introducing us to rank metric codes and providing initial motivation for this work. We thank the DST in India and RCN in Norway for supporting our collaboration through an Indo-Norwegian project "Mathematical Aspects of Information Transmission: Effective Error Correcting Codes". The last named author is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay for its warm hospitality, and for providing optimal conditions for research, during a visit in September–December 2018 when most of this work was done. We also thank Elisa Gorla for her useful comments on a preliminary version of this paper and for pointing out the survey article [9]. Those comments led us to make an appropriate revision in Theorem 37 and to introduce Proposition 38. We are also grateful to the referees for many helpful comments and suggestions.

Funding Open Access funding provided by UiT The Arctic University of Norway

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Berhuy, G., Fasel, J., Garotta, O.: Rank weights for arbitrary finite field extensions. Adv. Math. Commun. (2020). https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2020083
- 2. Britz T.: Higher support matroids. Discret. Math. 307, 2300-2308 (2007).
- Britz T., Johnsen T., Mayhew D., Shiromoto K.: Wei-type duality theorems for matroids. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 62, 331–341 (2012).
- Britz T., Johnsen T., Martin J.: Chains, demi-matroids and profiles. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 60, 986–991 (2014).
- Britz T., Mammoliti A., Shiromoto K.: Wei-type duality theorems for rank metric codes. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 88, 1503–1519 (2020).
- Delsarte P.: Bilinear forms over a finite field, with applications to coding theory. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 25, 226–241 (1978).
- 7. Ducoat J.: Generalized rank weights: a duality statement. In: Kyureghyan G.M., Pott A. (eds.) Topics in Finite Fields, pp. 114–123. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2015).
- 8. Gabidulin E.M.: Theory of codes with maximum rank distance. Probl. Inf. Transm. 21, 1–12 (1985).
- 9. Gorla, E.: Rank-Metric Codes. arXiv:1902.02650 [cs.IT], 26 pp (2019).
- Gorla E., Jurrius R., Lopez H.H., Ravagnani A.: Rank-metric codes and q-polymatroids. J. Algebr. Combin. 52, 1–19 (2020).
- 11. Jurrius R., Pellikaan R.: On defining generalized rank weights. Adv. Math. Commun. 11, 225–235 (2017).
- 12. Jurrius R., Pellikaan R.: Defining the q-analogue of a matroid. Electron. J. Combin. 25, 32 (2018).
- Kurihara J., Matsumoto R., Uyematsu T.: Relative generalized rank weight of linear codes and its applications to network coding. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 61, 3912–3936 (2015).
- Larsen, A.H.: Matroider og lineære koder, Master's thesis, Univ. Bergen, Norway, (2005). http://bora.uib. no/bitstream/handle/1956/10780/Ann-Hege-totaloppgave.pdf?sequence=1
- Martínez-Peñas U., Matsumoto R.: Relative generalized matrix weights of matrix codes for universal security on wire-tap network. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 64, 2529–2548 (2018).
- 16. Oggier, F., Sboui, A.: On the existence of generalized rank weights. In: Proc. Int. Symp. Inf. Theory Appl., pp. 406–410, (2012).
- 17. Ravagnani A.: Rank-metric codes and their duality theory. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 80, 197-216 (2016).
- 18. Ravagnani A.: Generalized weights: an anticode approach. J. Pure Appl. Algeb. 220, 1946–1962 (2016).
- 19. Shiromoto K.: Codes with the rank metric and matroids. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 87, 1765–1776 (2019).
- Wei V.K.: Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 37, 1412–1418 (1991).

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.